

Contract Year Ending 2026 Capitation Rate Certification Arizona Long Term Care System – Elderly and Physical Disability Program

October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Prepared for:

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Prepared by:

AHCCCS Division of Business and Finance

September 8, 2025

Table of Contents

Introduction and Limitations	1
Section I Medicaid Managed Care Rates	2
I.1. General Information	5
I.1.A. Rate Development Standards	5
I.1.A.i. Standards and Documentation for Rate Ranges	5
I.1.A.ii. Rating Period	5
I.1.A.iii. Required Elements	5
I.1.A.iii.(a) Letter from Certifying Actuary	5
I.1.A.iii.(b) Final and Certified Capitation Rates	5
I.1.A.iii.(c) Program Information	5
I.1.A.iii.(c)(i) Summary of Program	6
I.1.A.iii.(c)(i)(A) Type and Number of Managed Care Plans	6
I.1.A.iii.(c)(i)(B) General Description of Benefits	6
I.1.A.iii.(c)(i)(C) Area of State Covered and Length of time Program in Operation	6
I.1.A.iii.(c)(ii) Rating Period Covered	6
I.1.A.iii.(c)(iii) Covered Populations	6
I.1.A.iii.(c)(iv) Eligibility or Enrollment Criteria	7
I.1.A.iii.(c)(v) Summary of Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment	7
I.1.A.iv. Rate Development Standards and FFP	8
I.1.A.v. Rate Cell Cross-Subsidization	8
I.1.A.vi. Effective Dates of Changes	8
I.1.A.vii. Minimum Medical Loss Ratio	8
I.1.A.viii. Conditions for Certifying Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable	8
I.1.A.ix. Certifying Actuarially Sound Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable	8
I.1.A.x. Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices	8
I.1.A.x.(a) Reasonable, Appropriate, and Attainable Costs	8
I.1.A.x.(b) Rate Setting Process	8
I.1.A.x.(c) Contracted Rates	9
I.1.A.xi. Rates from Previous Rating Periods – Not Applicable	9
I.1.A.xii. Evaluation of Unwinding of the COVID-19 PHE	9

I.1.A.xiii. Rate Certification Procedures	10
I.1.A.xiii.(a) Timely Filing for Claiming FFP	10
I.1.A.xiii.(b) CMS Rate Certification Requirement for Retroactive Rate Adjustments-Not Applicable .	10
I.1.A.xiii.(c) CMS Rate Certification Requirement for No Rate Change – Not Applicable	10
I.1.A.xiii.(d) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Special Contract Provisions – Not Applicable	10
I.1.A.xiii.(e) CMS Rate Certification Requirements for Retroactive SDP Adjustments	10
I.1.A.xiii.(f) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Limited Payment Changes	10
I.1.A.xiii.(g) CMS Contract Amendment Requirement	11
I.1.A.xiii.(h) CMS Contract and Rate Amendment Requirement for Changes in Law	11
I.1.B. Appropriate Documentation	11
I.1.B.i. Capitation Rates or Rate Ranges	11
I.1.B.ii. Elements	11
I.1.B.iii. Medical Loss Ratio	11
I.1.B.iv. Capitation Rate Cell Assumptions	12
I.1.B.v. Capitation Rate Range Assumptions – Not Applicable	12
I.1.B.vi. Rate Certification Index	12
I.1.B.vii. Assurance Rate Assumptions Do Not Differ by FFP	12
I.1.B.viii. Differences in Federal Medical Assistance Percentage	12
I.1.B.ix. Comparison to Prior Rates	13
I.1.B.ix.(a) Comparison to Previous Rate Certification	13
I.1.B.ix.(b) Material Changes to Capitation Rate Development	13
I.1.B.ix.(c) De Minimis Changes to Previous Period Capitation Rates	13
I.1.B.x. Future Rate Amendments	13
I.1.B.xi. Addressing Impacts of Unwinding the COVID-19 PHE	13
I.1.B.xi.(a) Available Applicable Data	13
I.1.B.xi.(b) Accounting for Direct and Indirect Impacts	14
I.1.B.xi.(c) COVID-19 Costs Paid Outside of Capitation Rates (Non-Risk) – Not Applicable	14
I.1.B.xi.(d) Risk Mitigation Strategies	14
I.2. Data	15
I.2.A. Rate Development Standards	15
I.2.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c)	15
I.2.B. Appropriate Documentation	15

I.2.B.i. Data Request	15
I.2.B.ii. Data Used for Rate Development	15
I.2.B.ii.(a) Description of Data	15
I.2.B.ii.(a)(i) Types of Data Used	15
I.2.B.ii.(a)(ii) Age of Data	16
I.2.B.ii.(a)(iii) Sources of Data	16
I.2.B.ii.(a)(iv) Sub-capitated Arrangements	16
I.2.B.ii.(a)(v) Base Data Exception – Not Applicable	16
I.2.B.ii.(b) Availability and Quality of the Data	16
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i) Data Validation Steps	17
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(A) Completeness of the Data	17
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(B) Accuracy of the Data	18
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(C) Consistency of the Data	18
I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii) Actuaries' Assessment of the Data	18
I.2.B.ii.(b)(iii) Data Concerns	19
I.2.B.ii.(c) Appropriate Data for Rate Development	19
I.2.B.ii.(c)(i) Not using Encounter or Fee-for-Service Data – Not Applicable	19
I.2.B.ii.(c)(ii) Not using Managed Care Encounter Data – Not Applicable	19
I.2.B.ii.(d) Use of a Data Book – Not Applicable	19
I.2.B.iii. Adjustments to the Data	19
I.2.B.iii.(a) Credibility of the Data – Not Applicable	19
I.2.B.iii.(b) Completion Factors	20
I.2.B.iii.(c) Errors Found in the Data	20
I.2.B.iii.(d) Changes in the Program	20
I.2.B.iii.(e) Exclusions of Payments or Services	23
I.3. Projected Benefit Costs and Trends	24
I.3.A. Rate Development Standards	24
I.3.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and 42 CFR § 438.3(e)	24
I.3.A.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Trend Assumptions	24
I.3.A.iii. In Lieu Of Services or Settings (ILOS)	24
I.3.A.iv. ILOS Cost Percentage – Not Applicable	24
I.3.A.v. Institution for Mental Disease	24

I.3.B. Appropriate Documentation	25
I.3.B.i. Projected Benefit Costs	
I.3.B.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Development	
I.3.B.ii.(a) Description of the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies	
I.3.B.ii.(b) Material Changes to the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies	
I.3.B.ii.(c) Recoveries of Overpayments to Providers	31
I.3.B.iii. Projected Benefit Cost Trends	31
I.3.B.iii.(a) Requirements	31
I.3.B.iii.(a)(i) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Data	31
I.3.B.iii.(a)(ii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Methodologies	31
I.3.B.iii.(a)(iii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Comparisons	32
I.3.B.iii.(a)(iv) Supporting Documentation for Trends	32
I.3.B.iii.(b) Projected Benefit Cost Trends by Component	32
I.3.B.iii.(b)(i) Changes in Price and Utilization	32
I.3.B.iii.(b)(ii) Alternative Methods – Not Applicable	32
I.3.B.iii.(b)(iii) Other Components	32
I.3.B.iii.(c) Variation in Trend	32
I.3.B.iii.(d) Any Other Material Adjustments	32
I.3.B.iii.(e) Any Other Adjustments	32
I.3.B.iv. Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act Compliance	32
I.3.B.v. ILOS	33
I.3.B.vi. Retrospective Eligibility Periods	33
I.3.B.vi.(a) Managed Care Plan Responsibility	33
I.3.B.vi.(b) Claims Data Included in Base Data	33
I.3.B.vi.(c) Enrollment Data Included in Base Data	33
I.3.B.vi.(d) Adjustments, Assumptions, and Methodology	33
I.3.B.vii. Impact of All Material Changes to Covered Benefits or Services	33
I.3.B.vii.(a) Covered Benefits	33
I.3.B.vii.(b) Recoveries of Overpayments	34
I.3.B.vii.(c) Provider Payment Requirements	34
I.3.B.vii.(d) Applicable Waivers	34
I.3.B.vii.(e) Applicable Litigation	34

I.3.B.viii. Impact of All Material and Non-Material Changes	34
I.4. Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment	35
I.4.A. Incentive Arrangements	35
I.4.A.i. Rate Development Standards	35
I.4.A.ii. Appropriate Documentation	35
I.4.A.ii.(a) Description of Any Incentive Arrangements	35
I.4.A.ii.(a)(i) Time Period	35
I.4.A.ii.(a)(ii) Enrollees, Services, and Providers Covered	35
I.4.A.ii.(a)(iii) Purpose	36
I.4.A.ii.(a)(iv) Attestation to Limit on Incentive Payments	36
I.4.A.ii.(a)(v) Effect on Capitation Rate Development	36
I.4.B. Withhold Arrangements	37
I.4.B.i. Rate Development Standards	37
I.4.B.ii. Appropriate Documentation	37
I.4.B.ii.(a) Description of Any Withhold Arrangements	37
I.4.B.ii.(a)(i) Time Period	37
I.4.B.ii.(a)(ii) Enrollees, Services, and Providers Covered	37
I.4.B.ii.(a)(iii) Purpose of the Withhold	37
I.4.B.ii.(a)(iv) Description of Percentage of Capitation Rates Withheld	37
I.4.B.ii.(a)(v) Percentage of the Withheld Amount Not Reasonably Achievable	37
I.4.B.ii.(a)(vi) Description of Reasonableness of Withhold Arrangement	38
I.4.B.ii.(a)(vii) Effect on Capitation Rate Development	38
I.4.B.ii.(b) Certifying Rates less Expected Unachieved Withhold as Actuarially Sound	38
I.4.C. Risk-Sharing Mechanisms	38
I.4.C.i. Rate Development Standards	38
I.4.C.ii. Appropriate Documentation	39
I.4.C.ii.(a) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms	39
I.4.C.ii.(a)(i) Rationale for Risk-Sharing Mechanisms	39
I.4.C.ii.(a)(ii) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanism Implementation	39
I.4.C.ii.(a)(iii) Effect of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms on Capitation Rates	40
I.4.C.ii.(a)(iv) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices.	40
I.4.C.ii.(a)(v) Risk-Sharing Arrangements Consistent with Pricing Assumptions	40

I.4.C.ii.(a)(vi) Expected Remittance/Payment from Risk-Sharing Arrangements	40
I.4.C.ii.(b) Remittance/Payment Requirements for Specified Medical Loss Ratio – Not Applicable	40
I.4.C.ii.(c) Reinsurance Requirements	41
I.4.C.ii.(c)(i) Description of Reinsurance Requirements	41
I.4.C.ii.(c)(ii) Effect on Development of Capitation Rates	41
I.4.C.ii.(c)(iii) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practice	es42
I.4.C.ii.(c)(iv) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop the Reinsurance Offset	42
I.4.D. State Directed Payments	42
I.4.D.i. Rate Development Standards	42
I.4.D.ii. Appropriate Documentation	42
I.4.D.ii.(a) Description of SDPs	42
I.4.D.ii.(a)(i) Type and Description of SDP Arrangements	42
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii) SDPs Incorporated in Capitation Rates	44
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(A) Rate Cells Affected	44
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(B) Impact on the Rate Cells	44
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(C) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop SDP Adjustment	44
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement	45
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(E) Maximum Fee Schedule – Not Applicable	45
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii) SDPs Under Separate Payment Arrangement	45
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(A) Aggregate Amount	45
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(B) Actuarial Certification of the Amount of the Separate Payment Term	46
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(C) Estimated Impact by Rate Cell	47
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement	47
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(E) Future Documentation Requirements	47
I.4.D.ii.(b) Confirmation of No Other SDPs	48
I.4.D.ii.(c) Confirmation Regarding Required Reimbursement Rates	48
I.4.E. Pass-Through Payments – Not Applicable	49
I.5. Projected Non-Benefit Costs	50
I.5.A. Rate Development Standards	50
I.5.B. Appropriate Documentation	50
I.5.B.i. Description of the Development of Projected Non-Benefit Costs	50
I.5.B.i.(a) Data, Assumptions, Methodology	50

I.5.B.i.(b) Changes from the Previous Rate Certification	51
I.5.B.i.(c) Any Other Material Changes	51
I.5.B.ii. Projected Non-Benefit Costs by Category	51
I.5.B.ii.(a) Administrative Costs	51
I.5.B.ii.(b) Taxes and Other Fees	51
I.5.B.ii.(c) Contribution to Reserves, Risk Margin, and Cost of Capital	51
I.5.B.ii.(d) Other Material Non-Benefit Costs	51
I.5.B.iii. Historical Non-Benefit Cost	51
I.6. Risk Adjustment – Not Applicable	52
I.7. Acuity Adjustments – Not Applicable	52
Section II Medicaid Managed Care Rates with Long-Term Services and Supports	53
II.1. Managed Long-Term Services and Supports	53
II.1.A. Applicability of Section I for MLTSS	53
II.1.B. Rate Development Standards	53
II.1.B.i. Rate Cell Structure	53
II.1.B.i.(a) Blended Capitation Rate	53
II.1.B.i.(b) Non-Blended Capitation Rate – Not Applicable	53
II.1.C. Appropriate Documentation	53
II.1.C.i. Considerations	53
II.1.C.i.(a) Rate Cell Structure	53
II.1.C.i.(b) Data, Assumptions, Methodologies	53
II.1.C.i.(c) Other Payment Structures, Incentives, or Disincentives	53
II.1.C.i.(d) Effect of MLTSS on Utilization and Unit Cost	54
II.1.C.i.(e) Effect of MLTSS on Setting of Care	54
II.1.C.ii. Projected Non-Benefit Costs	54
II.1.C.iii. Additional Information	54
Section III New Adult Group Capitation Rates – Not Applicable	55
Appendix 1: Actuarial Certification	56
Appendix 2: Certified Capitation Rates	58
Appendix 3: Fiscal Impact Summary and Comparison to Prior Rates	60
Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments	62
Appendix 5: Projected Benefit Cost Trends	75

Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component	.77
Appendix 7a: Capitation Rate Development – Medical Component	.90
Appendix 7b: Capitation Rate Development – Final Capitation Rate	.93
Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments – CMS Prescribed Table	.95
Appendix 8b: State Directed Payments – Estimated PMPMs	.99



Introduction and Limitations

The purpose of this rate certification is to provide documentation for compliance with the applicable provisions of 42 CFR Part 438. This includes the data, assumptions, and methodologies used in the development of the actuarially sound capitation rates for Contract Year Ending 2026 (CYE 26) for the Arizona Long Term Care System (ALTCS) Elderly and Physical Disability (ALTCS-EPD) Program. Programs under AHCCCS and their respective contracts have been aligned with the federal fiscal year since October 1, 2018. All contract years referenced below cover the timeframe from October 1 of one year through September 30 of the following year (e.g., CYE 26 covers the timeframe from October 1, 2025, through September 30, 2026).

This rate certification was prepared for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), or its actuaries, for review and approval of the actuarially sound certified capitation rates contained herein. This rate certification may not be appropriate for any other purpose. The actuarially sound capitation rates represent projections of future events. Actual results may vary from the projections.

This rate certification may also be made available publicly on the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) website or distributed to other parties. If this rate certification is made available to third parties, then this rate certification should be provided in its entirety. Any third party reviewing this rate certification should be familiar with the AHCCCS Medicaid managed care program, the provisions of 42 CFR Part 438 applicable to this rate certification, the 2025-2026 Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide (2026 Guide), Actuarial Standards of Practice, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

The 2026 Guide describes the rate development standards and appropriate documentation to be included within Medicaid managed care rate certifications. This rate certification has been organized to follow the 2026 Guide to help facilitate the review of this rate certification by CMS.



Section I Medicaid Managed Care Rates

The capitation rates included with this rate certification are considered actuarially sound according to the following criteria from 42 CFR § 438.4(a) and 42 CFR § 438.4(b). The state did not opt to develop capitation rate ranges, therefore adherence to 42 CFR § 438.4(c) is not required.

- § 438.4(a) Actuarially sound capitation rates defined. Actuarially sound capitation rates are projected to provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs that are required under the terms of the contract and for the operation of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP for the time period and the population covered under the terms of the contract, and such capitation rates are developed in accordance with the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section.
- § 438.4(b) CMS review and approval of actuarially sound capitation rates. Capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs must be reviewed and approved by CMS as actuarially sound. To be approved by CMS, capitation rates must:
- § 438.4(b)(1) Have been developed in accordance with standards specified in § 438.5 and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations must be based on valid rate development standards that represent actual cost differences in providing covered services to the covered populations. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates must not vary with the rate of Federal financial participation (FFP) associated with the covered populations in a manner that increases Federal costs. The determination that differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs increase Federal costs and vary with the rate of FFP associated with the covered populations must be evaluated for the entire managed care program and include all managed care contracts for all covered populations. CMS may require a State to provide written documentation and justification that any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations or contracts represent actual cost differences based on the characteristics and mix of the covered services or the covered populations.
- § 438.4(b)(2) Be appropriate for the populations to be covered and the services to be furnished under the contract.
- § 438.4(b)(3) Be adequate to meet the requirements on MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs in §§ 438.206, 438.207, and 438.208.
- § 438.4(b)(4) Be specific to payments for each rate cell under the contract.
- § 438.4(b)(5) Payments from any rate cell must not cross-subsidize or be cross-subsidized by payments for any other rate cell.
- § 438.4(b)(6) Be certified by an actuary as meeting the applicable requirements of this part, including that the rates have been developed in accordance with the requirements specified in § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and (e).
- § 438.4(b)(7) Meet any applicable special contract provisions as specified in § 438.6.
- § 438.4(b)(8) Be provided to CMS in a format and within a timeframe that meets requirements in § 438.7.



• § 438.4(b)(9) Be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard, as calculated under § 438.8, of at least 85 percent for the rate year. The capitation rates may be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard greater than 85 percent, as calculated under § 438.8, as long as the capitation rates are adequate for reasonable, appropriate, and attainable non-benefit costs.

The actuaries have followed generally accepted actuarial practices and regulatory requirements, including published guidance from the American Academy of Actuaries (AAA), the Actuarial Standards Board (ASB), CMS, and federal regulations. In particular, the actuaries referenced the below during the development of the actuarially sound capitation rates:

- Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs) applicable to Medicaid managed care rate setting which were effective before the start date of the rating period:
 - o ASOP No. 1 Introductory Actuarial Standard of Practice,
 - o ASOP No. 5 Incurred Health and Disability Claims,
 - o ASOP No. 12 Risk Classification (for All Practice Areas),
 - ASOP No. 23 Data Quality,
 - o ASOP No. 25 Credibility Procedures,
 - ASOP No. 41 Actuarial Communications,
 - o ASOP No. 45 The Use of Health Status Based Risk Adjustment Methodologies,
 - o ASOP No. 49 Medicaid Managed Care Capitation Rate Development and Certification, and
 - o ASOP No. 56 Modeling.
- The 2016, 2020, and 2024 Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Final Rules (CMS-2390-F, CMS-2408-F, and CMS-2439-F)
- FAQs related to payments to MCOs and PIHPs for IMD stays
- The 2025-2026 Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide (2026 Guide) published by CMS

Throughout this actuarial certification, the term "actuarially sound" will be defined as in ASOP 49 (consistent with the definition at 42 CFR § 438.4(a)):

"Medicaid capitation rates are "actuarially sound" if, for business for which the certification is being prepared and for the period covered by the certification, projected capitation rates and other revenue sources provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs. For purposes of this definition, other revenue sources include, but are not limited to, expected reinsurance and governmental stop-loss cash flows, governmental risk adjustment cash flows, and investment income. For purposes of this definition, costs include, but are not limited to, expected health benefits, health benefit settlement expenses, administrative expenses, the cost of capital, and government-mandated assessments, fees, and taxes."



As stated on page 4 of the 2026 Guide, CMS will also use these three principles in applying the regulation standards:

- the capitation rates are reasonable and comply with all applicable laws (statutes and regulations) for Medicaid managed care;
- the rate development process complies with all applicable laws (statutes and regulations) for the Medicaid program, including but not limited to eligibility, benefits, financing, any applicable waiver or demonstration requirements, and program integrity; and
- the documentation is sufficient to demonstrate that the rate development process meets the requirements of 42 CFR Part 438 and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

I.1. General Information

This section provides documentation for the General Information section of the 2026 Guide.

I.1.A. Rate Development Standards

I.1.A.i. Standards and Documentation for Rate Ranges

This section of the 2026 Guide notes that standards and documentation expectations are not different for capitation rates and capitation rate ranges, except where otherwise stated.

I.1.A.ii. Rating Period

The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program are effective for the 12-month time period from October 1, 2025, through September 30, 2026.

I.1.A.iii. Required Elements

I.1.A.iii.(a) Letter from Certifying Actuary

The actuarial certification letter for the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program, signed by Matthew C. Varitek, FSA, MAAA and Elizabeth Seaman, ASA, MAAA, is in Appendix 1. Mr. Varitek and Ms. Seaman meet the requirements for the definition of an Actuary described at 42 CFR § 438.2 which is provided below for reference.

Actuary means an individual who meets the qualification standards established by the American Academy of Actuaries for an actuary and follows the practice standards established by the Actuarial Standards Board. In this part, Actuary refers to an individual who is acting on behalf of the State when used in reference to the development and certification of capitation rates.

Mr. Varitek and Ms. Seaman certify that the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program contained in this rate certification are actuarially sound and meet the standards within the applicable provisions of 42 CFR Part 438.

I.1.A.iii.(b) Final and Certified Capitation Rates

The final and certified capitation rates by rate cell are in Appendix 2. Additionally, the ALTCS-EPD Program contract includes the final and certified capitation rates by rate cell in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(i). The ALTCS-EPD Program contract uses the term risk group instead of rate cell. This rate certification will use the term rate cell when identifying a population at the certified capitation rate level (as shown in Appendices 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, and 8b) to be consistent with the applicable provisions of 42 CFR Part 438 and the 2026 Guide and will use the term risk group when identifying a population not at the certified capitation rate level, e.g., the Duals risk group represents members who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid in the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.1.A.iii.(c) Program Information

This section of the rate certification provides a summary of information about the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.1.A.iii.(c)(i) Summary of Program

I.1.A.iii.(c)(i)(A) Type and Number of Managed Care Plans

The ALTCS-EPD Program contracts with three managed care organizations. The number of managed care organizations contracted with the Program varies by Geographical Service Area (GSA). Each ALTCS-EPD Program Contractor must have a dual eligible special needs plan (D-SNP) certified by either AHCCCS or Arizona Department of Insurance and Financial Institutions.

Table 1a provides the counties and zip codes covered in each GSA. Table 1b provides information about the GSAs each Contractor is responsible for, as well as the associated D-SNP for each Contractor.

Table 1a: GSA and Counties

GSA	Counties	
North	Apache, Coconino, Mohave, Navajo, and Yavapai	
Central	Gila, Maricopa, and Pinal (excluding zip codes 85542, 85192, and 85550)	
South	Cochise, Graham, Greenlee, La Paz, Pima, Santa Cruz, and Yuma (including zip codes 85542,	
	85192, and 85550)	

Table 1b: GSA and D-SNP Information by Contractor

Contractor	GSAs	D-SNP
Banner – University Family Care	Central, South	Banner – University Care
(Banner – UFC)		Advantage
Mercy Care	Central, South (Pima	Mercy Care
	County Only)	
UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	North, Central	Arizona Physicians IPA
(UnitedHealthcare)		

I.1.A.iii.(c)(i)(B) General Description of Benefits

This certification covers the ALTCS-EPD Program which provides Long-Term Services & Supports (LTSS), as well as physical and mental health services and case management services to eligible members who are elderly and/or have physical disabilities.

Additional information regarding covered services can be found in the Scope of Services section of the ALTCS-EPD contract.

I.1.A.iii.(c)(i)(C) Area of State Covered and Length of time Program in Operation

The ALTCS-EPD Program operates on a statewide basis and, since the late 1980s, it has been the health plan for individuals who are elderly and/or have a physical disability.

I.1.A.iii.(c)(ii) Rating Period Covered

The rate certification for the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program is effective for the 12-month time period from October 1, 2025, through September 30, 2026.

I.1.A.iii.(c)(iii) Covered Populations

The populations covered under ALTCS-EPD Program are individuals who are elderly and/or have physical disabilities and have been deemed eligible to receive long-term care services through ALTCS.

Additional information regarding covered populations can be found in the Enrollment and Disenrollment section of the ALTCS-EPD contract.

The ALTCS-EPD Program has two risk groups: one group for members who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid ("Duals") and another group for members who are not eligible for Medicare ("Non-Duals"). The capitation rates fund prospective and prior period coverage (PPC) of members for long-term, acute, mental health and case management services. The rates also include coverage of acute care only services for members that qualify for ALTCS but decline to receive long-term care services. Rates for the ALTCS-EPD population differ by GSA, risk group, and Contractor.

I.1.A.iii.(c)(iv) Eligibility or Enrollment Criteria

ALTCS determines eligibility for ALTCS-EPD services through eligibility offices located throughout the State. Further information is available in the "Eligibility" and "Enrollment and Disenrollment" sections of the ALTCS-EPD Contract.

There are no expected changes to the eligibility and enrollment criteria. Therefore, there are no expected impacts on the population to be covered under the ALTCS-EPD Program during CYE 26.

I.1.A.iii.(c)(v) Summary of Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment

This rate certification includes special contract provisions related to payment as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6. The special contract provisions related to payment included in the CYE 26 capitation rates are:

- Risk Corridor Arrangement (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1))
- Reinsurance Arrangement (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1))
- Alternative Payment Model (APM) Initiative Performance Based Payments (Incentive Arrangement) (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(2))
- APM Initiative Quality Measure Performance (Incentive Arrangement) (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(2))
- APM Initiative Quality Measure Performance (Withhold Arrangement) (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(3))
- State directed payments (SDPs) (42 CFR § 438.6(c))
 - Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics (FQHC/RHC) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A))
 - Vaccines for Children (VFC) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A))
 - Differential Adjusted Payments (DAP) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Access to Professional Services Initiative (APSI) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Pediatric Services Initiative (PSI) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative (HEALTHII)
 (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Safety Net Services Initiative (SNSI) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Nursing Facility Supplemental Payments (NF-SP) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))



Documentation on these special contract provisions related to payment can be found in Section I.4 of this rate certification.

I.1.A.iv. Rate Development Standards and FFP

All proposed differences among the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program are based on valid rate development standards and are not based on the rate of FFP for the populations covered under the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.1.A.v. Rate Cell Cross-Subsidization

The CYE 26 capitation rates were developed at the rate cell level. Payments from rate cells do not cross-subsidize payments of other rate cells.

I.1.A.vi. Effective Dates of Changes

The effective dates of changes to the ALTCS-EPD Program are consistent with the assumptions used to develop the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.1.A.vii. Minimum Medical Loss Ratio

The certified capitation rates were developed such that each ALTCS-EPD Program Contractor would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio, as calculated under 42 CFR § 438.8, of at least 85 percent for CYE 26.

I.1.A.viii. Conditions for Certifying Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The actuaries are not certifying capitation rate ranges.

I.1.A.ix. Certifying Actuarially Sound Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The actuaries are not certifying capitation rate ranges.

I.1.A.x. Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices

I.1.A.x.(a) Reasonable, Appropriate, and Attainable Costs

In the actuaries' judgment, all adjustments to the capitation rates, or to any portion of the capitation rates, reflect reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs. To the actuaries' knowledge, there are no reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs which have not been included in the rate certification.

I.1.A.x.(b) Rate Setting Process

Adjustments to the rates that are performed outside of the rate setting process described in the rate certification are not considered actuarially sound under 42 CFR § 438.4. There are no adjustments to the rates performed outside the rate setting process described in this rate certification.

I.1.A.x.(c) Contracted Rates

Consistent with 42 CFR § 438.7(c), the final contracted rates in each cell must match the capitation rates in the rate certification. This is required in total and for each and every rate cell. The CYE 26 capitation rates certified in this report represent the contracted rates by rate cell.

I.1.A.xi. Rates from Previous Rating Periods - Not Applicable

Not applicable. Capitation rates from previous rating periods are not used in the development of the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.1.A.xii. Evaluation of Unwinding of the COVID-19 PHE

This section of the 2026 Guide includes CMS recommendations for risk mitigation strategies for rating periods following the end of the public health emergency (PHE) until enrollment is expected to stabilize. All risk mitigation strategies are addressed in the contract and below in Section I.4.C. and will be submitted to CMS prior to the start of the rating period in accordance with the specific documentation requirements under 42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1).

This section also requests description of evaluations conducted, and the rationale for any applicable assumptions included or not included in rate development related to the COVID-19 PHE and related unwinding within the rate certification.

Information on all assumptions included in the rate development, based on the available and applicable state specific, as well as nationally and regionally applicable, data (outlined below in Section I.1.B.xi.(a)), to address the direct and indirect impacts of unwinding of the PHE and the maintenance of effort (MOE) requirements are described in each of the sections below:

- I.1.B.xi.(a) Available Applicable Data
- I.1.B.xi.(b) Accounting for Direct and Indirect Impacts
- I.1.B.xi.(d) Risk Mitigation Strategies
- I.2.B.iii.(d) Changes in the Program

Additional evaluation conducted related to the COVID-19 PHE and related unwinding which did not result in adjustments to the rate development for CYE 26 varies by program. The ALTCS-EPD Program was not impacted by the MOE requirements of the PHE in the same way that other AHCCCS programs were, being a long term services and supports program with different rules for eligibility as described further in Section I.1.B.xi.(b), which resulted in the evaluation of changes in acuity being negligible, and so while the population was evaluated for acuity changes, no adjustments to the rate were made as they were unnecessary.

I.1.A.xiii. Rate Certification ProceduresI.1.A.xiii.(a) Timely Filing for Claiming FFP

This section of the 2026 Guide reminds states of the responsibility to comply with the time limit for filing claims for FFP specified in section 1132 of the Social Security Act and implementing regulations at 45 CFR Part 95. Timely filing of rate certifications to CMS will help mitigate timely filing concerns.

I.1.A.xiii.(b) CMS Rate Certification Requirement for Retroactive Rate AdjustmentsNot Applicable

Not applicable. This rate certification does not cover retroactive adjustments for previous capitation rates. This is a new rate certification that documents that the ALTCS-EPD Program capitation rates are changing effective October 1, 2025.

I.1.A.xiii.(c) CMS Rate Certification Requirement for No Rate Change – Not Applicable

Not applicable. This rate certification will change the ALTCS-EPD Program capitation rates effective October 1, 2025.

I.1.A.xiii.(d) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Special Contract Provisions – Not Applicable

Not applicable. This is a new rate certification that documents that the ALTCS-EPD Program capitation rates are changing effective October 1, 2025. In the event of any change to capitation rates due to special payment provisions under 42 CFR § 438.6, with the exception of risk-sharing mechanisms which cannot be added or modified after the start of the contract year, the state will submit a revised rate certification to CMS as required.

I.1.A.xiii.(e) CMS Rate Certification Requirements for Retroactive SDP Adjustments

This section of the 2026 Guide reminds states of the requirements associated with retroactive adjustments to capitation rates when those adjustments result from a state directed payment under 42 CFR § 438.6, as required under 42 CFR § 438.7(c)(5). A retroactive adjustment resulting from a state directed payment must be a result of adding or amending any state directed payment consistent with the requirements in 42 CFR § 438.6(c), or a material error in the data, assumptions, or methodologies used to develop the initial capitation rate adjustment such that modifications are necessary to correct the error.

I.1.A.xiii.(f) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Limited Payment Changes

This section of the 2026 Guide provides information on limited payment changes where CMS would not require a new rate certification. These limited payment changes include:

- for certified rates per rate cell, increasing or decreasing the most recently certified actuarially sound capitation rates per rate cell up to 1.5% during the rating period, in accordance with 42 CFR §§ 438.7(c)(3) and 438.4(b)(4),
- for certified rate ranges for the rate cell(s), increasing or decreasing capitation rates within the
 certified rate range up to 1% during the rating period, in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.4(c)(2),
 or
- applying an approved risk adjustment methodology specified in the contract and rate certification for that rating period, in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.7(b)(5)(iii).

I.1.A.xiii.(g) CMS Contract Amendment Requirement

CMS requires a contract amendment be submitted whenever capitation rates change for any reason other than application of an approved payment term (e.g., risk adjustment methodology) which was included in the initial managed care contract. The state will submit a contract amendment to CMS as required.

I.1.A.xiii.(h) CMS Contract and Rate Amendment Requirement for Changes in Law

CMS requires a contract amendment and capitation rate amendment in the event that any State Medicaid program feature is invalidated by a court of law, or a change in federal statute, regulation, or approval. The rate amendment adjusting the capitation rates must remove costs specific to any program or activity no longer authorized by law, taking into account the effective date of the loss of program authority.

I.1.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.1.B.i. Capitation Rates or Rate Ranges

The actuaries are certifying capitation rates for each rate cell.

I.1.B.ii. Elements

This rate certification documents all the elements (data, assumptions, and methodologies) used to develop the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.1.B.iii. Medical Loss Ratio

The capitation rates were developed so each Contractor would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio (MLR) standard of at least 85 percent as required per 42 CFR § 438.4(b)(9). The AHCCCS Division of Business and Finance (DBF) Actuarial Team calculates a modified MLR where the only inclusion in the numerator is the projected gross medical expense component of the capitation rates (discounts related to pharmacy rebates are included in this calculation), ensuring the result of the calculation will be less

than or equal to the actual MLR calculation because the modified MLR calculation does not include any considerations for the allowed additional expenses under 42 CFR § 438.8(e)(3)-(4) in the numerator. For CYE 26 capitation rates, the modified MLR for each ALTCS-EPD Contractor was greater than 85 percent. Per 42 CFR § 438.5(b)(5) the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed past MLR results focusing in on the MLR results that correspond to the base period and for any Contractors performing below 85 percent the actuaries would make adjustments to assumptions in capitation rate setting where appropriate, however this was not necessary because all Contractors for all programs were above 85 percent MLR for the base period.

I.1.B.iv. Capitation Rate Cell Assumptions

This section of the 2026 Guide notes that the certification must disclose and support the specific assumptions that underlie the certified rates for each rate cell. To the extent assumptions or adjustments underlying the capitation rates vary between managed care plans, the certification must also describe the basis for the variation.

All such assumptions and adjustments are described in the rate certification.

I.1.B.v. Capitation Rate Range Assumptions – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The actuaries did not develop capitation rate ranges.

I.1.B.vi. Rate Certification Index

The table of contents that follows the cover page within this rate certification serves as the index. The table of contents includes relevant section numbers from the 2026 Guide. Sections of the 2026 Guide that do not apply will be marked as "Not Applicable"; any section wherein all subsections are not applicable will be collapsed to the section heading.

I.1.B.vii. Assurance Rate Assumptions Do Not Differ by FFP

All proposed differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop the certified CYE 26 capitation rates for the covered populations under the ALTCS-EPD Program are based on valid rate development standards that represent actual cost differences in providing covered services to the covered populations, and these differences do not vary with the rate of FFP associated with the covered populations in a manner that increases federal costs, in compliance with 42 CFR § 438.4(b)(1). CMS may request additional documentation and justification that any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used in the development of the capitation rates represent actual cost assumptions based on the characteristics and mix of the covered services or the covered populations.

I.1.B.viii. Differences in Federal Medical Assistance Percentage

All covered populations under the ALTCS-EPD Program receive the regular Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP).

I.1.B.ix. Comparison to Prior Rates

I.1.B.ix.(a) Comparison to Previous Rate Certification

The 2026 Guide requests a comparison to the final certified rates in the previous rate certification. The comparisons between the most recently certified CYE 25 ALTCS-EPD Program capitation rates effective April 1, 2025, and the CYE 26 capitation rates being certified in this actuarial rate certification are available in Appendix 3.

The 2026 Guide also requires descriptions of what is leading to large, or negative changes in rates from the previous rating period. As in past years, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team has defined any change greater than 10% as a large change, and any capitation rate that was less than the rate for the same rate cell in the prior year as a negative change in the rate. There are no large or negative changes in the CYE 26 capitation rates as compared to the most recent certified CYE 25 capitation rates.

I.1.B.ix.(b) Material Changes to Capitation Rate Development

There have been no material changes since the last rate certification other than those described elsewhere in the certification.

I.1.B.ix.(c) De Minimis Changes to Previous Period Capitation Rates

The state did not adjust the actuarially sound capitation rates in the previous rating period by a *de minimis* amount using the authority in 42 CFR § 438.7(c)(3).

I.1.B.x. Future Rate Amendments

There are no known future amendments to the ALTCS-EPD Program capitation rates at this time.

I.1.B.xi. Addressing Impacts of Unwinding the COVID-19 PHE

I.1.B.xi.(a) Available Applicable Data

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team and AHCCCS DBF financial analysts have reviewed data, regulations, and information from a variety of applicable sources to address the unwinding of the PHE and the MOE requirements in rate setting. For CYE 26 rate development, AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team has used a base data time period beginning six months after the end date of the Medicaid continuous coverage protection. Further details about state specific and national data sources used for rate development after the unwinding are listed below.

State Data Sources

- AHCCCS historical and current encounter data including utilization and costs by category of service (COS), risk group, GSA, and program
- o AHCCCS telehealth utilization and cost data by risk group, GSA, and program
- o AHCCCS historical and current enrollment by risk group, GSA, and program
- AHCCCS Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) and Nursing Facility (NF)
 placement by risk group, GSA, and ALTCS-EPD Contractor

- National Data Sources
 - o Consumer and Producer price inflation data published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics
 - National webinars discussing various impacts of the end of continuous coverage protections
 - Letters and guidance related to the PHE unwinding published by CMS:
 - State Health Official Letter 23-002
 - CMS Policy Guidance FAQ dated May 12, 2023, on unwinding the continuous enrollment requirement
 - State Medicaid Director Letter 23-004

I.1.B.xi.(b) Accounting for Direct and Indirect Impacts

The list above in Section I.1.A.xii. details the sections of the certification which describe assumptions included in the rate development to address the direct and indirect impacts of the unwinding of the COVID-19 PHE. A brief narrative summary of how the capitation rates account for the direct and indirect impacts of unwinding is provided below.

The CYE 26 capitation rates use a base data experience period that reflects changes in service delivery that have continued beyond the unwinding of the PHE, such as increased telehealth usage. The rate development also captures the impact of the ending of governmental purchase/subsidization of two COVID-19 treatments, Paxlovid and Lagevrio, as addressed in Section I.2.B.iii.(d).

As noted above in Section I.1.A.xii., the MOE requirements under the FFCRA had little to no impact on the membership under the ALTCS-EPD Program as eligibility is predicated upon needing the level of medical support required by the ALTCS eligibility statutes. Any member leaving the ALTCS-EPD Program due to no longer meeting the ALTCS medical support requirements would have had their Medicaid eligibility continued under another non-ALTCS AHCCCS program and had their eligibility redetermined there during the unwinding period. Because of these unique aspects of eligibility for the ALTCS-EPD Program, there were no measurable changes in the acuity of the membership due to the ending of the continuous coverage protections effective March 31, 2023, and no acuity adjustment was necessary.

I.1.B.xi.(c) COVID-19 Costs Paid Outside of Capitation Rates (Non-Risk) – Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no COVID-19 costs covered on a non-risk basis outside of the CYE 26 capitation rates.

I.1.B.xi.(d) Risk Mitigation Strategies

AHCCCS has a long-standing program policy of including risk corridors within the managed care programs to protect the State against excessive Contractor profits and to protect Contractors from excessive losses. This risk-sharing arrangement also contributes to Contractor sustainability and program continuity, which is an additional intangible benefit to the stability of the Medicaid member. The CYE 26 contracts will continue AHCCCS' long-standing program policy and will include risk corridors. There are no risk mitigation strategies utilized specifically for COVID-19 costs for CYE 26.

I.2. Data

This section provides documentation for the Data section of the 2026 Guide.

I.2.A. Rate Development Standards

I.2.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c)

AHCCCS actuaries have followed the rate development standards related to base data in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c). The data types, sources, validation methodologies, material adjustments, and other information related to the documentation standards required by CMS are documented in the subsections of I.2.B.

I.2.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.2.B.i. Data Request

Since AHCCCS employs their own actuaries, a formal data request was not needed between the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team and the State. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team worked with the appropriate teams at AHCCCS to obtain the primary sources of data in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c).

I.2.B.ii. Data Used for Rate Development

I.2.B.ii.(a) Description of Data

I.2.B.ii.(a)(i) Types of Data Used

The primary data sources used or reviewed for the development of the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program were:

- Adjudicated and approved encounter data submitted by the ALTCS-EPD Contractors and provided from the AHCCCS Prepaid Medical Management Information System (PMMIS) mainframe
 - Incurred from October 2020 through February 2025
 - Adjudicated and approved through the second February 2025 encounter cycle
- Reinsurance payments made to the same ALTCS-EPD Contractors for services
 - o Incurred from October 2019 through September 2024 paid through May 2025
- Enrollment data for the same ALTCS-EPD Contractors from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe
 - October 2020 through July 2025
- Annual and quarterly financial statements submitted by the same ALTCS-EPD Contractors and reviewed by the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team
 - October 2020 through March 2025
- AHCCCS Fee-for-Service (FFS) fee schedules developed and maintained by AHCCCS DBF Rates
 & Reimbursement Team
- Data from AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team related to DAP, see Section I.4.D.

 Data from AHCCCS DBF financial analysts related to program changes, see Sections I.2.B.iii.(d) and I.3.B.ii.(a)

Additional sources of data used or reviewed were:

- Supplemental historical and projected data associated with benefit costs, non-benefit costs, and membership provided by the Contractors, including additional detail on claims runout and prior period adjustments included in financial statements
- Adjudicated and approved encounter data from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe for use in the Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) analysis, incurred in CYE 24
- Projected CYE 26 enrollment data provided by AHCCCS DBF Budget Team
- NF and HCBS placement data for October 2020 through July 2025
- Member level share of cost data provided by AHCCCS for October 2020 through September 2024

Any additional data used and not identified here will be identified in their applicable sections below.

I.2.B.ii.(a)(ii) Age of Data

The age of the data are listed above in Section I.2.B.ii.(a)(i).

I.2.B.ii.(a)(iii) Sources of Data

The sources of the data are listed above in Section I.2.B.ii.(a)(i).

I.2.B.ii.(a)(iv) Sub-capitated Arrangements

AHCCCS Contractors sometimes use sub-capitation/block purchasing arrangements for some services. The sub-capitation and block purchasing arrangements between the Contractors and their providers require that the providers submit claims for services provided, which go through the same encounter edit and adjudication process as other claims which are not sub-capitated/block purchased. These claims come into the system with a CN1 code = 05, which is an indicator for sub-capitated/block purchased encounters, and health plan paid amount equaling zero. After the encounter has been adjudicated and approved, there are repricing methodologies (i.e., formulas) for sub capitated/block purchased encounters to estimate a health plan valued amount in place of the health plan paid amount of zero. The units of service data from the encounters and the repriced amounts were used as the basis for calculating utilization per 1000 and unit cost values.

I.2.B.ii.(a)(v) Base Data Exception - Not Applicable

Not applicable. No exception to the base data requirements was necessary for capitation rate development.

I.2.B.ii.(b) Availability and Quality of the Data

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i) Data Validation Steps

Guidelines and formats for submitting individual encounters generally follow health insurance industry standards used by commercial insurance companies and Medicare; however, some requirements are specific to the AHCCCS program. All encounter submissions are subject to translation and validation using standards and custom business rules (guidelines). Once translation has occurred and the encounters pass validation, they are passed to the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe and are subject to approximately 500 claims type edits resulting in the approval, denial, or pend of each encounter. This process occurs for both regular and sub-capitated/block purchased encounters.

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team regularly reviews monthly adjudicated and approved encounters by form type on a cost basis and a PMPM basis looking for anomalous patterns in encounter, unit, or cost totals, such as incurred months where totals are unusually low or high. If any anomalies are found, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reports the findings to the AHCCCS Information Services Division (ISD) Data Management and Oversight (DMO) Team, who then works with the Contractors to identify causes. In addition, the AHCCCS ISD DMO Team performs their own checks and validations on the encounters and monitors the number of encounters that are adjudicated and approved each month.

AHCCCS Contractors know encounters are used for capitation rate setting, reconciliations (risk corridors), and reinsurance payments, and thus are cognizant of the importance of timely and accurate encounter submissions. AHCCCS provides the Contractors with the "Encounter Monthly Data File" (aka the "magic" file) which contains the previous 36 months of encounter data. Data fields contained in this file include, but are not limited to, adjudication status, AHCCCS ID, Claim Reference Number (CRN), Provider ID and various cost amounts. The adjudication status has five types: adjudicated/approved, adjudicated/plan denied, adjudicated/AHCCCS denied, pended and adjudicated/void. Generally, the capitation rate setting process only uses the adjudicated/approved encounters but providing this file to the Contractors allows them to compare to their claim payments to identify discrepancies and evaluate the need for new or revised submissions.

All of these processes create confidence in the quality of the encounter data.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(A) Completeness of the Data

The AHCCCS ISD DMO Team performs encounter data validation studies to evaluate the completeness, accuracy, and timeliness of the collected encounter data.



I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(B) Accuracy of the Data

AHCCCS has an additional encounter process which ensures that each adjudicated and approved encounter contains a valid AHCCCS member ID for an individual who was enrolled on the date that the service was provided. The process also checks to ensure that each adjudicated and approved encounter is for a covered service under the state plan and contains the codes necessary to map it into one of the COS used in the rate development process.

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed the encounter data provided from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe and ensured that only encounter data with valid AHCCCS member IDs was used in developing the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program. Additionally, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team ensured that only services covered under the state plan were included.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(C) Consistency of the Data

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team compared the CYE 24 encounter data for all services provided by ALTCS-EPD Contractors to the annual financial statement data for the same entities for CYE 24. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team also compared the CYE 24 encounter data to the yearly supplemental data request from the ALTCS-EPD Contractors. After adjustments were made to the encounter data for completion, the financial statements, the AHCCCS encounter data, and the ALTCS-EPD Contractors' encounter data were judged to be consistent for capitation rate setting.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii) Actuaries' Assessment of the Data

As required by ASOP No. 23, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team discloses that the rate development process has relied upon encounter data submitted by the ALTCS-EPD Contractors and provided from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe. Additionally, the rate development process has relied upon the audited annual and unaudited quarterly financial statement data submitted by the ALTCS-EPD Contractors and reviewed by the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team did not audit the data or financial statements and the rate development is dependent upon this reliance. The actuaries note additional reliance on the following:

- data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team with regard to DAP and fee schedule impacts,
- data provided by the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts with regard to some program changes,
- information and data provided by Milliman consultants with regard to the HEALTHII and SNSI SDPs.
- data provided by ALTCS-EPD Contractors in the yearly supplemental data request with regards to administrative and case management components,
- data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Budget Team with regards to projected enrollment.

The actuaries have found the encounter data in total to be appropriate for the purposes of developing the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(iii) Data Concerns

There were no material concerns identified with the availability or quality of the data.

I.2.B.ii.(c) Appropriate Data for Rate Development

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team determined that the CYE 24 encounter data in total was appropriate to use as the base data for developing the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.2.B.ii.(c)(i) Not using Encounter or Fee-for-Service Data – Not Applicable

Not Applicable. As described above in Section I.2.B.ii.(c), managed care encounters served as the primary data source for the development of the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.2.B.ii.(c)(ii) Not using Managed Care Encounter Data - Not Applicable

Not applicable. As described above in Section I.2.B.ii.(c), managed care encounters served as the primary data source for the development of the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.2.B.ii.(d) Use of a Data Book - Not Applicable

Not applicable. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team did not rely on a data book to develop the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.2.B.iii. Adjustments to the Data

This section describes adjustments made to the CYE 24 encounter data that was used as the base data for developing the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.2.B.iii.(a) Credibility of the Data - Not Applicable

Not applicable. No credibility adjustments were made to the CYE 24 encounter data.

I.2.B.iii.(b) Completion Factors

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team developed completion factors to apply to the encounter data. Completion factors were calculated using the development method with monthly encounter data incurred from October 2020 through February 2025 and adjudicated and approved through the second encounter cycle for February 2025. The completion factors were developed by Contractor, GSA, major COS, and month of service, combining the experience of Dual and Non-Dual members. The major COS used in ALTCS-EPD completion factor development are primarily based upon the AHCCCS form type, with form A (CMS-1500 professional form type) being further subdivided into HCBS and acute services. Distinct completion factors are therefore developed for the following COS: Nursing Facility (LTSS, form type L), HCBS (LTSS, form type A), Acute-Inpatient (non-LTSS, form type I), Acute-Outpatient (non-LTSS, form type O), Acute-Pharmacy (non-LTSS, form type C), and Acute-Other (non-LTSS, form types A and D). The monthly completion factors were applied to the encounter data on a monthly basis. Aggregate CYE 24 completion factors by Contractor, GSA, risk group, and COS can be found in Appendix 4. Table 2 displays the aggregate impact of completion by GSA.

Table 2: Impact of Completion Factors

GSA	Before Completion	After Completion	Impact
North	\$4,015.49	\$4,103.88	2.20%
Central	\$5,108.19	\$5,187.19	1.55%
South	\$5,000.86	\$5,066.57	1.31%
Total	\$4,988.88	\$5,065.55	1.54%

I.2.B.iii.(c) Errors Found in the Data

No errors were found in the data. Thus, no data adjustments were made for errors.

I.2.B.iii.(d) Changes in the Program

All adjustments to the base data for program and fee schedule changes which occurred during the base period (October 1, 2023, through September 30, 2024) are described below, or in Section I.3.A.v. for base data adjustments required with respect to IMD in lieu of services. All other program and fee schedule changes which occurred or are effective on or after October 1, 2024, are described in Section I.3.B.ii.(a).

If a base data adjustment change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the rate for every individual risk group at the GSA level, that adjustment was deemed non-material and has been grouped in the other base data adjustment subset below.

Some of the impacts for base data adjustment changes described below (indicated by an asterisk *) were developed by AHCCCS DBF financial analysts, as noted above in Section I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii), with oversight from the AHCCCS Office of the Director (OOD) Chief Medical Officer and the OOD Medical Services Clinical Quality Management (CQM) Team. The actuaries relied upon the professional judgment of the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts regarding the reasonableness and appropriateness of the data,

assumptions, and methodologies that were used to develop the estimated amounts. The actuaries met with the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts to understand at a high level how the estimated amounts were derived, and the data used for the amounts. The actuaries were unable to judge the reasonableness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies without performing a substantial amount of additional work.

Member Share of Cost Add-on

An adjustment was made to add CYE 24 NF and HCBS share of cost (SOC) payments to the base data. This adjustment grosses up the base encounter data to reflect both the provider and member liabilities prior to the application of trend and other prospective adjustments described in Section I.3.B. After application of those adjustments, the projected CYE 26 SOC payments were removed as described in Section I.3.B.ii.(a).

The overall impacts by GSA for the ALTCS-EPD Program are displayed in Table 3. Totals may not add up due to rounding. Note that these impacts are after application of the percentages for members receiving LTSS and placement in the NF or HCBS settings.

Table 3: Member Share of Cost Add-on

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	\$7,363,843	\$270.60
Central	\$34,732,870	\$157.63
South	\$16,773,112	\$218.08
Total	\$58,869,824	\$181.43

Removal of Differential Adjusted Payments from Base Data

CYE 24 capitation rates funded DAP made from October 1, 2023, through September 30, 2024, to distinguish providers who committed to supporting designated actions that improve the patient care experience, improve member health, and reduce cost of care growth. As these payments expired September 30, 2024, AHCCCS has removed the impact of CYE 24 DAP from the base period. To remove the impact, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team requested provider IDs for the qualifying providers for the CYE 24 DAP by specific measure from the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team. Encounter costs submitted by these providers under DAP provisions during CYE 24 were then adjusted downward by the appropriate percentage bump specific to the DAP measure. The associated costs removed from the base data are displayed in Table 4. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

See Section I.4.D. for information on adjustments included in CYE 26 capitation rates for DAP that are effective from October 1, 2025, through September 30, 2026.

Table 4: Removal of DAP from Base Data

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	(\$1,485,758)	(\$54.60)
Central	(\$17,275,634)	(\$78.40)
South	(\$6,737,059)	(\$87.59)
Total	(\$25,498,452)	(\$78.58)

Other Base Data Adjustments

The rate development process includes every individual program change as a separate adjustment. However, as noted earlier in this section, if an individual program change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the rate for every individual rate cell at the GSA level, that program change was deemed non-material for the purpose of the actuarial rate certification. Thus, the impacts were aggregated for the certification by summing the dollar impacts for each non-material adjustment across rate cell within a GSA and dividing through by the projected membership by GSA for the PMPMs listed below. The combined overall impact by GSA is illustrated in Table 5. Totals may not add up due to rounding. Brief descriptions of the individual program changes requiring base data adjustment are provided below.

• Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Adjustment

Following investigations of potential fraud, waste, and abuse since May 2023 - and subsequent suspensions or terminations of providers - the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed contractor encounters from affected providers as of May 2025. Irregular unit cost and quantity patterns were identified and the encounters were adjusted to align with reasonable utilization and cost standards.

• Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee Recommendations *

On the recommendations of the Pharmacy and Therapeutics (P&T) Committee, AHCCCS adopted policy changes that impacted utilization and unit costs of Contractors' pharmacy costs in the base period. The P&T Committee evaluates scientific evidence on the relative safety, efficacy, effectiveness and clinical appropriateness of prescription drugs and reviews how the State can minimize the net cost of pharmaceuticals when considering the value of drug rebates.

Paxlovid and Lagevrio

Paxlovid and Lagevrio are two oral antiviral medications that are available for treating mild to moderate COVID-19. In November 2023, the transition from government-managed distribution to traditional commercial distribution of these medications began. This resulted in increased ingredient costs to the Contractors for these treatments. To account for this change, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team repriced the base data utilization to the average unit cost observed between May 2024 and April 2025 after the transition period was assumed to be complete.

Corneal Cross Linking *

Effective January 1, 2024, AHCCCS began coverage of two procedure codes (0402T and J2787) for corneal cross-linking treatment used to prevent the progression of corneal ectasia.

Insulin Price Changes

The Medicaid Drug Rebate Program (MDRP) requires state Medicaid coverage of most FDA approved drugs in exchange for national rebate agreements with drug manufacturers. Effective January 1, 2024, a provision in the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), enacted in March 2021, removed a cap on Medicaid drug rebates that had been in place since 2010. In response, rather than pay higher rebate amounts, many drug manufacturers have instead reduced the prices of their drugs. A very specific instance of this has been a drastic cost reduction for insulin products

at the point of sale since the start of 2024. The encounters for months prior to January 2024 have been adjusted in line with these cost reductions for insulin products.

Table 5: Other Base Data Adjustments

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	(\$26,303)	(\$0.97)
Central	(\$557,037)	(\$2.53)
South	(\$49,509)	(\$0.64)
Total	(\$632,849)	(\$1.95)

I.2.B.iii.(e) Exclusions of Payments or Services

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team ensured that all non-covered services were excluded from the encounter data used for developing the CYE 26 capitation rates.

I.3. Projected Benefit Costs and Trends

This section provides documentation for the Projected Benefit Costs and Trends section of the 2026 Guide.

I.3.A. Rate Development Standards

I.3.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and 42 CFR § 438.3(e)

The final capitation rates are based only upon services allowed under 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and 42 CFR § 438.3(e).

I.3.A.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Trend Assumptions

Projected benefit cost trend assumptions are developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. The actual experience of the covered populations was the primary data source used to develop the projected benefit cost trend assumptions.

I.3.A.iii. In Lieu Of Services or Settings (ILOS)

There are no in lieu of services or settings (ILOS) allowed under the contract, except for enrollees aged 21-64 who may receive treatment in an IMD in lieu of services in an inpatient hospital. For enrollees aged 21-64, for inpatient psychiatric or substance use disorder services provided in an IMD setting, the rate development has complied with the requirements of 42 CFR § 438.6(e), and this is described below in Section I.3.A.v.

I.3.A.iv. ILOS Cost Percentage - Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no ILOS under the ALTCS-EPD Program, except for short term stays in an IMD which are addressed in Section I.3.A.v. below.

I.3.A.v. Institution for Mental Disease

The projected benefit costs include costs for members aged 21-64 that have a stay of no more than 15 cumulative days in a month in an IMD in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.6(e).

Costs Associated with an Institution for Mental Disease Stay

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team adjusted the base data to remove the costs associated with stays in an IMD for enrollees aged 21-64 in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.6(e). The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team repriced all utilization of an IMD at the cost of the same services through providers included under the State plan, regardless of length of stay. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then removed costs for members aged 21-64 for stays in an IMD exceeding 15 cumulative days in a month, whether through a single stay or multiple within the month. Additionally, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team removed all associated medical costs that were provided to the member during the IMD stay(s) that exceeded 15 cumulative days in a month.

The data used to determine the base data adjustment was the CYE 24 encounter data for members who

had an institutional stay at an IMD. To identify IMDs within the CYE 24 encounter data, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team relied upon a list of IMDs by the Provider ID, Provider Type ID, and Provider Name. The costs associated with an institutional stay at an IMD were repriced to the non-IMD price-per-day. The non-IMD price-per-day used in the analysis was \$877.22 and was derived from the CYE 24 encounter data for similar IMD services that occurred within a non-IMD setting. The encounter data was used for the repricing analysis rather than the AHCCCS FFS fee schedule. This was selected because payments made by the health plans better reflect the intensity of the services within a non-IMD setting which may not be fully captured within the AHCCCS FFS fee schedule per diem rate.

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team identified all members aged 21-64 who had IMD stays which exceeded 15 cumulative days in a month and removed from the base data the aggregate repriced amounts of these disallowed stays. If a stay crossed months, only the costs associated with a month in which there were more than 15 cumulative days in a month were removed, in accordance with the guidance from CMS released August 17, 2017 (Q4).

Once a member was identified as having an IMD stay(s) greater than 15 cumulative days in a month, all encounter data for the member was pulled for the timeframe(s) they were in the IMD in order to remove those additional medical service costs from rate development.

The combined impacts of repricing all IMD stays to the cost of the same services through providers included under the State plan, removing IMD stays which exceeded 15 cumulative days in a month, and removing medical expenses related to problematic IMD stays by GSA for the ALTCS-EPD Program are displayed in Table 6. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Table 6: IMD Repricing and Removal of All Costs for Repriced Stays > 15 Cumulative Days in a Month

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	\$564	\$0.02
Central	(\$105,144)	(\$0.48)
South	\$9,740	\$0.13
Total	(\$94,841)	(\$0.29)

I.3.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.3.B.i. Projected Benefit Costs

Appendix 7a contains the projected net medical expenses PMPM by rate cell.

I.3.B.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Development

This section provides information on the projected benefit costs included in the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.3.B.ii.(a) Description of the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies

The base data described in Section I.2.B.ii. was adjusted to reflect completion and all base data adjustments described in Section I.2.B.iii. Further base data adjustments for required IMD changes are

described in Section I.3.A.v. The adjusted base data PMPMs were trended forward 24 months, from the midpoint of the CYE 24 base period to the midpoint of the CYE 26 rating period. The projected PMPMs were then adjusted for prospective programmatic and fee schedule changes, described below.

Appendix 4 contains the base data and base data adjustments by COS and rate cell. Appendix 5 contains the projected benefit cost trends. Appendix 6 contains gross medical expenses by COS and rate cell after applying prospective program and reimbursement changes, and CYE 26 DAP. Appendix 7a contains projected percentages of members receiving LTSS and projected percentages of LTSS members placed in NF or HCBS settings at a rate cell level. Appendix 7b illustrates the capitation rate development, which includes the projected administrative expense, case management expense, underwriting (UW) gain, and premium tax by rate cell.

The capitation rates were adjusted for all program and reimbursement changes. If a program or reimbursement change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the rate for every individual rate cell at the GSA level, that program or reimbursement change was deemed non-material and has been grouped in the combined miscellaneous subset below.

Some of the impacts for projected benefits costs described below (indicated by an asterisk *) were developed by AHCCCS DBF financial analysts, as noted above in Section I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii), with oversight from the AHCCCS OOD Chief Medical Officer and the OOD Medical Services CQM Team. The actuaries relied upon the professional judgment of the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts with regards to the reasonableness and appropriateness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies that were used to develop the estimated amounts. The actuaries met with the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts to understand at a high level how the estimated amounts were derived and what data was used for the amounts. The actuaries were unable to judge the reasonableness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies without performing a substantial amount of additional work.

AHCCCS FFS Fee Schedule Updates

AHCCCS typically makes annual updates to provider fee schedules used for AHCCCS FFS programs. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team and the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team have typically determined impacts that the change in fees would have on the managed care programs and have applied these impacts to the managed care capitation rates. Although it is not mandated through the health plan contracts except where authorized under applicable law, regulation or waiver, the health plans typically update their provider fee schedules to reflect changes in the AHCCCS provider fee schedules because the health plans tend to benchmark against the AHCCCS provider fee schedules. This information is known through health plan surveys conducted by the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team regarding health plan fee schedules.

Additionally, the contract has requirements that the Contractors reimburse FQHCs/RHCs at the Prospective Payment System (PPS) rates. The AHCCCS FFS fee schedule updates include adjustments to bring the base FQHC/RHC encounter data up to the projected CYE 26 FQHC/RHC PPS rates. Effective October 1, 2025, the FQHC/RHC PPS rates are being rebased in accordance with Arizona's State Plan. This rebase increased the average statewide PPS rate significantly. The rate increases are anticipated to increase utilization at FQHC/RHCs; this utilization increase is included in the trend projections.



AHCCCS' contracts also require that the Contractor shall reimburse providers at no less than the regional maximum allowable rate as set by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid, which is the fee schedule in the State Plan, for vaccines administered for the Vaccines for Children program.

Effective October 1 of each year, AHCCCS updates provider fee schedules for certain providers based on access to care needs, Medicare/ADHS fee schedule rate changes, and/or legislative or regulatory mandates. Additionally, AHCCCS implemented quarterly rate adjustments for physician administered drugs (PADs) in alignment with updates to the State Plan. The CYE 26 capitation rates have been adjusted to reflect these fee schedule changes. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team used the CYE 24 encounter data to develop the impacts of fee schedule changes between the base year and the rating period. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team applied AHCCCS provider fee schedule changes as a unit cost change to calculate the adjustment to the CYE 24 base data. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed the results and applied aggregated percentage impacts by program, GSA, risk group, and rate setting COS.

AHCCCS also increases some fee schedule rates effective January 1 of each year to recognize the annual minimum wage increase resulting from the passing of Proposition 206. The increased costs for this change have been included with the fee schedule changes already discussed.

The overall impact of the AHCCCS Fee-for-Service fee schedule updates by GSA is illustrated in Table 7. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Table 7: Aggrega	ate Fee Schedule	Changes
------------------	------------------	---------

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	\$2,590,190	\$95.18
Central	\$26,548,747	\$120.49
South	\$11,128,535	\$144.69
Total	\$40,267,472	\$124.10

Mix Percentage Change Impacts

The ALTCS-EPD Program has a long-standing practice of using mix percentages to create a blended capitation rate. The two percentages that are used to get to a blended rate are the percentage of members receiving LTSS services (LTSS %) and the percentage of members utilizing HCBS rather than NF services, which we call the HCBS mix percentage (HCBS %). The LTSS % is used to project the number of members out of the total ALTCS-EPD population who receive LTSS through the program, with the remainder of the members being those who opt out of receiving LTSS and choose to only have their acute services covered under the program. The HCBS % is used to project the number of LTSS members that will be utilizing HCBS rather than NF services; the HCBS % is applied to the HCBS component of the capitation rates, and the complement (1 minus HCBS %) is applied to the NF component of the capitation rates. To estimate projected CYE 26 LTSS utilization and HCBS mix percentages, the AHCCCS

DBF Actuarial Team reviewed placement data from October 2020 through July 2025 to understand historical trends, and used the average LTSS and HCBS mix percentages observed from January 2025 through July 2025 to set the projected CYE 26 LTSS and HCBS mix percentages.

The impacts to the ALTCS-EPD Program accounting for the change in mix percentages from the base period to the rating period, are displayed in Table 8. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Table 8: Mix Percentage Change

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	(\$69,973)	(\$2.57)
Central	\$7,560,581	\$34.31
South	\$1,195,904	\$15.55
Total	\$8,686,512	\$26.77

Rezdiffra *

In March 2024, AHCCCS began coverage of Rezdiffra in accordance with requirements of participation in the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program (MDRP) after the FDA gave accelerated approval, along with a Breakthrough Therapy designation, for the treatment of adults with noncirrhotic non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with moderate to advanced liver scarring (fibrosis, severity levels F2 or F3). The MDRP requires state Medicaid coverage of most FDA approved drugs in exchange for national rebate agreements with drug manufacturers.

While coverage began in CYE 24, the uptake has been relatively slower than originally projected. In order to project impacts for CYE 26, AHCCCS DBF analysts reviewed utilization of Rezdiffra from April 2024 through March 2025 and developed a regression model to project utilization for the rating period. The projected impact of AHCCCS coverage of Rezdiffra was allocated across risk groups and GSAs using the distribution of members with a NASH diagnosis code.

The overall impact of Rezdiffra coverage by GSA is displayed in Table 9. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Table 9: Rezdiffra

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	\$211,135	\$7.76
Central	\$891,358	\$4.05
South	\$621,257	\$8.08
Total	\$1,723,750	\$5.31

Combined Miscellaneous Program Changes

The rate development process includes every individual program and reimbursement change as a separate adjustment. However, as noted earlier in this section, if an individual program or reimbursement change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the rate for every individual risk group at the GSA level, that program or reimbursement change was deemed non-material for the purpose of the actuarial rate certification. Thus, the impacts were aggregated for the

certification by summing the dollar impacts for each non-material adjustment across risk groups within a GSA and dividing through by the projected membership by GSA for the PMPMs listed below. The combined overall impact by GSA is illustrated in Table 10. Totals may not add up due to rounding. Brief descriptions of the individual program or reimbursement changes are provided below.

• Diabetic Drug Class Utilization Changes *

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed all historical adjudicated and approved encounters for glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2), and insulins, and determined that the changing utilization patterns of these drug classes was not fully accounted for by the projected trend assumptions and have included a separate, specific adjustment to these drug classes as part of the capitation rate development.

Humira Biosimilars

In recent years several biosimilar and interchangeable products have become available as substitutes for Humira and are priced significantly lower than the Humira brand products. Effective August 1, 2024, AHCCCS shifted preferred status from Humira to the interchangeable biosimilar options. The impact of this shift was modeled by applying a discount factor to the drug costs consistent with the average discount between the price of the interchangeable products and Humira.

Annual Syphilis Testing *

In alignment with recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Arizona Department of Health Services, effective October 1, 2024, AHCCCS began requiring providers to offer annual Syphilis testing for members aged 15 years and older.

• ASAM Continuum – U9 Modifier *

Effective October 1, 2024, AHCCCS implemented a provider initiative that requires the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) CONTINUUM™ assessment tool to be used in the public mental health system. In order to provide additional reimbursement to help offset the annual subscription fees for access to the assessment tool, providers may include the U9 modifier when billing for HCPCS codes H0018, limited to twice per member stay, and H0035, limited to twice per member per year.

Parents as Paid Caregivers Training Support *

Effective October 1, 2024, AHCCCS expanded the use of S5110, Home care training, family; per 15 minutes, for family support services as part of a waiver amendment to extend the parent as paid caregiver of minor children to permanent authority.

Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee Recommendations – Post Base Year *

On the recommendations of the Pharmacy and Therapeutics (P&T) Committee, AHCCCS adopted policy changes after the base period that are expected to impact the utilization and unit costs of Contractors' pharmacy costs in CYE 26. The P&T Committee evaluates scientific evidence on the relative safety, efficacy, effectiveness and clinical appropriateness of prescription drugs and reviews how the State can minimize the net cost of pharmaceuticals when considering the value of drug rebates.

Vaccine Related Items *

On October 31, 2024, AHCCCS sent a memo to all managed care plans clarifying that tetanus vaccines for EPSDT eligible members are covered outside of the Vaccines for Children program when the member is being treated in an emergency setting for trauma. On December 15, 2024, AHCCCS adjusted the vaccine administration fee for all vaccines being administered at a pharmacy to \$14. AHCCCS analysts are also monitoring shifts in vaccination utilization in response to recent outbreaks, including the 2024 Mpox outbreak and the 2025 Measles outbreak.

Pharmacy Compounding Level of Effort *

Effective June 1, 2025, AHCCCS began reimbursing compounding pharmacies for compounded prescriptions based on the level of effort. Level of effort is an industry standard to identify the time and complexity involved in compounding a prescription with more simple compounds having a lower level of effort and higher complexity involving the need for a sterile environment having the highest level of effort.

• Cochlear Implants *

Pursuant to SB1741, AHCCCS will cover cochlear implants for persons who are at least 21 years old, effective on or before October 1, 2025.

• Fingerstick Devices for Clozapine Patients *

Effective October 1, 2025, AHCCCS will begin coverage of fingerstick devices and testing strips that can be used in the monitoring of neutrophil blood levels for members taking clozapine. The devices may be used in office by the physician or by the member in their own home to provide timely results and allow the physician to adjust the medication dosing for the member.

Traditional Healing *

Effective October 1, 2025, AHCCCS will begin covering traditional health care practices provided through Indian Health Service facilities or facilities operated by Tribes or Tribal organizations. Traditional health care practices, or traditional healing, encompasses a wide variety of therapies that reflect the knowledge, skill, and practices based on the theories, beliefs, and experiences indigenous to different cultures.

Table 10: Combined Miscellaneous Program Changes

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	\$454	\$0.02
Central	(\$681,849)	(\$3.09)
South	(\$279,360)	(\$3.63)
Total	(\$960,755)	(\$2.96)

Projected Member Share of Cost Removal

After application of trend and other prospective adjustments to the base period data described above, the actuaries removed projected CYE 26 member SOC payments from the NF and HCBS service categories to reflect only Contractor liability in the capitation rates. The CYE 26 projection for SOC payments was developed by reviewing historical SOC experience (CYE 20 through CYE 24) for

consistency in year-over-year increases at the rate cell level, using CPI forecasts to trend the CYE 24 base amount PMPM for SOC forward to CYE 26, and adjusting for any outlier trends.

The overall impact by GSA for the ALTCS-EPD Program is displayed in Table 11. Totals may not add up due to rounding. Note that these impacts are after application of the percentages for members receiving LTSS and placement in the NF or HCBS settings.

Table 11: Projected Member Share of Cost Removal

GSA	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
North	(\$7,815,789)	(\$287.21)
Central	(\$36,274,793)	(\$164.63)
South	(\$17,540,490)	(\$228.06)
Total	(\$61,631,072)	(\$189.94)

I.3.B.ii.(b) Material Changes to the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies

Any changes to the data, assumptions, or methodologies used to develop the projected benefit costs since the last rating period have been described within the relevant subsections of this certification.

I.3.B.ii.(c) Recoveries of Overpayments to Providers

The ALTCS-EPD Program Contractors are contractually required to adjust or void specific encounters, in full or in part, to reflect recoupments of overpayments to providers. The base data received and used by the actuaries to set the CYE 26 capitation rates therefore includes those adjustments.

I.3.B.iii. Projected Benefit Cost Trends

In accordance with 42 CFR § 438.7(b)(2), this section provides documentation on the projected benefit cost trends.

I.3.B.iii.(a) Requirements

I.3.B.iii.(a)(i) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Data

The data used for development of the projected benefit cost trends was the encounter data incurred from October 2020 through early January 2025 and adjudicated and approved through the second February 2025 encounter cycle. The trends were developed primarily with actual experience from the Medicaid population.

I.3.B.iii.(a)(ii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Methodologies

Historical utilization, unit cost, and PMPM data from October 2020 through January 2025 were organized by incurred year and month, COS, and region (Central GSA or North/South GSAs). The historical experience was adjusted for completion and normalized for historical program and fee schedule changes. Projected benefit cost trends were developed to project the base data forward 24 months, from the midpoint of CYE 24 (April 1, 2024) to the midpoint of the rating period for CYE 26

(April 1, 2026). Projected benefit cost trends were based on actuarial judgment with consideration of 3-month, 6-month, and 12-month moving averages, and with 12-month, 24-month, and 36-month linear regression results. No simple formulaic solution exists to determine future trend; actuarial judgment is required. Each COS and region were analyzed in the same manner.

I.3.B.iii.(a)(iii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Comparisons

All COS PMPM trend assumptions were compared to similar assumptions made in prior years for ALTCS-EPD capitation rates and judged reasonable to assume for projection to CYE 26.

I.3.B.iii.(a)(iv) Supporting Documentation for Trends

The 2026 Guide requires explanation of outlier or negative trends. As in past years, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team has defined outlier trends as utilization and unit cost trend combinations which resulted in a PMPM trend greater than 7%. There are no outlier or negative trends assumed in the CYE 26 ALTCS-EPD capitation rate development.

I.3.B.iii.(b) Projected Benefit Cost Trends by Component

I.3.B.iii.(b)(i) Changes in Price and Utilization

The trend assumptions were developed by unit cost and utilization. Appendix 5 contains the components of the projected benefit cost trend by COS and region. For purposes of developing trend estimates, the North and South GSAs as defined in Table 1a were combined in order to smooth variance and enhance credibility.

I.3.B.iii.(b)(ii) Alternative Methods - Not Applicable

Not applicable. The projected benefit cost trends were developed using utilization per 1000 and unit cost components.

I.3.B.iii.(b)(iii) Other Components

The projected benefit cost trends were developed by region, implicitly addressing regional differences in utilization and unit cost data.

I.3.B.iii.(c) Variation in Trend

Projected benefit cost trends do not vary except by COS and region.

I.3.B.iii.(d) Any Other Material Adjustments

There were no other material adjustments made to the projected benefit cost trends.

I.3.B.iii.(e) Any Other Adjustments

There were no other adjustments made to the projected benefit cost trends.

I.3.B.iv. Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act Compliance

AHCCCS has completed a Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) analysis and the AHCCCS Division of Managed Care Medical Management Team reviews updated Contractor analysis to determine if additional services are necessary to comply with parity standards. As of September 8, 2025, no additional services have been identified as necessary services to comply with MHPAEA.

I.3.B.v. ILOS

There are no ILOS allowed under the contract, except for enrollees aged 21-64 who may receive treatment in an IMD in lieu of services in an inpatient hospital. For inpatient psychiatric or substance use disorder services provided in an IMD setting, the capitation rate development has complied with the requirements of 42 CFR § 438.6(e), and this is described above in Section I.3.A.v.

I.3.B.vi. Retrospective Eligibility Periods

I.3.B.vi.(a) Managed Care Plan Responsibility

AHCCCS provides PPC for the period of time prior to the member's enrollment during which the member is eligible for covered services. PPC refers to the time frame from the effective date of eligibility (usually the first day of the month of application) until the date the member is enrolled with ALTCS-EPD.

ALTCS-EPD Contractors receive notification from AHCCCS of the member's enrollment. ALTCS-EPD Contractors are responsible for payment of all claims for medically necessary services covered by ALTCS-EPD and provided to members during PPC.

I.3.B.vi.(b) Claims Data Included in Base Data

Encounter data related to PPC is included with the base data and is included in the capitation rate development process.

I.3.B.vi.(c) Enrollment Data Included in Base Data

Enrollment data related to PPC is included with the base data and is included in the capitation rate development process.

I.3.B.vi.(d) Adjustments, Assumptions, and Methodology

No specific adjustments are made to the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program for the PPC time frame, given that the PPC related encounter and enrollment data are already included within the base data used for capitation rate development.

I.3.B.vii. Impact of All Material Changes to Covered Benefits or Services

This section provides documentation of impacts to projected benefit costs made since the last rate certification.

I.3.B.vii.(a) Covered Benefits

Material adjustments related to covered benefits are discussed in Section I.3.B.ii. of this rate certification.

I.3.B.vii.(b) Recoveries of Overpayments

As noted in Section I.3.B.ii.(c), base period data was not adjusted to reflect recoveries of overpayments made to providers because Contractors are required to adjust encounters for recovery of overpayments, per the following contract requirement:

"The Contractor shall void encounters for claims that are recouped in full. For recoupments that result in a reduced claim value or adjustments that result in an increased claim value, replacement encounters shall be submitted."

I.3.B.vii.(c) Provider Payment Requirements

Adjustments related to provider payment requirements under SDPs, as defined in 42 CFR § 438.2, are discussed in Section I.4.D of this rate certification. Additionally, provider payment requirements related to FQHCs/RHCs and the VFC program are described in Section I.3.B.ii.

I.3.B.vii.(d) Applicable Waivers

There were no material changes since the last rate certification related to waiver requirements or conditions.

I.3.B.vii.(e) Applicable Litigation

There were no material adjustments made related to litigation.

I.3.B.viii. Impact of All Material and Non-Material Changes

All material and non-material changes have been included in the capitation rate development process and all requirements in this section of the 2026 Guide are documented in Section I.3.B.ii.(a) above.

I.4. Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment

I.4.A. Incentive Arrangements

I.4.A.i. Rate Development Standards

An incentive arrangement, as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6(a), is any payment mechanism under which a health plan may receive additional funds over and above the capitation rate it was paid for meeting targets specified in the contract.

I.4.A.ii. Appropriate Documentation

I.4.A.ii.(a) Description of Any Incentive Arrangements

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Performance Based Payments

The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program include an incentive arrangement, as described under 42 CFR § 438.6(b)(2), called the APM Initiative – Performance Based Payments. The APM Initiative – Performance Based Payments incentive arrangement is a special provision for payment where the Contractors may receive additional funds over and above the capitation rates for implementing APM arrangements with providers who successfully meet targets established by the Contractors that are aimed at quality improvement such as reducing costs, improving health outcomes, or improving access to care.

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Quality Measure Performance

The incentive arrangement for the APM Initiative – Quality Measure Performance is a special provision for payment where Contractors may receive additional funds over and above the capitation rates for performance on a select subset of performance measures. An incentive pool is determined by the portion of the withhold described below that is not returned to the Contractors under the terms of the withhold arrangement, as described below in Section I.4.B. The incentive arrangement uses a ranked score to distribute available incentive dollars by performance measure, but Contractors will not be ranked if they did not earn either a performance achievement score or a performance improvement score for a given measure. The maximum incentive pool possible is approximately \$18.5 million, which is the amount that would be available if every Contractor earned exactly 0% of the withhold described below. This is not anticipated to happen; thus, the incentive pool will be determined by the portion of the withhold which is not earned across all Contractors.

I.4.A.ii.(a)(i) Time Period

The time period of the incentive arrangements described herein is twelve months.

I.4.A.ii.(a)(ii) Enrollees, Services, and Providers Covered

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Performance Based Payments

All enrollees, children and adults, may be covered by this incentive arrangement. Likewise, all network providers have the opportunity to participate in the APM arrangements and all covered services are eligible for inclusion. The Contractors' provider contracts must include performance measures for



quality and/or cost effectiveness. The Contractors are mandated to utilize the APM strategies in the Health Care Payment Learning and Action Network (LAN) Alternative Payment Model Framework with a focus on Categories 2, 3 and 4 as defined at https://hcp-lan.org/workproducts/apm-whitepaper.pdf.

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Quality Measure Performance

The incentive arrangement includes performance measures addressing high blood pressure control, improving control of high hemoglobin A1c, and breast cancer screening. All adult and child enrollees and providers utilizing or providing these services, respectively, are covered by the incentive arrangement, unless specifically stated otherwise.

I.4.A.ii.(a)(iii) Purpose

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Performance Based Payments

The purpose of the APM Initiative – Performance Based Payments incentive arrangement is to align incentives between the Contractors and providers to the quality and efficiency of care provided by rewarding providers for their measured performance across the dimensions of quality to achieve cost savings and quantifiably improved outcomes.

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Quality Measure Performance

The purpose of the APM Initiative – Quality Measure Performance incentive arrangement is to encourage Contractor activity in the area of quality improvement, particularly those initiatives that are conducive to improved health outcomes and cost savings, by aligning the incentives of the Contractor and provider through APM strategies.

I.4.A.ii.(a)(iv) Attestation to Limit on Incentive Payments

The total payments under the incentive arrangements for the ALTCS-EPD Program (i.e., capitation rate payments plus incentive payments) will not exceed 105% of the capitation payments to comply with 42 CFR § 438.6(b)(2).

I.4.A.ii.(a)(v) Effect on Capitation Rate Development

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Performance Based Payments

Incentive payments for the APM Initiative – Performance Based Payments incentive arrangement are not included in the CYE 26 capitation rates and had no effect on the development of the capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program. The incentive payments will be paid by AHCCCS to the Contractors through lump sum payments after the completion of the CYE 26 contract year.

Alternative Payment Model Initiative – Quality Measure Performance

Incentive payments for the APM Initiative – Quality Measure Performance incentive arrangement are not included in the CYE 26 capitation rates and had no effect on the development of the capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program. Incentive payments will be paid by AHCCCS to the Contractors through lump sum payments after the completion of the contract year and the computation of the performance measures, and after the withhold payments are distributed and the value of the incentive pool determined.

I.4.B. Withhold Arrangements

I.4.B.i. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2026 Guide provides information on the definition and requirements of a withhold arrangement.

I.4.B.ii. Appropriate Documentation

I.4.B.ii.(a) Description of Any Withhold Arrangements

The ALTCS-EPD Program includes a percentage of capitation withhold arrangement which the Contractor may earn back. Each Contractor's earnings are based on their performance achievement score, using a threshold benchmark and a high-performance benchmark, and/or performance improvement score by measure.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(i) Time Period

The time period of the withhold arrangement described herein is twelve months.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(ii) Enrollees, Services, and Providers Covered

All enrollees, services, and providers are covered by this withhold arrangement unless specifically stated otherwise in contract or policy.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(iii) Purpose of the Withhold

The purpose of the ALTCS-EPD Program withhold is to encourage Contractor activity in the area of quality improvement, particularly those initiatives that are conducive to improved health outcomes and cost savings by aligning the incentives of the Contractor and provider through APM strategies.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(iv) Description of Percentage of Capitation Rates Withheld

AHCCCS has established a quality withhold of 1% of the Contractor's capitation and a percentage (up to 100%) of the withheld amount will be paid to the Contractor for performance on select performance measures.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(v) Percentage of the Withheld Amount Not Reasonably Achievable

A new policy governing the performance measure results became effective October 1, 2022, for CYE 23 and forward. After reviewing preliminary results from both the withhold and the incentive arrangements for CYE 23 for the ALTCS-EPD Program, when only the withhold amounts were considered, the median amount of the withhold earned back in the first year was approximately 83.5% across all Contractors. The amounts that were not earned back from the withhold were combined into the incentive pool and redistributed among the Contractors according to the results of the performance measures, as described above in Section I.4.A. When considering both the withhold and the incentive dollars earned, restricted to the lesser of what the individual Contractors actually earned back and 100% of the original withhold amount by Contractor, the median amount earned rose to 94.8%. Some Contractors earned

back more in total than what was originally withheld based on the inclusion of their payments from the incentive pool, as was expected. Using averages, rather than medians, across all Contractors was 89.0% and 96.4%, respectively, for the same metrics. Given this information, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team estimates approximately 3.5% to 5% of the withhold is not reasonably achievable through the combination of the withhold and the incentive arrangements.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(vi) Description of Reasonableness of Withhold Arrangement

The actuaries relied upon the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team's review. Their review indicated that the total withhold percentage of 1% of capitation revenue does not have a detrimental impact on the Contractors' financial operating needs and capital reserves. The AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team's interpretation of financial operating needs relates to cash flow needs for the Contractors to pay claims and administer benefits for its covered populations. The AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team evaluated the reasonableness of the withhold within this context by reviewing the Contractors' cash available to cover operating expenses, as well as the capitation rate payment mechanism utilized by AHCCCS. To evaluate the reasonableness of the withhold in relation to capitalization levels, the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team reviewed the surplus above the equity per member requirement, the performance bond amounts, and the financial stability of each Contractor to pay all obligations. The AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team reviewed cash and cash equivalent levels in relation to the withhold arrangement and has indicated the withhold arrangement is reasonable based on current cash levels.

I.4.B.ii.(a)(vii) Effect on Capitation Rate Development

The capitation rates shown in this rate certification are illustrated before offset for the withhold amount. The withhold amount is not considered within capitation rate development.

I.4.B.ii.(b) Certifying Rates less Expected Unachieved Withhold as Actuarially Sound

The CYE 26 capitation rates documented in this rate certification are actuarially sound even if none of the withhold is earned back.

I.4.C. Risk-Sharing Mechanisms

I.4.C.i. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2026 Guide provides information on the requirements for risk-sharing mechanisms.

In accordance with 42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1), all risk-sharing mechanisms have been developed in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.4, the rate development standards in 42 CFR § 438.5, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Additionally, all risk-sharing mechanisms are documented in the contracts and capitation rate certification for the rating period which will be submitted to CMS before the start of the rating period and will not be modified or added after the start of the rating period.

I.4.C.ii. Appropriate Documentation

I.4.C.ii.(a) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms

The CYE 26 contract for the ALTCS-EPD Program will include risk corridors.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(i) Rationale for Risk-Sharing Mechanisms

AHCCCS has a long-standing program policy of including risk corridors within the managed care programs to protect the State against excessive Contractor profits, and to protect Contractors from excessive losses. This risk sharing arrangement also contributes to Contractor sustainability and program continuity, which is an additional intangible benefit to the stability of the Medicaid member. The CYE 26 contract will continue AHCCCS' long-standing program policy and will include risk corridors. This rate certification will use the term risk corridor to be consistent with the 2026 Guide. The ALTCS-EPD Contract refers to the risk corridor as a reconciliation.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(ii) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanism Implementation

There are two risk corridor type arrangements in the ALTCS-EPD Program. The first is a SOC reconciliation, and the second is a reconciliation of medical costs to medical reimbursement (tiered reconciliation).

The SOC risk corridor will reconcile the Contractor's "actual SOC PMPM" against the SOC PMPM amounts assumed in the capitation rates for that year plus or minus 2% (i.e. a risk band). The Contractor's "actual SOC PMPM" for the contract year will be calculated as the Contractor's total actual SOC payments divided by the Contractor's total paid member months. Differences between the Contractor's total actual share of cost payments and the Contractor's total assumed share of cost dollars that fall within the risk band are the responsibility of the Contractor. For differences that fall outside the risk band, AHCCCS shall recoup or reimburse the differences between the risk band threshold and the Contractor's total actual share of cost payments.

The tiered risk corridor will reconcile each Contractor's net medical expenses to the net capitation paid to each Contractor. Net capitation is equal to the capitation rates paid less the administrative component, the case management component, and the premium tax, plus any reinsurance payments. Each Contractor's net medical expenses are equal to the Contractor's fully adjudicated and approved encounters and sub-capitated/block purchase medical expenses as reported by the Contractor's financial statements with dates of service during the contract year. Initial reconciliations are typically performed no sooner than 6 months after the end of the contract year and final reconciliations are typically computed no sooner than 15 months after the contract year. This risk corridor will limit each Contractor's statewide profits and losses as listed in Table 12.

Table 12: Tiered Risk Corridor Risk Bands

Profit	Contractor Share	State Share	Max Contractor Profit	Cumulative Contractor Profit
<= 2%	100%	0%	2%	2%
2% < Profit <= 6%	50%	50%	2%	4%
> 6%	0%	100%	0%	4%
Loss	Contractor Share	State Share	Max Contractor Loss	Cumulative Contractor Loss
<= 2%	100%	0%	2%	2%
> 2%	0%	100%	0%	2%

I.4.C.ii.(a)(iii) Effect of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms on Capitation Rates

The risk corridors did not have any effect on the development of the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(iv) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices

Risk-sharing mechanisms are developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. The threshold amounts for the risk corridors were set using actuarial judgment with consideration of conversations between the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team, the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team, and the AHCCCS OOD.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(v) Risk-Sharing Arrangements Consistent with Pricing Assumptions

The inclusion of risk corridors as part of the contract is independent of the pricing assumptions used in capitation rate development. If the contract did not include risk corridors, the pricing assumptions used in capitation rate development would be unchanged.

Please see Section I.4.C.ii.(c) for documentation of reinsurance risk-sharing arrangements and the resulting impacts on capitation rate development.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(vi) Expected Remittance/Payment from Risk-Sharing Arrangements

If experience in the rating period aligns with pricing assumptions used in capitation rate development, there will be no remittance/payment between AHCCCS and the Contractors associated with the risk corridors. The risk corridors protect the State against excessive Contractor profits and protect Contractors from excessive losses when experience in the rating period materially differs from the pricing assumptions. Additional information regarding the risk corridors can be found in the contract as well as in the AHCCCS Contractors Operations Manual (ACOM) 301.

See Section I.4.C.ii.(c) for reinsurance risk-sharing arrangements.

I.4.C.ii.(b) Remittance/Payment Requirements for Specified Medical Loss Ratio – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The ALTCS-EPD Program contract does not include a medical loss ratio remittance or payment requirement.

I.4.C.ii.(c) Reinsurance Requirements

I.4.C.ii.(c)(i) Description of Reinsurance Requirements

AHCCCS provides a reinsurance program to the AHCCCS Contractors for the partial reimbursement of covered medical services incurred during the contract year. This reinsurance program is similar to what is seen in commercial reinsurance programs with a few differences. The deductible is lower than a standard commercial reinsurance program. AHCCCS has different reinsurance case types, with the majority of the reinsurance cases falling into the Regular reinsurance case type. Regular reinsurance cases cover partial reimbursement (anything above the deductible and the coinsurance percentage amounts) of inpatient facility medical services. Most of the other reinsurance cases fall under Catastrophic, including reinsurance for biologic drugs. Additionally, rather than the ALTCS-EPD Contractors paying a premium, the capitation rates are instead adjusted by subtracting the reinsurance offset from the gross medical. One could view the reinsurance offset as a premium. Historical encounter data and reinsurance payments are used as the base for development of the reinsurance offset.

The AHCCCS reinsurance program has been in place since 1982 and is funded with State Match and Federal Matching authority. AHCCCS is self-insured for the reinsurance program, which is characterized by an initial deductible level and a subsequent coinsurance percentage. The coinsurance percentage is the rate at which AHCCCS reimburses the ALTCS-EPD Contractors for covered services incurred above the deductible. The deductible is the responsibility of the ALTCS-EPD Contractors. The deductible for CYE 26 Regular reinsurance cases is \$150,000, which is unchanged from the CYE 25 and CYE 24 deductible. The limit on High Dollar Catastrophic reinsurance is \$1,000,000. Once a reinsurance case hits this limit, the Contractor is reimbursed 100% for all medically necessary covered expenses. All reinsurance deductibles are applied at the member level.

The actual reinsurance case amounts are paid to the ALTCS-EPD Contractors whether the actual amount is above or below the reinsurance offset in the capitation rates. This can result in a loss or gain by each ALTCS-EPD Contractor based on actual reinsurance payments versus expected reinsurance payments.

For additional information on the reinsurance program, refer to the Reinsurance section of the ALTCS-EPD Program contract.

I.4.C.ii.(c)(ii) Effect on Development of Capitation Rates

The reinsurance offset (expected PMPM of reinsurance payments for the rate setting period) is subtracted from the gross medical PMPM calculated for the rate setting period. It is a separate calculation and does not affect the methodologies for development of the gross medical expense component of the capitation rates.



I.4.C.ii.(c)(iii) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices

Projected reinsurance offsets are developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

I.4.C.ii.(c)(iv) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop the Reinsurance Offset

The methodology for setting the reinsurance offset has not changed from the CYE 25 capitation rates. The reinsurance offsets by risk group are developed from CYE 24 reinsurance payments to the ALTCS-EPD Contractors for Regular and Catastrophic reinsurance cases associated with services incurred during the base period. The data is "brought current" by way of completion factors specific to reinsurance payments, adjustments for historical and prospective program and reimbursement changes, and has the same trend factors applied as the gross medical expense for acute care services, since LTSS expenses are not eligible for consideration in reinsurance. The PMPM expense trend assumed for the Acute – Inpatient COS is applied to payments for Regular reinsurance cases; the Acute – Pharmacy PMPM expense trend is applied to payments for the biologic case type; and the aggregate PMPM expense trend for all Acute services is applied to payments for Catastrophic reinsurance cases.

Changes to the reinsurance program from the CYE 24 base period to the CYE 26 rating period include updated drug coverage under the biologic reinsurance case type. The projected costs of the additional drugs covered by the reinsurance program were calculated by taking the projected costs for CYE 26 for those drugs and applying a zero-dollar deductible and coinsurance limit of 85% to get the dollar impact to the reinsurance offset.

I.4.D. State Directed Payments

I.4.D.i. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2026 Guide provides information on delivery system and provider payment initiatives (i.e., SDPs) authorized under 42 CFR §§ 438.2 and 438.6(c).

I.4.D.ii. Appropriate Documentation

I.4.D.ii.(a) Description of SDPs

The only SDPs addressed in this certification are the ones related to the ALTCS-EPD Program. The contract requires the adoption of a minimum fee schedule for two sets of providers, FQHC/RHC and VFC providers, using State plan approved rates, as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6(a), as allowed under 42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A). These SDPs for FQHC/RHC and VFC providers do not require written approval prior to implementation per 42 CFR § 438.6(c)(2)(i). The SDPs which require preprints for prior approval are DAP, APSI, PSI, HEALTHII, SNSI, and NF-SP. The 2026 Guide requires a specifically formatted table in addition to the information provided here. This CMS prescribed table can be found in Appendix 8a.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(i) Type and Description of SDP Arrangements

Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics

Contractors are required to adopt Prospective Payment System (PPS) rates as defined in the Arizona Medicaid State plan as a minimum fee schedule for FQHC/RHC providers. The Arizona Medicaid State plan describes the methodology for the calculation of PPS rates in Attachment 4.19-B starting on Page 3a.

Vaccines for Children

Through the VFC program, the Federal and State governments purchase, and make available at no cost, vaccines for AHCCCS children under age 19. A provider may impose a charge for the administration of a qualified pediatric vaccine as stated in 1928(c)(20)(C)(ii) of the Act. Contractors are required to adopt the payment rates in the Arizona Medicaid State plan, as described on Page 66b, as a minimum fee schedule for VFC providers.

Differential Adjusted Payments

The DAP initiative delivers a uniform percentage increase to registered providers who provide a particular service under the contract and who meet specific criteria established by AHCCCS. All providers were notified via a proposed and a final Public Notice of the criteria required to qualify for the DAP. The potential rate increases range from 0.25% to 20.0%, depending on the provider type.

Access to Professional Services Initiative

The APSI SDP provides a uniform percentage increase of 91.44% to otherwise contracted rates for eligible practitioners, critical to professional training and education efforts, who deliver services to AHCCCS members. The uniform percentage increase is applicable only to services covered under the AHCCCS APSI policy. The rate increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to eligible providers.

Pediatric Services Initiative

The PSI SDP provides a uniform percentage increase for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the state's freestanding children's hospitals with more than 100 licensed beds. The PSI uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year. The increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to eligible hospitals or pediatric units.

Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative

The HEALTHII SDP delivers a uniform percentage increase to hospitals for acute inpatient and ambulatory outpatient contracted Medicaid Managed Care services. The HEALTHII uniform percentage increases are based on a fixed payment pool that is allocated to each hospital class based on the additional funding needed to achieve each class's aggregate targeted pay-to-cost ratio for Medicaid Managed care services. The increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to eligible providers.

Safety Net Services Initiative

The SNSI SDP provides a uniform percentage increase for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the eligible public safety net hospital. The SNSI uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year. This increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to the eligible public safety net hospital.

Nursing Facility Supplemental Payments

The NF-SP SDP delivers a uniform dollar increase across all Contractors' reported nursing facility Medicaid bed days to network providers that provide nursing facility services. The uniform dollar increase is based on available funds in the nursing facility assessment fund, plus FMAP, and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization and available funds for each quarter. The increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to eligible providers.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii) SDPs Incorporated in Capitation Rates

The FQHC/RHC and VFC minimum fee schedule and the DAP initiative are the only SDPs incorporated in the capitation rates. The 2026 Guide requires a specifically formatted table in addition to the information provided here. This CMS prescribed table can be found in Appendix 8a.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(A) Rate Cells Affected

The FQHC/RHC and VFC minimum fee schedule SDPs impact all ALTCS-EPD rate cells. The DAP initiative impacts all ALTCS-EPD rate cells.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(B) Impact on the Rate Cells

The FQHC/RHC and VFC minimum fee schedule impacts are included as part of the aggregate fee schedule changes shown in Appendix 6. For the total impact by rate cell for the FQHC/RHC and VFC minimum fee schedules see Appendix 8b. For DAP, see Appendix 6 for medical impact by risk group and Appendix 8b for total impact by rate cell.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(C) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop SDP Adjustment

Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics

The impact of the minimum fee schedule requirement for FQHC/RHC providers is addressed as part of the fee schedule updates, described above in Section I.3.B.ii.(a).

Vaccines for Children

The impact of the minimum fee schedule requirement for VFC providers is addressed as part of the fee schedule updates, described above in Section I.3.B.ii.(a).

Differential Adjusted Payments

The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team provided the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team with data for the impact of DAP. The data used to develop the DAP impacts was the CYE 24 encounter data across all programs for the providers who qualify for DAP. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team applied the percentage increase earned under DAP to the AHCCCS provider payments resulting from the fee schedule changes, for all services subject to DAP, to determine what the impacts would be for the

CYE 26 time period. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed the results and applied the percentage impacts by program and risk group to the applicable COS to come to the final dollar impact for CYE 26 (the data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team was at a detailed rate code and COS level which the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then aggregated to the specific risk groups for each program).

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement

The actuaries confirm that they have received and reviewed the DAP SDP preprint at the time of rate certification, and these payments are being made in a manner consistent with the preprint that will be submitted to CMS by September 15, 2025.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(E) Maximum Fee Schedule - Not Applicable

Not applicable. None of the SDPs for the ALTCS-EPD Program are based on maximum fee schedules.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii) SDPs Under Separate Payment Arrangement

The APSI, PSI, HEALTHII, SNSI and NF-SP are not included in the ALTCS-EPD certified capitation rates and will be paid out via lump sum payments. The 2026 Guide requires a specifically formatted table in addition to the information provided here. This CMS prescribed table can be found in Appendix 8a.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(A) Aggregate Amount

Access to Professional Services Initiative

Anticipated payments, including premium tax, for APSI are approximately \$8.77 million for the ALTCS-EPD Program. AHCCCS will distribute the total payment via four quarterly lump sum payments equal to 20% of the estimated amount to the Contractors, and a final lump sum payment after the completion of the contract year which will equal the difference between the quarterly payments and the actual annual rate increase calculated based on encounter data for the contract year.

Pediatric Services Initiative

Anticipated payments, including premium tax, for PSI are approximately \$3.10 million for the ALTCS-EPD Program. AHCCCS will distribute the total payment via four interim quarterly lump sum payments to the Contractors. After the completion of the contract year, CYE 26 utilization will be used to redistribute the payments.

Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative

Anticipated payments, including premium tax, for HEALTHII are approximately \$96.60 million for the ALTCS-EPD Program. AHCCCS will distribute the total payment via four interim quarterly lump sum payments to the Contractors. After the completion of the contract year, CYE 26 utilization will be used to redistribute the payments.

Safety Net Services Initiative

Anticipated payments, including premium tax, for SNSI are approximately \$5.75 million for the ALTCS-EPD Program. AHCCCS will distribute the total payment via four interim quarterly lump sum payments to the Contractors. After the completion of the contract year, CYE 26 utilization will be used to redistribute the payments.

Nursing Facility Supplemental Payments

The anticipated payments, including premium tax, for NF-SP are approximately \$95.41 million for the ALTCS-EPD Program. AHCCCS will distribute the total payment via five payments, four of which will be quarterly lump sum payments totaling 85% of the total estimated amount to the Contractors. The final lump sum payment, made after the end of the contract year, will equal the difference between the quarterly payments and the per bed day enhanced support uniform dollar increase multiplied by total annual bed days calculated based on encounter data for the contract year.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(B) Actuarial Certification of the Amount of the Separate Payment Term

Access to Professional Services Initiative

The actuaries certify the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

Pediatric Services Initiative

The actuaries certify the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative

The actuaries certify the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

Safety Net Services Initiative

The actuaries certify the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

Nursing Facility Supplemental Payments

The actuaries certify the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(C) Estimated Impact by Rate Cell

Appendix 8b contains estimated PMPMs, including premium tax, by rate cell for informational purposes only; these payments are not made on a PMPM basis.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement

Access to Professional Services Initiative

AHCCCS has submitted the APSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuaries received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint that is under CMS review.

Pediatric Services Initiative

AHCCCS has submitted the PSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuaries received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint that is under CMS review.

Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative

AHCCCS has submitted the HEALTHII 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuaries received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint that is under CMS review.

Safety Net Services Initiative

AHCCCS has submitted the SNSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuaries received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint that is under CMS review.

Nursing Facility Supplemental Payments

The actuaries confirm that they have received and reviewed the SDP preprint at the time of rate certification, and these payments are being made under the approved NF-SP 42 CFR § 438.6(c) payment arrangement in a manner consistent with the preprint reviewed by CMS (inclusive of any/all correspondence between the state and CMS regarding the preprint).

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(E) Future Documentation Requirements

Access to Professional Services Initiative

After the rating period is complete and the final APSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the APSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

Pediatric Services Initiative

After the rating period is complete and the final PSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the PSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative

After the rating period is complete and the final HEALTHII payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the HEALTHII payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

Safety Net Services Initiative

After the rating period is complete and the final SNSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the SNSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP payment preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

Nursing Facility Supplemental Payments

After the rating period is complete and the final NF-SP payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the NF-SP payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

I.4.D.ii.(b) Confirmation of No Other SDPs

There are not any additional directed payments in the program that are not addressed in the rate certification, including minimum fee schedules using State plan approved rates or total published Medicare payment rates as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6(a).



I.4.D.ii.(c) Confirmation Regarding Required Reimbursement Rates

There are not any requirements regarding reimbursement rates the plans must pay to providers unless specifically specified in the certification as a SDP or authorized under applicable law, regulation, or waiver.

I.4.E. Pass-Through Payments – Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no pass-through payments for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.5. Projected Non-Benefit Costs

I.5.A. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2026 Guide provides information on the non-benefit component of the capitation rates.

I.5.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.5.B.i. Description of the Development of Projected Non-Benefit Costs

I.5.B.i.(a) Data, Assumptions, Methodology

The primary data sources used to develop the administrative component of the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program were the CYE 24 quarterly financial statements submitted by the Contractors, and historical and projected administrative expense data submitted by the Contractors per a supplemental data request. The ALTCS-EPD Contractors' supplemental data request included actual CYE 24 amounts, actual CYE 25 Q1 (through December 31, 2024) amounts, and actual/projected amounts for CYE 25. This data request included breakouts into different administrative categories for each time frame. The actuaries also reviewed Consumer Price Index (CPI) data from S&P Global Market Intelligence Healthcare Cost Review.

Administrative Expenses

The actuaries used CYE 24 administrative (Admin) expenses reported in the Contractors' quarterly financial statements as the base experience for projecting CYE 26 Admin expenses. The actuaries also evaluated each Contractor's supplemental data submission for reasonableness to make Contractor-specific adjustments in developing the final projected Admin expenses.

The wage-driven portion of the CYE 24 Admin expenses was trended forward from the base period to the rating period by the projected CPI for wage earners. The trend factor was based on data from an external firm, S&P Global Market Intelligence Healthcare Cost Review, which was reviewed and determined to be reasonable. A trend factor was not applied to the non-wage-driven portion of the CYE 24 Admin expenses. The CYE 26 projected wage-driven and non-wage-driven amounts were summed together to equal the projected CYE 26 Admin expenses. The administrative expenses are set as a percentage of statewide projected gross medical expenses by Contractor, and then the percentage by Contractor is applied to the projected gross medical expense by GSA and risk group (Dual or Non-Dual) to develop the projected CYE 26 Admin expense by rate cell.

Case Management Expenses

The actuaries used CYE 24 case management expenses by GSA and risk group, as reported in the Contractors' quarterly financial statements, as the base experience for projecting CYE 26 Case Management expenses. Adjustments were made for the change in HCBS mix percentage from the base experience period to the rating period, and to increase the wage-driven portion of the base case management expenses by the projected CPI for wage earners (as described in the Admin section above).

I.5.B.i.(b) Changes from the Previous Rate Certification

The data, assumptions, and methodology used to develop the CYE 26 projected administrative and case management costs are similar to the previous rating period and have been documented above.

I.5.B.i.(c) Any Other Material Changes

No other material adjustments were applied to the projected non-benefit expenses included in the capitation rate.

I.5.B.ii. Projected Non-Benefit Costs by Category

The projected non-benefit costs for each of the listed categories of costs in the 2026 Guide are shown in Appendix 7b for the CYE 26 capitation rates.

I.5.B.ii.(a) Administrative Costs

The administrative component of the CYE 26 ALTCS-EPD capitation rates is described above in Section I.5.B.i.(a). The PMPM amounts by rate cell are provided in Appendix 7b.

I.5.B.ii.(b) Taxes and Other Fees

The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program include a provision for premium tax of 2.0% of capitation. The premium tax is applied to the total capitation. No other taxes, fees, or assessments are applicable for this filing.

I.5.B.ii.(c) Contribution to Reserves, Risk Margin, and Cost of Capital

The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program include a provision (denoted as UW gain and expressed as a percentage) for contributions to reserves, risk margin, and cost of capital of 1.15%, which has not changed since the most recently certified CYE 25 capitation rates.

I.5.B.ii.(d) Other Material Non-Benefit Costs

No other material or non-material non-benefit costs are reflected in the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program.

I.5.B.iii. Historical Non-Benefit Cost

Historical non-benefit cost data is provided by the AHCCCS Contractors via financial statements and additional data requests. The audited financial statements can be found on the AHCCCS website at: https://www.azahcccs.gov/Resources/OversightOfHealthPlans/contractedhealthplan.html. Historical non-benefit cost data was considered and used in the non-benefit cost assumptions as described in Section I.5.B.i.(a) above.

I.6. Risk Adjustment - Not Applicable

Not applicable. The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program do not include risk adjustment.

I.7. Acuity Adjustments - Not Applicable

Not applicable. The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program do not utilize acuity adjustments.

Section II Medicaid Managed Care Rates with Long-Term Services and Supports

Section II of the 2026 Guide is applicable to the ALTCS-EPD Program because the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program are subject to the applicable "actuarial soundness" provisions from 42 CFR § 438.4 and the ALTCS-EPD Program includes managed long-term services and supports (MLTSS).

II.1. Managed Long-Term Services and Supports

II.1.A. Applicability of Section I for MLTSS

The rate development standards and appropriate documentation described in Section I of the 2026 Guide are applicable to the MLTSS rate development process.

II.1.B. Rate Development Standards

II.1.B.i. Rate Cell Structure

This section of the 2026 Guide provides the two most common approaches to structuring the rate cells.

II.1.B.i.(a) Blended Capitation Rate

The monthly capitation rate for each rate cell is developed as a blended rate payable for each enrolled member.

II.1.B.i.(b) Non-Blended Capitation Rate – Not Applicable

Not applicable. A member's long-term care setting does not determine the capitation paid for that member.

II.1.C. Appropriate Documentation

II.1.C.i. Considerations

II.1.C.i.(a) Rate Cell Structure

The monthly capitation rate for each rate cell is developed as a blended rate payable for each enrolled member.

II.1.C.i.(b) Data, Assumptions, Methodologies

Data, assumptions, and methodologies used for the development of projected gross medical expenses, administrative expenses, and case management expenses are described above in Sections I.3 and I.5.

II.1.C.i.(c) Other Payment Structures, Incentives, or Disincentives

There are no other payment structures, incentives, or disincentives to pay ALTCS-EPD Contractors other than what has already been described above in Sections I.4.A and I.4.C.

II.1.C.i.(d) Effect of MLTSS on Utilization and Unit Cost

The ALTCS-EPD Program operates as managed care. No data is available that would quantify the impacts of care management on utilization or unit costs.

II.1.C.i.(e) Effect of MLTSS on Setting of Care

The ALTCS-EPD Program operates as managed care. No data is available that quantifies the effect that the management of this care is expected to have on the level of care within each care setting.

II.1.C.ii. Projected Non-Benefit Costs

The development of projected non-benefit costs is described in Section I.5.B of this certification.

II.1.C.iii. Additional Information

No additional information beyond the types and sources of data described in Section I.2.B.ii of this certification was considered.

Section III New Adult Group Capitation Rates – Not Applicable

Section III of the 2026 Guide is not applicable to the ALTCS-EPD Program. As noted in Section I.1.B.iii, all covered populations under the ALTCS-EPD Program receive the regular FMAP.

Appendix 1: Actuarial Certification

We, Matthew C. Varitek, FSA, MAAA and Elizabeth Seaman, ASA, MAAA, are employees of AHCCCS. We meet the qualification standards established by the American Academy of Actuaries and have followed generally accepted actuarial practices and regulatory requirements, including published guidance from the American Academy of Actuaries, the Actuarial Standards Board, CMS, and federal regulations.

The capitation rates included with this rate certification are considered actuarially sound according to the following criteria from 42 CFR § 438.4(a) and 42 CFR § 438.4(b). The state did not opt to develop capitation rate ranges, therefore adherence to 42 CFR § 438.4(c) is not required.

- § 438.4(a) Actuarially sound capitation rates defined. Actuarially sound capitation rates are projected to provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs that are required under the terms of the contract and for the operation of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP for the time period and the population covered under the terms of the contract, and such capitation rates are developed in accordance with the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section.
- § 438.4(b) CMS review and approval of actuarially sound capitation rates. Capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs must be reviewed and approved by CMS as actuarially sound. To be approved by CMS, capitation rates must:
- § 438.4(b)(1) Have been developed in accordance with standards specified in § 438.5 and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations must be based on valid rate development standards that represent actual cost differences in providing covered services to the covered populations. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates must not vary with the rate of Federal financial participation (FFP) associated with the covered populations in a manner that increases Federal costs. The determination that differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs increase Federal costs and vary with the rate of FFP associated with the covered populations must be evaluated for the entire managed care program and include all managed care contracts for all covered populations. CMS may require a State to provide written documentation and justification that any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations or contracts represent actual cost differences based on the characteristics and mix of the covered services or the covered populations.
- § 438.4(b)(2) Be appropriate for the populations to be covered and the services to be furnished under the contract.
- § 438.4(b)(3) Be adequate to meet the requirements on MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs in §§ 438.206, 438.207, and 438.208.
- § 438.4(b)(4) Be specific to payments for each rate cell under the contract.
- § 438.4(b)(5) Payments from any rate cell must not cross-subsidize or be cross-subsidized by payments for any other rate cell.
- § 438.4(b)(6) Be certified by an actuary as meeting the applicable requirements of this part, including that the rates have been developed in accordance with the requirements specified in § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and (e).
- § 438.4(b)(7) Meet any applicable special contract provisions as specified in § 438.6.
- § 438.4(b)(8) Be provided to CMS in a format and within a timeframe that meets requirements in § 438.7.



• § 438.4(b)(9) Be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard, as calculated under § 438.8, of at least 85 percent for the rate year. The capitation rates may be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard greater than 85 percent, as calculated under § 438.8, as long as the capitation rates are adequate for reasonable, appropriate, and attainable non-benefit costs.

Additionally, the term "actuarially sound" is defined in Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) 49, "Medicaid Managed Care Capitation Rate Development and Certification," as:

"Medicaid capitation rates are "actuarially sound" if, for business for which the certification is being prepared and for the period covered by the certification, projected capitation rates and other revenue sources provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs. For purposes of this definition, other revenue sources include, but are not limited to, expected reinsurance and governmental stop-loss cash flows, governmental risk adjustment cash flows, and investment income. For purposes of this definition, costs include, but are not limited to, expected health benefits, health benefit settlement expenses, administrative expenses, the cost of capital, and government-mandated assessments, fees, and taxes."

The data, assumptions, and methodologies used to develop the CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program have been documented according to the guidelines established by CMS in the 2026 Guide. The CYE 26 capitation rates for the ALTCS-EPD Program are effective from October 1, 2025, through September 30, 2026.

The actuarially sound capitation rates are based on projections of future events. Actual results may vary from the projections. In developing the actuarially sound capitation rates, we have relied upon data and information provided by AHCCCS and ALTCS-EPD Contractors. We have relied upon AHCCCS and ALTCS-EPD Contractors for the accuracy of the data and we have accepted the data without audit, after checking the data for reasonableness and consistency unless stated otherwise.

SIGNATURE ON FILE	September 8, 2025		
Matthew C. Varitek	Date		
Fellow, Society of Actuaries			
Member, American Academy of Actuaries			
SIGNATURE ON FILE	September 8, 2025		
Elizabeth Seaman	Date		
Associate, Society of Actuaries			
Member, American Academy of Actuaries			

Appendix 2: Certified Capitation Rates



Appendix 2: Certified Capitation Rates

GSA	Contractor	Dual	Non-Dual
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$4,210.18	\$8,522.08
Central	Banner – University Family Care	\$5,374.71	\$10,993.57
Central	Mercy Care	\$5,637.70	\$11,236.38
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$3,959.62	\$9,500.78
South	Banner – University Family Care	\$5,393.41	\$9,621.37
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	\$5,308.13	\$9,301.66

Appendix 3: Fiscal Impact Summary and Comparison to Prior Rates

Appendix 3: Fiscal Impact Summary and Comparison to Prior Rates

GSA	Contractor	Risk Group	CYE 26 Projected MMs	CYE 25 Capitation Rate	CYE 26 Capitation Rate	CYE 25 Projected Expenditures	CYE 26 Projected Expenditures	Percentage Change
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Dual	23,441	\$3,995.39	\$4,210.18	\$93,655,237	\$98,690,222	5.38%
Central	Banner – University Family Care	Dual	21,677	\$5,215.58	\$5,374.71	\$113,058,477	\$116,507,802	3.05%
Central	Mercy Care	Dual	63,362	\$5,411.94	\$5,637.70	\$342,914,109	\$357,218,616	4.17%
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Dual	93,003	\$3,846.17	\$3,959.62	\$357,704,357	\$368,255,359	2.95%
South	Banner – University Family Care	Dual	47,541	\$5,196.40	\$5,393.41	\$247,041,070	\$256,407,115	3.79%
South	Mercy Care	Dual	17,224	\$5,190.16	\$5,308.13	\$89,394,581	\$91,426,559	2.27%
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Non-Dual	3,772	\$8,073.46	\$8,522.08	\$30,452,514	\$32,144,690	5.56%
Central	Banner – University Family Care	Non-Dual	5,887	\$10,777.24	\$10,993.57	\$63,440,557	\$64,713,978	2.01%
Central	Mercy Care	Non-Dual	24,065	\$10,849.31	\$11,236.38	\$261,088,361	\$270,403,049	3.57%
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Non-Dual	12,353	\$8,897.73	\$9,500.78	\$109,916,668	\$117,366,405	6.78%
South	Banner – University Family Care	Non-Dual	8,689	\$9,619.94	\$9,621.37	\$83,590,483	\$83,602,842	0.01%
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	Non-Dual	3,458	\$9,079.02	\$9,301.66	\$31,399,382	\$32,169,358	2.45%
Composite		Dual	266,248	\$4,671.47	\$4,839.50	\$1,243,767,831	\$1,288,505,673	3.60%
Composite		Non-Dual	58,225	\$9,959.52	\$10,311.81	\$579,887,965	\$600,400,322	3.54%
Composite		Total	324,472	\$5,620.38	\$5,821.47	\$1,823,655,796	\$1,888,905,994	3.58%

61

Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | North

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 23,433 | LTSS %: 97.77% | HCBS Mix %: 69.79% | Projection Period | Member Months: 23,441 | LTSS %: 97.86% | HCBS Mix %: 70.54%

	I.2.B.ii	I.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs base	d on Subset of Mem	bers in Risk Grou	p ¹			
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$6,318.48	0.98015	\$6,446.41	\$990.22	\$7,436.63	(1.24%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$7,344.08
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,147.13	0.97480	\$1,176.79	\$23.23	\$1,200.02	(0.19%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,197.77
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$775.32	0.97480	\$795.37	\$8.13	\$803.50	(3.31%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$776.93
HCBS - Other	\$137.49	0.97480	\$141.04	\$0.00	\$141.04	(2.12%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$138.05
Acute - Inpatient	\$8.84	0.99797	\$8.85	\$0.00	\$8.85	(2.74%)	0.00%	0.03%	\$8.61
Acute - Other	\$105.70	0.96612	\$109.41	\$0.00	\$109.41	(0.16%)	(0.01%)	0.00%	\$109.22
Acute - Outpatient	\$18.21	0.97235	\$18.73	\$0.00	\$18.73	(3.04%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$18.16
Acute - Pharmacy	\$7.14	0.99999	\$7.14	\$0.00	\$7.14	0.00%	(0.78%)	0.00%	\$7.08
Acute - Physician	\$39.11	0.96612	\$40.48	\$0.00	\$40.48	(0.00%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$40.48
	PMPMs based on All Members in Risk Group ²								
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,866.01	0.98015	\$1,903.79	\$292.44	\$2,196.22	(1.24%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,168.89
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,405.71	0.97480	\$1,442.06	\$21.40	\$1,463.46	(1.48%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,441.75
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$178.99	0.96959	\$184.61	\$0.00	\$184.61	(0.53%)	(0.04%)	0.00%	\$183.56
Total Medical PMPM	\$3,450.71	0.97741	\$3,530.46	\$313.84	\$3,844.29	(1.30%)	(0.00%)	0.00%	\$3,794.20

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	97.77%
HCBS Mix Percent	69.79%

Footnotes

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component
- = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | North

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 3,794 | LTSS %: 95.90% | HCBS Mix %: 73.80% Projection Period | Member Months: 3,772 | LTSS %: 97.00% | HCBS Mix %: 72.17%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs based	on Subset of Membe	ers in Risk Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$9,360.19	0.98015	\$9,549.71	\$149.13	\$9,698.85	(0.93%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$9,608.77
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$658.98	0.97480	\$676.02	\$0.37	\$676.38	(0.12%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$675.60
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,352.44	0.97480	\$1,387.41	\$4.44	\$1,391.85	(3.36%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,345.14
HCBS - Other	\$360.36	0.97480	\$369.67	\$0.00	\$369.67	(1.13%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$365.51
Acute - Inpatient	\$580.25	0.99797	\$581.43	\$0.00	\$581.43	(2.55%)	0.00%	0.02%	\$566.72
Acute - Other	\$636.73	0.96612	\$659.06	\$0.00	\$659.06	(0.12%)	(0.02%)	0.00%	\$658.18
Acute - Outpatient	\$385.64	0.97235	\$396.60	\$0.00	\$396.60	(2.36%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$387.24
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,405.80	0.99999	\$1,405.81	\$0.00	\$1,405.81	0.00%	(0.46%)	0.00%	\$1,399.38
Acute - Physician	\$464.69	0.96612	\$480.99	\$0.00	\$480.99	(0.00%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$480.97
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,351.81	0.98015	\$2,399.43	\$37.47	\$2,436.90	(0.93%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,414.27
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,678.61	0.97480	\$1,722.01	\$3.40	\$1,725.41	(2.12%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,688.86
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$3,473.11	0.98559	\$3,523.90	\$0.00	\$3,523.90	(0.71%)	(0.19%)	0.00%	\$3,492.49
Total Medical PMPM	\$7,503.53	0.98145	\$7,645.34	\$40.87	\$7,686.21	(1.10%)	(0.09%)	0.00%	\$7,595.62

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.90%
HCBS Mix Percent	73.80%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Banner – University Family Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 25,851 | LTSS %: 98.22% | HCBS Mix %: 77.01% Projection Period | Member Months: 21,677 | LTSS %: 98.58% | HCBS Mix %: 75.10%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs based	on Subset of Membe	ers in Risk Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$7,632.28	0.99048	\$7,705.60	\$946.51	\$8,652.11	(1.41%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$8,530.51
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,673.81	0.98328	\$1,702.27	\$13.46	\$1,715.73	(0.38%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,709.23
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,063.37	0.98328	\$1,081.45	\$7.83	\$1,089.29	(3.55%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,050.65
HCBS - Other	\$126.46	0.98328	\$128.61	\$0.00	\$128.61	(2.61%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$125.25
Acute - Inpatient	\$45.47	0.96549	\$47.09	\$0.00	\$47.09	(2.97%)	0.00%	0.04%	\$45.71
Acute - Other	\$243.69	0.98518	\$247.35	\$0.00	\$247.35	(0.05%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$247.23
Acute - Outpatient	\$33.10	0.97880	\$33.82	\$0.00	\$33.82	(1.59%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$33.28
Acute - Pharmacy	\$3.20	0.98694	\$3.24	\$0.00	\$3.24	0.00%	0.70%	0.00%	\$3.26
Acute - Physician	\$62.92	0.98518	\$63.86	\$0.00	\$63.86	(0.26%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$63.70
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,723.62	0.99048	\$1,740.18	\$213.75	\$1,953.93	(1.41%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,926.47
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,165.85	0.98328	\$2,202.68	\$16.10	\$2,218.78	(1.65%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,182.10
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$388.37	0.98231	\$395.37	\$0.00	\$395.37	(0.56%)	0.01%	0.00%	\$393.18
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,277.84	0.98608	\$4,338.22	\$229.86	\$4,568.08	(1.45%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$4,501.75

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.22%
HCBS Mix Percent	77.01%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Banner - University Family Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 5,588 | LTSS %: 95.47% | HCBS Mix %: 65.32% Projection Period | Member Months: 5,887 | LTSS %: 95.64% | HCBS Mix %: 66.76%

	I.2.B.ii	I.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base	SOC Payments	Subtotal	DAP Payments	Other Base Data	IMD	Adjusted Base
category or service	Base mealear	completion ractors	Medical	Added	Subtotai	Removed	Adjustments	5	Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs based	on Subset of Membe	ers in Risk Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$11,305.35	0.99048	\$11,413.96	\$121.67	\$11,535.63	(1.70%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$11,339.71
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,130.77	0.98328	\$1,149.99	\$0.85	\$1,150.84	(0.40%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,146.21
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,295.71	0.98328	\$1,317.74	\$7.94	\$1,325.68	(3.48%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,279.54
HCBS - Other	\$360.03	0.98328	\$366.15	\$0.00	\$366.15	(1.32%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$361.31
Acute - Inpatient	\$957.25	0.96549	\$991.47	\$0.00	\$991.47	(2.92%)	0.00%	0.02%	\$962.62
Acute - Other	\$882.85	0.98518	\$896.12	\$0.00	\$896.12	(0.05%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$895.71
Acute - Outpatient	\$502.73	0.97880	\$513.62	\$0.00	\$513.62	(3.58%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$495.23
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,179.00	0.98694	\$1,194.60	\$0.00	\$1,194.60	0.00%	(0.99%)	0.00%	\$1,182.75
Acute - Physician	\$678.19	0.98518	\$688.39	\$0.00	\$688.39	(0.27%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$686.50
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$3,742.99	0.99048	\$3,778.95	\$40.28	\$3,819.24	(1.70%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$3,754.37
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,737.75	0.98328	\$1,767.30	\$5.48	\$1,772.78	(1.96%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,738.10
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$4,200.02	0.98035	\$4,284.20	\$0.00	\$4,284.20	(1.16%)	(0.28%)	0.00%	\$4,222.81
Total Medical PMPM	\$9,680.77	0.98477	\$9,830.46	\$45.77	\$9,876.22	(1.51%)	(0.12%)	0.00%	\$9,715.28

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.47%
HCBS Mix Percent	65.32%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 79,072 | LTSS %: 98.17% | HCBS Mix %: 79.09%

Projection Period | Member Months: 63,362 | LTSS %: 98.56% | HCBS Mix %: 78.57%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs based	on Subset of Membe	ers in Risk Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$8,354.79	0.99702	\$8,379.77	\$857.74	\$9,237.51	(1.48%)	0.00%	(0.01%)	\$9,099.91
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,152.90	0.98451	\$1,171.04	\$19.99	\$1,191.03	(0.43%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,185.94
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,838.53	0.98451	\$1,867.46	\$7.44	\$1,874.90	(3.15%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,815.75
HCBS - Other	\$202.62	0.98451	\$205.81	\$0.00	\$205.81	(1.48%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$202.77
Acute - Inpatient	\$65.68	0.94243	\$69.69	\$0.00	\$69.69	(2.84%)	0.00%	(0.17%)	\$67.60
Acute - Other	\$257.57	0.98577	\$261.29	\$0.00	\$261.29	(0.07%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	\$261.10
Acute - Outpatient	\$52.10	0.97975	\$53.18	\$0.00	\$53.18	(1.12%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$52.58
Acute - Pharmacy	\$10.45	0.99858	\$10.47	\$0.00	\$10.47	0.00%	0.70%	0.00%	\$10.54
Acute - Physician	\$95.28	0.98577	\$96.65	\$0.00	\$96.65	(0.00%)	0.00%	(0.01%)	\$96.64
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,714.97	0.99702	\$1,720.10	\$176.07	\$1,896.17	(1.48%)	0.00%	(0.01%)	\$1,867.92
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,479.81	0.98451	\$2,518.82	\$21.30	\$2,540.12	(2.06%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,487.89
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$481.08	0.97924	\$491.28	\$0.00	\$491.28	(0.56%)	0.01%	(0.03%)	\$488.47
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,675.86	0.98851	\$4,730.21	\$197.36	\$4,927.57	(1.69%)	0.00%	(0.01%)	\$4,844.28

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.17%
HCBS Mix Percent	79.09%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 24,044 | LTSS %: 95.44% | HCBS Mix %: 72.20%

Projection Period | Member Months: 24,065 | LTSS %: 96.73% | HCBS Mix %: 71.29%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs based	on Subset of Membe	ers in Risk Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$13,367.96	0.99702	\$13,407.93	\$73.10	\$13,481.03	(1.69%)	0.00%	(0.02%)	\$13,250.61
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$591.68	0.98451	\$600.99	\$1.09	\$602.08	(0.40%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$599.68
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$2,316.86	0.98451	\$2,353.31	\$3.09	\$2,356.40	(2.74%)	0.00%	(0.00%)	\$2,291.75
HCBS - Other	\$675.17	0.98451	\$685.80	\$0.00	\$685.80	(0.64%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$681.41
Acute - Inpatient	\$705.48	0.94243	\$748.57	\$0.00	\$748.57	(2.92%)	0.00%	(0.32%)	\$724.42
Acute - Other	\$974.70	0.98577	\$988.77	\$0.00	\$988.77	(0.07%)	(0.00%)	(0.02%)	\$987.87
Acute - Outpatient	\$340.08	0.97975	\$347.11	\$0.00	\$347.11	(1.70%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$341.21
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,323.72	0.99858	\$1,325.60	\$0.00	\$1,325.60	0.00%	(0.99%)	(0.00%)	\$1,312.44
Acute - Physician	\$671.55	0.98577	\$681.24	\$0.00	\$681.24	(0.00%)	0.00%	(0.04%)	\$680.93
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$3,546.24	0.99702	\$3,556.85	\$19.39	\$3,576.24	(1.69%)	0.00%	(0.02%)	\$3,515.11
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,469.58	0.98451	\$2,508.43	\$2.88	\$2,511.31	(1.96%)	0.00%	(0.00%)	\$2,462.08
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$4,015.52	0.98148	\$4,091.30	\$0.00	\$4,091.30	(0.70%)	(0.32%)	(0.07%)	\$4,046.87
Total Medical PMPM	\$10,031.34	0.98767	\$10,156.57	\$22.27	\$10,178.84	(1.36%)	(0.13%)	(0.04%)	\$10,024.06

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.44%
HCBS Mix Percent	72.20%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 68,869 | LTSS %: 97.15% | HCBS Mix %: 83.02%

Projection Period | Member Months: 93,003 | LTSS %: 97.76% | HCBS Mix %: 84.02%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs based	on Subset of Membe	ers in Risk Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$6,939.27	0.98015	\$7,079.77	\$971.17	\$8,050.93	(1.39%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$7,939.30
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,320.18	0.97480	\$1,354.31	\$11.93	\$1,366.24	(0.33%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,361.72
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$817.49	0.97480	\$838.63	\$4.68	\$843.31	(3.59%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$813.07
HCBS - Other	\$171.55	0.97480	\$175.98	\$0.00	\$175.98	(2.83%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$171.00
Acute - Inpatient	\$19.08	0.99797	\$19.12	\$0.00	\$19.12	(2.88%)	0.00%	0.02%	\$18.57
Acute - Other	\$152.01	0.96612	\$157.34	\$0.00	\$157.34	(0.07%)	(0.05%)	0.00%	\$157.16
Acute - Outpatient	\$15.46	0.97235	\$15.90	\$0.00	\$15.90	(1.73%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$15.62
Acute - Pharmacy	\$17.35	0.99999	\$17.35	\$0.00	\$17.35	0.00%	0.70%	0.00%	\$17.47
Acute - Physician	\$50.88	0.96612	\$52.66	\$0.00	\$52.66	(0.00%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$52.66
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,144.70	0.98015	\$1,167.88	\$160.20	\$1,328.09	(1.39%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,309.67
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,862.48	0.97480	\$1,910.64	\$13.40	\$1,924.03	(1.67%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,891.98
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$254.77	0.97105	\$262.37	\$0.00	\$262.37	(0.36%)	0.02%	0.00%	\$261.48
Total Medical PMPM	\$3,261.96	0.97638	\$3,340.88	\$173.60	\$3,514.48	(1.46%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$3,463.13

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	97.15%
HCBS Mix Percent	83.02%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 11,212 | LTSS %: 95.03% | HCBS Mix %: 73.71% Projection Period | Member Months: 12,353 | LTSS %: 96.48% | HCBS Mix %: 72.83%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total			
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical			
LTSS PMPMs based on Subset of Members in Risk Group ¹												
Nursing Facility (NF)	ursing Facility (NF) \$9,815.81 0.98015 \$10,014.56 \$86.22 \$10,100.78 (1.72%) 0.00% 0.00% \$9,927.12											
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,052.71	0.97480	\$1,079.92	\$2.31	\$1,082.23	(0.30%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,078.98			
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,323.37	0.97480	\$1,357.59	\$3.19	\$1,360.78	(3.61%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,311.72			
HCBS - Other	\$367.21	0.97480	\$376.71	\$0.00	\$376.71	(1.68%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$370.37			
Acute - Inpatient	\$951.73	0.99797	\$953.67	\$0.00	\$953.67	(2.86%)	0.00%	0.01%	\$926.55			
Acute - Other	\$713.59	0.96612	\$738.62	\$0.00	\$738.62	(0.08%)	(0.00%)	0.00%	\$738.01			
Acute - Outpatient	\$290.94	0.97235	\$299.22	\$0.00	\$299.22	(2.13%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$292.85			
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,466.25	0.99999	\$1,466.26	\$0.00	\$1,466.26	0.00%	(0.99%)	0.00%	\$1,451.72			
Acute - Physician	\$778.70	0.96612	\$806.01	\$0.00	\$806.01	(0.00%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$805.99			
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²							
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,452.62	0.98015	\$2,502.28	\$21.54	\$2,523.82	(1.72%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,480.43			
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,921.42	0.97480	\$1,971.10	\$3.85	\$1,974.95	(2.08%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,933.87			
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$4,201.21	0.98533	\$4,263.77	\$0.00	\$4,263.77	(0.80%)	(0.34%)	0.00%	\$4,215.12			
Total Medical PMPM	\$8,575.24	0.98147	\$8,737.14	\$25.39	\$8,762.54	(1.35%)	(0.17%)	0.00%	\$8,629.42			

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.03%
HCBS Mix Percent	73.71%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Banner – University Family Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 45,019 | LTSS %: 98.50% | HCBS Mix %: 72.88%

Projection Period | Member Months: 47,541 | LTSS %: 98.39% | HCBS Mix %: 72.65%

	I.2.B.ii	I.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total	
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	
LTSS PMPMs based on Subset of Members in Risk Group ¹										
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$7,716.21	0.99048	\$7,790.35	\$877.00	\$8,667.35	(1.12%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$8,570.38	
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,096.61	0.98328	\$1,115.26	\$13.90	\$1,129.16	(0.31%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,125.69	
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,498.28	0.98328	\$1,523.75	\$6.72	\$1,530.47	(4.25%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,465.35	
HCBS - Other	\$230.11	0.98328	\$234.02	\$0.00	\$234.02	(3.34%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$226.21	
Acute - Inpatient	\$26.15	0.96549	\$27.08	\$0.00	\$27.08	(3.17%)	0.00%	0.20%	\$26.27	
Acute - Other	\$137.57	0.98518	\$139.64	\$0.00	\$139.64	(0.11%)	(0.00%)	0.00%	\$139.49	
Acute - Outpatient	\$45.76	0.97880	\$46.75	\$0.00	\$46.75	(1.49%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$46.05	
Acute - Pharmacy	\$4.87	0.98694	\$4.93	\$0.00	\$4.93	0.00%	0.86%	0.00%	\$4.97	
Acute - Physician	\$77.05	0.98518	\$78.21	\$0.00	\$78.21	(0.22%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$78.04	
			PMPMs based	on All Members in	Risk Group ²					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,061.40	0.99048	\$2,081.21	\$234.29	\$2,315.50	(1.12%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,289.59	
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,027.83	0.98328	\$2,062.31	\$14.80	\$2,077.11	(2.64%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,022.28	
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$291.40	0.98241	\$296.61	\$0.00	\$296.61	(0.63%)	0.01%	0.02%	\$294.83	
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,380.63	0.98660	\$4,440.14	\$249.09	\$4,689.23	(1.76%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$4,606.70	

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.50%
HCBS Mix Percent	72.88%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Banner – University Family Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 8,260 | LTSS %: 96.98% | HCBS Mix %: 70.38%

Projection Period | Member Months: 8,689 | LTSS %: 97.62% | HCBS Mix %: 70.40%

	I.2.B.ii	I.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total		
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical		
LTSS PMPMs based on Subset of Members in Risk Group ¹											
Nursing Facility (NF) \$10,340.78 0.99048 \$10,440.13 \$91.29 \$10,531.41 (1.33%) 0.00% 0.00% \$10,391.32											
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$573.54	0.98328	\$583.30	\$0.78	\$584.08	(0.33%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$582.14		
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,710.89	0.98328	\$1,739.98	\$4.98	\$1,744.96	(4.10%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,673.35		
HCBS - Other	\$394.20	0.98328	\$400.90	\$0.00	\$400.90	(2.39%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$391.30		
Acute - Inpatient	\$629.86	0.96549	\$652.37	\$0.00	\$652.37	(2.91%)	0.00%	0.09%	\$633.98		
Acute - Other	\$738.35	0.98518	\$749.46	\$0.00	\$749.46	(0.18%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$748.15		
Acute - Outpatient	\$570.85	0.97880	\$583.22	\$0.00	\$583.22	(2.14%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$570.74		
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,021.75	0.98694	\$1,035.27	\$0.00	\$1,035.27	0.00%	(0.39%)	0.00%	\$1,031.23		
Acute - Physician	\$536.63	0.98518	\$544.70	\$0.00	\$544.70	(0.34%)	0.00%	(0.00%)	\$542.84		
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²						
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,970.19	0.99048	\$2,998.72	\$26.22	\$3,024.94	(1.33%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,984.70		
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,828.30	0.98328	\$1,859.39	\$3.93	\$1,863.32	(3.05%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,806.56		
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$3,497.45	0.98105	\$3,565.02	\$0.00	\$3,565.02	(0.97%)	(0.11%)	0.02%	\$3,526.94		
Total Medical PMPM	\$8,295.93	0.98490	\$8,423.13	\$30.15	\$8,453.28	(1.56%)	(0.05%)	0.01%	\$8,318.20		

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	96.98%
HCBS Mix Percent	70.38%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 18,031 | LTSS %: 98.45% | HCBS Mix %: 71.65% Projection Period | Member Months: 17,224 | LTSS %: 98.70% | HCBS Mix %: 72.22%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total		
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical		
LTSS PMPMs based on Subset of Members in Risk Group ¹											
Nursing Facility (NF) \$6,619.73 0.99702 \$6,639.53 \$865.80 \$7,505.32 (1.09%) 0.00% 0.00% \$7,423.63											
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,094.20	0.98451	\$1,111.42	\$18.23	\$1,129.65	(0.31%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,126.15		
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,761.48	0.98451	\$1,789.19	\$8.58	\$1,797.77	(3.23%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,739.63		
HCBS - Other	\$334.32	0.98451	\$339.58	\$0.00	\$339.58	(1.59%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$334.18		
Acute - Inpatient	\$43.54	0.94243	\$46.20	\$0.00	\$46.20	(2.89%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$44.86		
Acute - Other	\$129.81	0.98577	\$131.68	\$0.00	\$131.68	(0.08%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$131.58		
Acute - Outpatient	\$34.60	0.97975	\$35.32	\$0.00	\$35.32	(0.82%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$35.03		
Acute - Pharmacy	\$4.64	0.99858	\$4.65	\$0.00	\$4.65	0.00%	0.86%	0.00%	\$4.69		
Acute - Physician	\$101.41	0.98577	\$102.87	\$0.00	\$102.87	(0.00%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$102.87		
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²						
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,847.67	0.99702	\$1,853.20	\$241.66	\$2,094.86	(1.09%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,072.06		
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,250.16	0.98451	\$2,285.56	\$18.91	\$2,304.47	(2.05%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,257.18		
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$314.00	0.97905	\$320.72	\$0.00	\$320.72	(0.54%)	0.01%	0.00%	\$319.03		
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,411.83	0.98932	\$4,459.48	\$260.57	\$4,720.05	(1.52%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$4,648.27		

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.45%
HCBS Mix Percent	71.65%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 3,448 | LTSS %: 95.82% | HCBS Mix %: 72.29% Projection Period | Member Months: 3,458 | LTSS %: 96.46% | HCBS Mix %: 69.27%

	I.2.B.ii	1.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	1.2.B.iii.(d)	I.3.A.v	Total		
Category of Service	Base Medical	Completion Factors	Completed Base Medical	SOC Payments Added	Subtotal	DAP Payments Removed	Other Base Data Adjustments	IMD	Adjusted Base Gross Medical		
LTSS PMPMs based on Subset of Members in Risk Group ¹											
ursing Facility (NF) \$10,231.66 0.99702 \$10,262.25 \$129.92 \$10,392.17 (1.40%) 0.00% 0.00% \$10,246.70											
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$423.90	0.98451	\$430.57	\$7.29	\$437.86	(0.21%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$436.95		
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$2,380.41	0.98451	\$2,417.86	\$9.82	\$2,427.68	(3.11%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,352.12		
HCBS - Other	\$948.63	0.98451	\$963.55	\$0.00	\$963.55	(1.72%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$946.95		
Acute - Inpatient	\$357.99	0.94243	\$379.85	\$0.00	\$379.85	(2.96%)	0.00%	0.16%	\$369.20		
Acute - Other	\$647.69	0.98577	\$657.04	\$0.00	\$657.04	(0.13%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$656.21		
Acute - Outpatient	\$245.43	0.97975	\$250.50	\$0.00	\$250.50	(1.95%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$245.61		
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,247.81	0.99858	\$1,249.58	\$0.00	\$1,249.58	0.00%	(0.39%)	0.00%	\$1,244.70		
Acute - Physician	\$471.35	0.98577	\$478.16	\$0.00	\$478.16	(0.03%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$478.01		
			PMPMs based	on All Members in I	Risk Group ²						
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,716.21	0.99702	\$2,724.33	\$34.49	\$2,758.82	(1.40%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,720.20		
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,599.73	0.98451	\$2,640.64	\$11.85	\$2,652.49	(2.43%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,588.02		
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$2,970.26	0.98512	\$3,015.13	\$0.00	\$3,015.13	(0.57%)	(0.16%)	0.02%	\$2,993.73		
Total Medical PMPM	\$8,286.20	0.98880	\$8,380.10	\$46.34	\$8,426.44	(1.43%)	(0.06%)	0.01%	\$8,301.95		

Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.82%	
HCBS Mix Percent	72.29%	

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 5: Projected Benefit Cost Trends

Appendix 5: Projected Benefit Cost Trends

		I.3.B.iii.	I.3.B.iii.	I.3.B.iii.
GSA	cos	Utilization per 1000	Unit Cost	РМРМ
Central	NF	1.0%	2.0%	3.0%
Central	HCBS - Assisted Living	1.5%	0.0%	1.5%
Central	HCBS - Attendant Care	3.5%	0.3%	3.8%
Central	HCBS - Other	5.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Central	Acute - Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Central	Acute - Other	0.5%	0.5%	1.0%
Central	Acute - Outpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Central	Acute - Pharmacy	0.5%	1.0%	1.5%
Central	Acute - Physician	0.5%	0.5%	1.0%
North/South	NF	0.5%	2.5%	3.0%
North/South	HCBS - Assisted Living	1.5%	1.0%	2.5%
North/South	HCBS - Attendant Care	2.5%	0.2%	2.7%
North/South	HCBS - Other	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%
North/South	Acute - Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North/South	Acute - Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North/South	Acute - Outpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North/South	Acute - Pharmacy	1.3%	4.0%	5.4%
North/South	Acute - Physician	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | North

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 23,433 | LTSS %: 97.77% | HCBS Mix %: 69.79%

Projection Period | Member Months: 23,441 | LTSS %: 97.86% | HCBS Mix %: 70.54%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
LTSS PMPMs based on Subset of Members in Risk Group ¹										
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$7,344.08	3.01%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$7,906.24	\$95.39	\$8,001.63		\$8,001.63
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,197.77	2.52%	3.52%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,303.04	\$5.74	\$1,308.78		\$1,308.78
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$776.93	2.71%	4.25%	0.00%	0.00%	\$854.34	\$7.48	\$861.82		\$861.82
HCBS - Other	\$138.05	2.50%	3.82%	0.00%	0.00%	\$150.58	\$0.85	\$151.43		\$151.43
Acute - Inpatient	\$8.61	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$8.61	\$0.00	\$8.61		\$8.61
Acute - Other	\$109.22	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	0.03%	\$109.29	\$0.01	\$109.30		\$109.30
Acute - Outpatient	\$18.16	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$18.16	\$0.00	\$18.16		\$18.16
Acute - Pharmacy	\$7.08	5.35%	0.00%	77.36%	(0.16%)	\$13.91	\$0.00	\$13.91		\$13.91
Acute - Physician	\$40.48	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$40.48	\$0.00	\$40.48		\$40.48
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group	2				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,168.89	3.01%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,334.91	\$28.17	\$2,363.08	-2.38%	\$2,306.84
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,441.75	2.58%	3.81%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,574.96	\$9.61	\$1,584.57	1.15%	\$1,602.81
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$183.56	0.39%	0.02%	5.65%	0.00%	\$190.46	\$0.01	\$190.47	0.00%	\$190.47
Total Medical PMPM	\$3,794.20	2.72%	2.31%	0.26%	0.00%	\$4,100.33	\$37.79	\$4,138.12	-0.92%	\$4,100.12
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	97.77%								0.08%	97.86%
HCBS Mix Percent	69.79%								1.07%	70.54%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | North

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 3,794 | LTSS %: 95.90% | HCBS Mix %: 73.80%

Projection Period | Member Months: 3,772 | LTSS %: 97.00% | HCBS Mix %: 72.17%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$9,608.77	3.01%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$10,344.28	\$134.81	\$10,479.09		\$10,479.09
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$675.60	2.52%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	\$735.33	\$3.18	\$738.51		\$738.51
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,345.14	2.71%	4.37%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,480.95	\$12.46	\$1,493.40		\$1,493.40
HCBS - Other	\$365.51	2.50%	2.33%	0.00%	0.07%	\$393.27	\$2.86	\$396.13		\$396.13
Acute - Inpatient	\$566.72	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	\$567.15	\$10.18	\$577.33		\$577.33
Acute - Other	\$658.18	0.00%	1.29%	0.00%	0.01%	\$666.76	\$0.68	\$667.44		\$667.44
Acute - Outpatient	\$387.24	0.00%	0.41%	0.00%	0.00%	\$388.82	\$6.15	\$394.97		\$394.97
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,399.38	5.35%	0.00%	1.17%	(0.01%)	\$1,571.16	\$0.00	\$1,571.16		\$1,571.16
Acute - Physician	\$480.97	0.00%	0.66%	0.00%	0.00%	\$484.15	\$2.95	\$487.10		\$487.10
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,414.27	3.01%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,599.07	\$33.87	\$2,632.94	7.44%	\$2,828.94
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,688.86	2.62%	3.84%	0.00%	0.01%	\$1,846.89	\$13.09	\$1,859.98	-1.09%	\$1,839.74
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$3,492.49	2.27%	0.38%	0.50%	(0.00%)	\$3,678.04	\$19.96	\$3,698.01	0.00%	\$3,698.01
Total Medical PMPM	\$7,595.62	2.60%	1.50%	0.22%	0.00%	\$8,124.00	\$66.93	\$8,190.93	2.15%	\$8,366.69
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.90%								1.15%	97.00%
HCBS Mix Percent	73.80%								-2.21%	72.17%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Banner - University Family Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 25,851 | LTSS %: 98.22% | HCBS Mix %: 77.01%

Projection Period | Member Months: 21,677 | LTSS %: 98.58% | HCBS Mix %: 75.10%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$8,530.51	3.02%	1.46%	0.00%	0.00%	\$9,186.08	\$131.95	\$9,318.03		\$9,318.03
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,709.23	1.50%	3.59%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,824.04	\$7.76	\$1,831.80		\$1,831.80
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,050.65	3.81%	4.11%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,178.83	\$21.06	\$1,199.89		\$1,199.89
HCBS - Other	\$125.25	5.00%	3.75%	0.00%	0.08%	\$143.37	\$2.34	\$145.71		\$145.71
Acute - Inpatient	\$45.71	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$45.71	\$0.00	\$45.71		\$45.71
Acute - Other	\$247.23	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	\$252.29	\$0.02	\$252.31		\$252.31
Acute - Outpatient	\$33.28	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$33.28	\$0.00	\$33.28		\$33.28
Acute - Pharmacy	\$3.26	1.51%	0.00%	10.42%	(0.12%)	\$3.71	\$0.00	\$3.71		\$3.71
Acute - Physician	\$63.70	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$64.98	\$0.00	\$64.98		\$64.98
			PMPMs ba	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group	2				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,926.47	3.02%	1.46%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,074.52	\$29.80	\$2,104.32	8.68%	\$2,286.89
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,182.10	2.53%	3.79%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,379.59	\$23.56	\$2,403.15	-2.11%	\$2,352.45
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$393.18	0.81%	0.00%	0.10%	0.02%	\$399.97	\$0.02	\$399.99	0.00%	\$399.99
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,501.75	2.62%	2.44%	0.01%	0.00%	\$4,854.08	\$53.38	\$4,907.46	2.69%	\$5,039.33
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.22%								0.37%	98.58%
HCBS Mix Percent	77.01%								-2.47%	75.10%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Banner – University Family Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 5,588 | LTSS %: 95.47% | HCBS Mix %: 65.32%

Projection Period | Member Months: 5,887 | LTSS %: 95.64% | HCBS Mix %: 66.76%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$11,339.71	3.02%	1.48%	0.00%	0.00%	\$12,212.83	\$175.77	\$12,388.60		\$12,388.60
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,146.21	1.50%	3.60%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,223.40	\$6.72	\$1,230.12		\$1,230.12
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,279.54	3.81%	4.09%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,435.34	\$25.37	\$1,460.72		\$1,460.72
HCBS - Other	\$361.31	5.00%	1.60%	0.00%	0.16%	\$405.39	\$4.85	\$410.24		\$410.24
Acute - Inpatient	\$962.62	0.00%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	\$963.59	\$18.84	\$982.43		\$982.43
Acute - Other	\$895.71	1.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.08%	\$927.67	\$0.89	\$928.55		\$928.55
Acute - Outpatient	\$495.23	0.00%	0.99%	0.00%	0.00%	\$500.15	\$8.58	\$508.73		\$508.73
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,182.75	1.51%	0.00%	1.10%	(1.31%)	\$1,215.80	\$0.00	\$1,215.80		\$1,215.80
Acute - Physician	\$686.50	1.00%	1.02%	0.00%	0.00%	\$707.49	\$3.25	\$710.74		\$710.74
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$3,754.37	3.02%	1.48%	0.00%	0.00%	\$4,043.45	\$58.20	\$4,101.64	-3.97%	\$3,938.91
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,738.10	3.05%	3.57%	0.00%	0.02%	\$1,910.89	\$23.04	\$1,933.93	2.38%	\$1,979.86
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$4,222.81	0.80%	0.61%	0.31%	(0.35%)	\$4,314.71	\$31.55	\$4,346.26	0.00%	\$4,346.26
Total Medical PMPM	\$9,715.28	2.09%	1.52%	0.13%	(0.14%)	\$10,269.04	\$112.79	\$10,381.83	-1.12%	\$10,265.04
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.47%								0.18%	95.64%
HCBS Mix Percent	65.32%								2.20%	66.76%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 79,072 | LTSS %: 98.17% | HCBS Mix %: 79.09%

Projection Period | Member Months: 63,362 | LTSS %: 98.56% | HCBS Mix %: 78.57%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$9,099.91	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$9,798.05	\$145.03	\$9,943.08		\$9,943.08
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,185.94	1.50%	3.58%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,265.56	\$4.96	\$1,270.52		\$1,270.52
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,815.75	3.81%	4.18%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,038.53	\$44.59	\$2,083.12		\$2,083.12
HCBS - Other	\$202.77	5.00%	2.71%	0.00%	0.08%	\$229.80	\$3.59	\$233.38		\$233.38
Acute - Inpatient	\$67.60	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$67.60	\$0.00	\$67.60		\$67.60
Acute - Other	\$261.10	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	\$266.44	\$0.04	\$266.48		\$266.48
Acute - Outpatient	\$52.58	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$52.58	\$0.00	\$52.58		\$52.58
Acute - Pharmacy	\$10.54	1.51%	0.00%	10.42%	(0.12%)	\$11.98	\$0.00	\$11.98		\$11.98
Acute - Physician	\$96.64	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$98.59	\$0.00	\$98.59		\$98.59
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group	2				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,867.92	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,011.23	\$29.77	\$2,041.00	2.92%	\$2,100.51
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,487.89	3.07%	3.87%	0.00%	0.01%	\$2,743.65	\$41.25	\$2,784.91	-0.27%	\$2,777.47
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$488.47	0.77%	0.00%	0.25%	0.01%	\$497.20	\$0.04	\$497.24	0.00%	\$497.24
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,844.28	2.84%	2.57%	0.02%	0.00%	\$5,252.08	\$71.06	\$5,323.14	0.98%	\$5,375.21
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.17%								0.40%	98.56%
HCBS Mix Percent	79.09%								-0.66%	78.57%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 24,044 | LTSS %: 95.44% | HCBS Mix %: 72.20%

Projection Period | Member Months: 24,065 | LTSS %: 96.73% | HCBS Mix %: 71.29%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$13,250.61	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$14,267.30	\$222.28	\$14,489.58		\$14,489.58
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$599.68	1.50%	3.58%	0.00%	0.00%	\$639.94	\$2.69	\$642.63		\$642.63
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$2,291.75	3.81%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,572.72	\$57.84	\$2,630.56		\$2,630.56
HCBS - Other	\$681.41	5.00%	1.46%	0.00%	0.16%	\$763.48	\$10.92	\$774.40		\$774.40
Acute - Inpatient	\$724.42	0.00%	0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	\$725.43	\$14.16	\$739.60		\$739.60
Acute - Other	\$987.87	1.00%	1.71%	0.00%	0.08%	\$1,025.85	\$0.99	\$1,026.83		\$1,026.83
Acute - Outpatient	\$341.21	0.00%	2.61%	0.00%	0.00%	\$350.11	\$4.14	\$354.26		\$354.26
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,312.44	1.51%	0.00%	1.10%	(1.31%)	\$1,349.12	\$0.00	\$1,349.12		\$1,349.12
Acute - Physician	\$680.93	1.00%	0.28%	0.00%	0.00%	\$696.60	\$3.47	\$700.07		\$700.07
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$3,515.11	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$3,784.82	\$58.97	\$3,843.78	4.68%	\$4,023.59
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,462.08	3.67%	3.56%	0.00%	0.03%	\$2,740.01	\$49.24	\$2,789.25	0.07%	\$2,791.22
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$4,046.87	0.90%	0.72%	0.35%	(0.41%)	\$4,147.11	\$22.76	\$4,169.87	0.00%	\$4,169.87
Total Medical PMPM	\$10,024.06	2.38%	1.71%	0.13%	(0.15%)	\$10,671.93	\$130.97	\$10,802.90	1.68%	\$10,984.68
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.44%								1.35%	96.73%
HCBS Mix Percent	72.20%								-1.26%	71.29%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 68,869 | LTSS %: 97.15% | HCBS Mix %: 83.02%

Projection Period | Member Months: 93,003 | LTSS %: 97.76% | HCBS Mix %: 84.02%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$7,939.30	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$8,548.27	\$118.61	\$8,666.88		\$8,666.88
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,361.72	1.50%	3.51%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,452.18	\$4.21	\$1,456.39		\$1,456.39
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$813.07	3.81%	3.90%	0.00%	0.00%	\$910.35	\$13.49	\$923.84		\$923.84
HCBS - Other	\$171.00	5.00%	3.43%	0.00%	0.08%	\$195.16	\$2.84	\$198.00		\$198.00
Acute - Inpatient	\$18.57	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$18.57	\$0.00	\$18.57		\$18.57
Acute - Other	\$157.16	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	\$160.37	\$0.03	\$160.41		\$160.41
Acute - Outpatient	\$15.62	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$15.62	\$0.00	\$15.62		\$15.62
Acute - Pharmacy	\$17.47	1.51%	0.00%	10.42%	(0.12%)	\$19.85	\$0.00	\$19.85		\$19.85
Acute - Physician	\$52.66	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$53.72	\$0.00	\$53.72		\$53.72
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group	2				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$1,309.67	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,410.13	\$19.57	\$1,429.69	-5.27%	\$1,354.36
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,891.98	2.60%	3.64%	0.00%	0.01%	\$2,062.88	\$16.57	\$2,079.45	1.83%	\$2,117.58
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$261.48	0.91%	0.00%	0.77%	0.01%	\$268.14	\$0.03	\$268.17	0.00%	\$268.17
Total Medical PMPM	\$3,463.13	2.63%	2.59%	0.06%	0.00%	\$3,741.15	\$36.17	\$3,777.31	-0.98%	\$3,740.11
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	97.15%								0.63%	97.76%
HCBS Mix Percent	83.02%								1.20%	84.02%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | UnitedHealthcare Community Plan

GSA | Central

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 11,212 | LTSS %: 95.03% | HCBS Mix %: 73.71%

Projection Period | Member Months: 12,353 | LTSS %: 96.48% | HCBS Mix %: 72.83%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$9,927.12	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$10,688.56	\$157.61	\$10,846.17		\$10,846.17
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,078.98	1.50%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,151.23	\$3.94	\$1,155.18		\$1,155.18
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,311.72	3.81%	3.94%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,469.24	\$19.78	\$1,489.02		\$1,489.02
HCBS - Other	\$370.37	5.00%	2.72%	0.00%	0.16%	\$420.10	\$4.45	\$424.55		\$424.55
Acute - Inpatient	\$926.55	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	\$927.27	\$18.05	\$945.32		\$945.32
Acute - Other	\$738.01	1.00%	1.30%	0.00%	0.08%	\$763.24	\$0.75	\$763.99		\$763.99
Acute - Outpatient	\$292.85	0.00%	1.32%	0.00%	0.00%	\$296.73	\$4.29	\$301.02		\$301.02
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,451.72	1.51%	0.00%	1.10%	(1.31%)	\$1,492.29	\$0.00	\$1,492.29		\$1,492.29
Acute - Physician	\$805.99	1.00%	2.14%	0.00%	0.00%	\$839.86	\$3.87	\$843.74		\$843.74
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,480.43	3.02%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,670.68	\$39.38	\$2,710.07	4.91%	\$2,843.10
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,933.87	3.11%	3.63%	0.00%	0.02%	\$2,129.64	\$19.73	\$2,149.37	0.33%	\$2,156.43
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$4,215.12	0.89%	0.75%	0.38%	(0.44%)	\$4,319.40	\$26.96	\$4,346.36	0.00%	\$4,346.36
Total Medical PMPM	\$8,629.42	2.05%	1.63%	0.17%	(0.20%)	\$9,119.72	\$86.07	\$9,205.79	1.52%	\$9,345.88
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.03%								1.53%	96.48%
HCBS Mix Percent	73.71%								-1.19%	72.83%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Banner - University Family Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 45,019 | LTSS %: 98.50% | HCBS Mix %: 72.88%

Projection Period | Member Months: 47,541 | LTSS %: 98.39% | HCBS Mix %: 72.65%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$8,570.38	3.01%	1.75%	0.00%	0.00%	\$9,253.47	\$121.39	\$9,374.86		\$9,374.86
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,125.69	2.52%	4.35%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,234.51	\$4.22	\$1,238.73		\$1,238.73
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,465.35	2.71%	4.45%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,614.42	\$37.72	\$1,652.15		\$1,652.15
HCBS - Other	\$226.21	2.50%	3.99%	0.00%	0.00%	\$247.15	\$4.50	\$251.64		\$251.64
Acute - Inpatient	\$26.27	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$26.27	\$0.00	\$26.27		\$26.27
Acute - Other	\$139.49	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	0.03%	\$139.59	\$0.03	\$139.62		\$139.62
Acute - Outpatient	\$46.05	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$46.05	\$0.00	\$46.05		\$46.05
Acute - Pharmacy	\$4.97	5.35%	0.00%	152.52%	(0.15%)	\$13.92	\$0.00	\$13.92		\$13.92
Acute - Physician	\$78.04	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$78.04	\$0.00	\$78.04		\$78.04
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group	2				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,289.59	3.01%	1.75%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,472.08	\$32.43	\$2,504.51	0.72%	\$2,522.61
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,022.28	2.61%	4.37%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,222.43	\$33.34	\$2,255.76	-0.42%	\$2,246.34
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$294.83	0.25%	0.02%	6.99%	0.01%	\$303.88	\$0.03	\$303.90	0.00%	\$303.90
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,606.70	2.67%	2.81%	0.42%	0.00%	\$4,998.39	\$65.79	\$5,064.18	0.17%	\$5,072.85
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.50%								-0.11%	98.39%
HCBS Mix Percent	72.88%								-0.31%	72.65%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Banner - University Family Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 8,260 | LTSS %: 96.98% | HCBS Mix %: 70.38%

Projection Period | Member Months: 8,689 | LTSS %: 97.62% | HCBS Mix %: 70.40%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk 0	Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$10,391.32	3.01%	1.82%	0.00%	0.00%	\$11,227.15	\$146.51	\$11,373.66		\$11,373.66
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$582.14	2.52%	4.24%	0.00%	0.00%	\$637.72	\$2.46	\$640.18		\$640.18
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,673.35	2.71%	4.52%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,844.94	\$43.62	\$1,888.56		\$1,888.56
HCBS - Other	\$391.30	2.50%	2.63%	0.00%	0.01%	\$421.96	\$7.15	\$429.11		\$429.11
Acute - Inpatient	\$633.98	0.00%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	\$635.02	\$12.67	\$647.69		\$647.69
Acute - Other	\$748.15	0.00%	2.47%	0.00%	0.06%	\$767.11	\$1.03	\$768.15		\$768.15
Acute - Outpatient	\$570.74	0.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.00%	\$578.94	\$7.47	\$586.41		\$586.41
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,031.23	5.35%	0.00%	0.57%	(1.94%)	\$1,128.79	\$0.00	\$1,128.79		\$1,128.79
Acute - Physician	\$542.84	0.00%	0.54%	0.00%	0.00%	\$545.77	\$3.02	\$548.79		\$548.79
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group²					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,984.70	3.01%	1.82%	0.00%	0.00%	\$3,224.78	\$42.08	\$3,266.86	0.61%	\$3,286.76
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$1,806.56	2.63%	4.19%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,982.55	\$36.33	\$2,018.88	0.68%	\$2,032.67
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$3,526.94	1.64%	0.85%	0.18%	(0.58%)	\$3,655.63	\$24.19	\$3,679.82	0.00%	\$3,679.82
Total Medical PMPM	\$8,318.20	2.37%	1.96%	0.07%	(0.24%)	\$8,862.96	\$102.60	\$8,965.56	0.38%	\$8,999.25
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	96.98%								0.66%	97.62%
HCBS Mix Percent	70.38%								0.02%	70.40%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 18,031 | LTSS %: 98.45% | HCBS Mix %: 71.65% Projection Period | Member Months: 17,224 | LTSS %: 98.70% | HCBS Mix %: 72.22%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs ba	sed on Subset of	Members in Risk (Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$7,423.63	3.01%	1.92%	0.00%	0.00%	\$8,028.83	\$82.21	\$8,111.04		\$8,111.04
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$1,126.15	2.52%	4.48%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,236.51	\$4.07	\$1,240.59		\$1,240.59
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$1,739.63	2.71%	4.73%	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,921.88	\$43.93	\$1,965.81		\$1,965.81
HCBS - Other	\$334.18	2.50%	2.73%	0.00%	0.00%	\$360.68	\$4.64	\$365.32		\$365.32
Acute - Inpatient	\$44.86	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$44.86	\$0.00	\$44.86		\$44.86
Acute - Other	\$131.58	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.03%	\$131.71	\$0.04	\$131.75		\$131.75
Acute - Outpatient	\$35.03	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$35.03	\$0.00	\$35.03		\$35.03
Acute - Pharmacy	\$4.69	5.35%	0.00%	152.52%	(0.15%)	\$13.12	\$0.00	\$13.12		\$13.12
Acute - Physician	\$102.87	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$102.87	\$0.00	\$102.87		\$102.87
			PMPMs b	ased on All Mem	bers in Risk Group					
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,072.06	3.01%	1.92%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,240.98	\$22.95	\$2,263.92	-1.78%	\$2,223.55
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,257.18	2.62%	4.44%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,482.28	\$37.13	\$2,519.41	1.06%	\$2,546.09
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$319.03	0.21%	0.02%	6.11%	0.01%	\$327.59	\$0.04	\$327.63	0.00%	\$327.63
Total Medical PMPM	\$4,648.27	2.64%	3.06%	0.39%	0.00%	\$5,050.85	\$60.12	\$5,110.97	-0.27%	\$5,097.27
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	98.45%								0.25%	98.70%
HCBS Mix Percent	71.65%								0.80%	72.22%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS *(1-HCBS *))
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

Contractor | Mercy Care

GSA | South

Risk Group | Non-Dual

Base Period | October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024

Projection Period | October 1, 2025 through September 30, 2026

Base Period | Member Months: 3,448 | LTSS %: 95.82% | HCBS Mix %: 72.29% Projection Period | Member Months: 3,458 | LTSS %: 96.46% | HCBS Mix %: 69.27%

	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal	I.4.D	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Change
Category of Service	Adjusted Base Gross Medical	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Rezdiffra	Other Projected Program Changes	Subtotal	DAP Add In	Subtotal	Mix Change	Projected Gross Medical
			LTSS PMPMs b	ased on Subset (of Members in Risk	Group ¹				
Nursing Facility (NF)	\$10,246.70	3.01%	1.96%	0.00%	0.00%	\$11,086.26	\$120.40	\$11,206.66		\$11,206.66
HCBS - Assisted Living	\$436.95	2.52%	4.32%	0.00%	0.00%	\$479.06	\$1.36	\$480.42		\$480.42
HCBS - Attendant Care	\$2,352.12	2.71%	4.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,599.27	\$65.57	\$2,664.85		\$2,664.85
HCBS - Other	\$946.95	2.50%	1.50%	0.00%	0.01%	\$1,009.94	\$13.31	\$1,023.25		\$1,023.25
Acute - Inpatient	\$369.20	0.00%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	\$369.58	\$7.42	\$377.00		\$377.00
Acute - Other	\$656.21	0.00%	2.80%	0.00%	0.06%	\$675.01	\$0.74	\$675.74		\$675.74
Acute - Outpatient	\$245.61	0.00%	2.41%	0.00%	0.00%	\$251.53	\$3.34	\$254.87		\$254.87
Acute - Pharmacy	\$1,244.70	5.35%	0.00%	0.57%	(1.94%)	\$1,362.46	\$0.00	\$1,362.46		\$1,362.46
Acute - Physician	\$478.01	0.00%	0.73%	0.00%	0.00%	\$481.48	\$2.83	\$484.31		\$484.31
			PMPMs	based on All Me	mbers in Risk Grou	p ²				
NF Component PMPM ³	\$2,720.20	3.01%	1.96%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,943.08	\$31.96	\$2,975.04	11.65%	\$3,321.53
HCBS Component PMPM ⁴	\$2,588.02	2.63%	3.91%	0.00%	0.00%	\$2,832.03	\$55.59	\$2,887.62	-3.54%	\$2,785.37
Acute Component PMPM ⁵	\$2,993.73	2.31%	0.92%	0.25%	(0.82%)	\$3,140.05	\$14.33	\$3,154.39	0.00%	\$3,154.39
Total Medical PMPM	\$8,301.95	2.66%	2.19%	0.08%	(0.28%)	\$8,915.16	\$101.88	\$9,017.05	2.71%	\$9,261.28
Percent Members Receiving LTSS	95.82%								0.67%	96.46%
HCBS Mix Percent	72.29%								-4.18%	69.27%

- 1. LTSS COS (NF/HCBS) PMPMs are calculated by dividing gross dollars by member months for members in those settings, Acute PMPMs use all member months as the denominator
- 2. PMPMs are calculated based on gross dollars and all member months for the denominator and are for informational purposes only
- 3. NF component is calculated using the sum of the NF COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the NF mix percentage which is equal to 1 minus the HCBS percentage; NF component = (sum of NF)*LTSS %*(1-HCBS %)
- 4. HCBS component is calculated using the sum of the HCBS COS multiplied by the percentage of members using LTSS multiplied by the HCBS mix percentage; HCBS component = (sum of HCBS) * (LTSS %) * (HCBS %)
- 5. Acute component is calculated using the sum of the Acute COS; Acute component = (sum of Acute)



Appendix 7a: Capitation Rate Development – Medical Component

Appendix 7a: Dual Capitation Rate Development

				Appendix 6	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.4.C.ii.(c)	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Product	Total
GSA	Contractor	Risk Group	Category of Service	Projected Gross Medical	Projected SOC	RI Offset	Projected Net Medical	Projected Percent Members Receiving LTSS	Projected Mix	Net Medical After LTSS and HCBS Mix	Total Net Medical
			Nursing Facility	\$8,001.63	(\$1,065.63)	\$0.00	\$6,936.00	97.86%	29.46%	\$1,999.62	
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$2,322.03	(\$28.79)	\$0.00	\$2,293.24	97.86%	70.54%	\$1,582.94	\$3,770.39
			Acute	\$190.47	\$0.00	(\$2.64)	\$187.83			\$187.83	
			Nursing Facility	\$9,318.03	(\$993.51)	\$0.00	\$8,324.51	98.58%	24.90%	\$2,043.05	
Central	Banner – University Family Care	Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$3,177.40	(\$24.37)	\$0.00	\$3,153.03	98.58%	75.10%	\$2,334.40	\$4,775.30
			Acute	\$399.99	\$0.00	(\$2.14)	\$397.85			\$397.85	
			Nursing Facility	\$9,943.08	(\$892.10)	\$0.00	\$9,050.98	98.56%	21.43%	\$1,912.05	
Central	Mercy Care	Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$3,587.02	(\$26.98)	\$0.00	\$3,560.04	98.56%	78.57%	\$2,756.58	\$5,147.99
			Acute	\$497.24	\$0.00	(\$17.87)	\$479.36			\$479.36	
			Nursing Facility	\$8,666.88	(\$1,008.46)	\$0.00	\$7,658.42	97.76%	15.98%	\$1,196.77	
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$2,578.23	(\$17.65)	\$0.00	\$2,560.57	97.76%	84.02%	\$2,103.08	\$3,544.76
			Acute	\$268.17	\$0.00	(\$23.26)	\$244.91			\$244.91	
			Nursing Facility	\$9,374.86	(\$919.56)	\$0.00	\$8,455.30	98.39%	27.35%	\$2,275.17	
South	Banner – University Family Care	Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$3,142.53	(\$19.37)	\$0.00	\$3,123.15	98.39%	72.65%	\$2,232.49	\$4,805.35
			Acute	\$303.90	\$0.00	(\$6.21)	\$297.69			\$297.69	
			Nursing Facility	\$8,111.04	(\$884.54)	\$0.00	\$7,226.51	98.70%	27.78%	\$1,981.06	
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$3,571.71	(\$31.91)	\$0.00	\$3,539.80	98.70%	72.22%	\$2,523.34	\$4,832.04
			Acute	\$327.63	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$327.63			\$327.63	

Appendix 7a: Non-Dual Capitation Rate Development

			Appendix 6	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.4.C.ii.(c)	Subtotal	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Product	Total	
GSA	Contractor	Risk Group	Category of Service	Projected Gross Medical	Projected SOC	RI Offset	Projected Net Medical	Projected Percent Members Receiving LTSS	Projected Mix	Net Medical After LTSS and HCBS Mix	Total Net Medical
			Nursing Facility	\$10,479.09	(\$120.28)	\$0.00	\$10,358.80	97.00%	27.83%	\$2,796.47	
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Non-Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$2,628.04	(\$9.90)	\$0.00	\$2,618.15	97.00%	72.17%	\$1,832.81	\$7,644.74
			Acute	\$3,698.01	\$0.00	(\$682.54)	\$3,015.46			\$3,015.46	
			Nursing Facility	\$12,388.60	(\$97.62)	\$0.00	\$12,290.99	95.64%	33.24%	\$3,907.88	
Central	Banner – University Family Care	Non-Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$3,101.07	(\$5.91)	\$0.00	\$3,095.16	95.64%	66.76%	\$1,976.09	\$9,730.34
			Acute	\$4,346.26	\$0.00	(\$499.88)	\$3,846.38			\$3,846.38	
			Nursing Facility	\$14,489.58	(\$104.32)	\$0.00	\$14,385.26	96.73%	28.71%	\$3,994.62	
Central	Mercy Care	Non-Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$4,047.60	(\$6.17)	\$0.00	\$4,041.43	96.73%	71.29%	\$2,786.96	\$10,450.61
			Acute	\$4,169.87	\$0.00	(\$500.85)	\$3,669.02			\$3,669.02	
			Nursing Facility	\$10,846.17	(\$89.04)	\$0.00	\$10,757.14	96.48%	27.17%	\$2,819.76	
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	Non-Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$3,068.74	(\$4.11)	\$0.00	\$3,064.63	96.48%	72.83%	\$2,153.54	\$8,524.92
			Acute	\$4,346.36	\$0.00	(\$794.74)	\$3,551.62			\$3,551.62	
			Nursing Facility	\$11,373.66	(\$119.65)	\$0.00	\$11,254.01	97.62%	29.60%	\$3,252.18	
South	Banner – University Family Care	Non-Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$2,957.85	(\$7.02)	\$0.00	\$2,950.83	97.62%	70.40%	\$2,027.85	\$8,576.93
			Acute	\$3,679.82	\$0.00	(\$382.92)	\$3,296.90			\$3,296.90	
			Nursing Facility	\$11,206.66	(\$175.82)	\$0.00	\$11,030.84	96.46%	30.73%	\$3,269.41	
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	Non-Dual	Home and Community Based Services	\$4,168.52	(\$12.02)	\$0.00	\$4,156.49	96.46%	69.27%	\$2,777.34	\$8,613.46
			Acute	\$3,154.39	\$0.00	(\$587.68)	\$2,566.71			\$2,566.71	

Appendix 7b: Capitation Rate Development – Final Capitation Rate



Appendix 7b: Capitation Rate Development

	<u>Dual</u>												
	Appendix 7a I.5.B.i.		I.5.B.i.		I.5.B.ii.(c)	Calculation	I.5.B.ii.(b)	Total					
GSA	Contractor	Net Medical	Case Management		Admin		UW Gain	UW Gain	Premium Tax	Capitation			
GSA	Contractor	Net Medical					Percent	OW Gain	Premium rax	Rate			
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$3,770.39	\$	180.62	\$	127.52	1.15%	\$47.45	\$84.20	\$4,210.18			
Central	Banner - University Family Care	\$4,775.30	\$	168.11	\$	263.23	1.15%	\$60.57	\$107.49	\$5,374.71			
Central	Mercy Care	\$5,147.99	\$	196.50	\$	116.92	1.15%	\$63.54	\$112.75	\$5,637.70			
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$3,544.76	\$	174.72	\$	116.32	1.15%	\$44.62	\$79.19	\$3,959.62			
South	Banner - University Family Care	\$4,805.35	\$	154.43	\$	264.98	1.15%	\$60.78	\$107.87	\$5,393.41			
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	\$4,832.04	\$	199.24	\$	110.87	1.15%	\$59.82	\$106.16	\$5,308.13			

	Non-Dual Non-Dual												
		Appendix 7a I.5.B.i.		I.5.B.i.		I.5.B.ii.(c)	Calculation	I.5.B.ii.(b)	Total				
GSA	Combination	Net Medical	Case		Admin		UW Gain	UW Gain	Premium Tax	Capitation			
GSA	Contractor	Net Medical	M	anagement	Admin		Percent	OW Gain	Premium Tax	Rate			
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$7,644.74	\$	350.64	\$	260.21	1.15%	\$96.04	\$170.44	\$8,522.08			
Central	Banner - University Family Care	\$9,730.34	\$	383.26	\$	536.19	1.15%	\$123.90	\$219.87	\$10,993.57			
Central	Mercy Care	\$10,450.61	\$	195.48	\$	238.93	1.15%	\$126.63	\$224.73	\$11,236.38			
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$8,524.92	\$	388.11	\$	290.66	1.15%	\$107.07	\$190.02	\$9,500.78			
South	Banner - University Family Care	\$8,576.93	\$	273.50	\$	470.08	1.15%	\$108.43	\$192.43	\$9,621.37			
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	\$8,613.46	\$	195.90	\$	201.44	1.15%	\$104.83	\$186.03	\$9,301.66			

Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments – CMS Prescribed Table



Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments - CMS Prescribed Tables

CMS Prescribed Table for I.4.D.ii.(a)(i)

Control name of the SDP	Type of payment - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(i)(A)	Brief description - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(i)(B)	Is the payment included as a rate adjustment or separate payment term? Sections I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii) and I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)
Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics (FQHC/RHC)	Minimum Fee Schedule	Contractors are required to adopt Prospective Payment System (PPS) rates as defined in the Arizona Medicaid State plan as a minimum fee schedule for FQHC/RHC providers.	Rate Adjustment
Vaccines for Children (VFC)	Minimum Fee Schedule	Contractors are required to adopt the payment rates in the Arizona Medicaid State plan as a minimum fee schedule for VFC providers.	Rate Adjustment
Differential Adjusted Payments (DAP)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase (which varies by provider class and qualifications met) to otherwise contracted rates. All providers were notified via a proposed and a final Public Notice of the criteria required to qualify for the DAP.	Rate Adjustment
AZ_Fee_AMC.PC.SP.D_renewal_20 251001-20260930 (APSI)	Uniform Percentage Increase	91% increase to otherwise contracted rates for professional services provided by eligible practitioners, applicable only to services covered under the AHCCCS APSI policy.	Separate Payment Term
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH1_Renewal_20251 001-20260930 (PSI)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the state's freestanding children's hospitals with more than 100 beds. The uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount, and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year.	Separate Payment Term
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH2_renewal_20251 001-20260930 (HEALTHII)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase for acute inpatient and ambulatory outpatient contracted Medicaid Managed Care services. The uniform percentage increases are based on a fixed payment pool that is allocated to each hospital class based on the additional funding needed to achieve each class' aggregate targeted pay to cost ratio for Medicaid Managed Care services.	Separate Payment Term
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH3_Renewal_20251 001-20260930 (SNSI)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase to the Contractor's rates for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the public safety net hospital. The uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year.	Separate Payment Term
AZ_Fee_NF_Renewal_20251001- 20260930 (NF-SP)	Uniform Dollar Amount	Uniform dollar increase across all Contractor's reported nursing facility Medicaid bed days to network providers that provide nursing facility services. The uniform dollar increase is based on available funds in the nursing facility assessment fund, plus FMAP, and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization and available funds for each quarter.	



Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments – CMS Prescribed Tables

CMS Prescribed Table for I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)

Control name of the SDP	Rate cells affected - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(A)	Impact - Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(B)	Description of the adjustment - Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(C)	Confirmation the rates are consistent with the preprint - Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(D)	For maximum fee schedule requested information Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(E	
Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics (FQHC/RHC)	All EPD rate cells are affected.	See Appendix 8b for total impact by rate cell.	The impact of the minimum fee schedule requirement for FQHC/RHC providers is addressed as part of the fee schedule updates. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team developed the impacts of bringing the base FQHC/RHC encounter data up to the projected CYE 26 FQHC/RHC PPS rates, by applying the change in PPS rates as a unit cost change to the CYE 24 base data. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed the FQHC/RHC results and applied aggregated percentage impacts by program, GSA, risk group, and rate setting category of service as part of the overall fee schedule update.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
Vaccines for Children (VFC)	All EPD rate cells are affected.	See Appendix 8b for total impact by rate cell.	The impact of the minimum fee schedule requirement for VFC providers is addressed as part of the fee schedule updates. The AHCCCS DBF financial analyst developed the impacts of bringing vaccines administered for the VFC program to the minimum fee schedule using CYE 24 encounter data. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed these results and applied to the rate cell level as part of the overall fee schedule update.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
Differential Adjusted Payments (DAP)	All EPD rate cells are affected.	See Appendix 6 for medical impact by rate cell. See Appendix 8b for	The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team provided the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team with data for the impact of DAP. The data used to develop the DAP impacts was the CYE 24 encounter data across all programs for the providers who qualify for DAP.	These payments are being made under the approved DAP §438.6(c) payment arrangement in a manner consistent	Not applicable.	
Control name: TBD			The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team applied the percentage increase earned under DAP to the AHCCCS provider payments resulting from the fee schedule changes, for all services subject to DAP, to determine what the impacts would be for	with the preprint reviewed by CMS (inclusive of any/all correspondence between the state and CMS regarding the preprint).		



Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments – CMS Prescribed Tables

CMS Prescribed Table for I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)

CMS Prescribed Table for 1.4.D.II.(a)(III)										
Control name of the SDP	Aggregate amount included in the certification - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(A)	Statement that the actuary is certifying the separate payment term - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(B)	The magnitude on a PMPM basis - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(C)	Confirmation the rate development is consistent with the preprint - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(D)	Confirmation that the state and actuary will submit required documentation at the end of the rating period (as applicable) - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(E)					
AZ_Fee_AMC.PC.SP.D_ renewal_20251001- 20260930 (APSI)	\$8,728,670	The actuaries certify the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8b.	AHCCCS has submitted the Access to Professional Services Initiative (APSI) 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS, but has not yet received approval. The APSI payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint under CMS review.	After the rating period is complete and the final APSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the APSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.					
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH1_Ren ewal_20251001- 20260930 (PSI)	\$3,100,420	The actuaries certify the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8b.	AHCCCS has submitted the Pediatric Service Initiative (PSI) 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS, but has not yet received approval. The PSI payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint under CMS review.	After the rating period is complete and the final PSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the PSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.					
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH2_ren ewal_20251001- 20260930 (HEALTHII)	\$96,576,140	The actuaries certify the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8b.	AHCCCS has submitted the Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Health Improvements Initiative (HEALTHII) 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS, but has not yet received approval. The HEALTHII payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint under CMS review.	After the rating period is complete and the final HEALTHII payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the HEALTHII payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.					
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH3_Ren ewal_20251001- 20260930 (SNSI)	\$5,751,859	The actuaries certify the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8b.	AHCCCS has submitted the Hospital Enhanced Access Leading to Safety Net Services Initiative (SNSI) 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS, but has not yet received approval. The SNSI payment arrangement is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint under CMS review.	After the rating period is complete and the final SNSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the SNSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.					
AZ_Fee_NF_Renewal_ 20251001-20260930 (NF-SP)	\$95,405,385	The actuaries certify the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8b.	These payments are being made under the approved NF-SP 42 CFR § 438.6(c) payment arrangement in a manner consistent with the preprint reviewed by CMS (inclusive of any/all correspondence between the state and CMS regarding the preprint).	After the rating period is complete and the final NF-SP is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the NF-SP into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.					



Appendix 8b: State Directed Payments – Estimated PMPMs



Appendix 8b: State Directed Payments - Estimated PMPMs

	Dual										
		I.3.B.ii.	I.3.B.ii.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.		
GSA	Contractor	FQHC/RHC	VFC	DAP	APSI	PSI	HEALTHII	SNSI	NF-SP		
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$0.03	\$0.00	\$37.23	\$0.98	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 399.16		
Central	Banner - University Family Care	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$55.47	\$3.54	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 356.53		
Central	Mercy Care	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$71.82	\$8.30	\$0.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 321.30		
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35.44	\$2.08	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 155.43		
South	Banner - University Family Care	\$0.06	\$0.00	\$65.89	\$2.26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 334.65		
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	\$0.08	\$0.00	\$60.10	\$2.99	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 378.33		

	Non-Dual										
		I.3.B.ii.	I.3.B.ii.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.	I.4.D.		
GSA	Contractor	FQHC/RHC	VFC	DAP	APSI	PSI	HEALTHII	SNSI	NF-SP		
North	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$1.03	\$0.01	\$69.31	\$67.55	\$16.00	\$1,455.40	\$10.25	\$ 350.02		
Central	Banner - University Family Care	\$1.67	\$0.00	\$111.03	\$134.14	\$67.82	\$2,038.30	\$122.28	\$ 433.05		
Central	Mercy Care	\$2.83	\$0.00	\$133.77	\$131.39	\$55.42	\$1,675.40	\$153.45	\$ 368.85		
Central	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	\$2.10	\$0.00	\$88.07	\$134.55	\$84.45	\$1,533.36	\$91.25	\$ 304.29		
South	Banner - University Family Care	\$10.75	\$0.00	\$103.10	\$171.30	\$27.00	\$1,844.07	\$16.89	\$ 377.10		
South	Mercy Care (Pima County Only)	\$9.03	\$0.00	\$103.64	\$126.18	\$6.66	\$1,099.85	\$7.72	\$ 375.77		