

**Contract Year Ending 2027
Capitation Rate Certification
Comprehensive Health Plan Program**

October 1, 2026 through September 30, 2027

Prepared for:

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Prepared by:

AHCCCS Division of Business and Finance

July 30, 2026

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Table of Contents

Introduction and Limitations	1
Section I Medicaid Managed Care Rates	2
I.1. General Information	5
I.1.A. Rate Development Standards	5
I.1.A.i. Standards and Documentation for Rate Ranges	5
I.1.A.ii. Rating Period	5
I.1.A.iii. Required Elements	5
I.1.A.iii.(a) Letter from Certifying Actuary	5
I.1.A.iii.(b) Final and Certified Capitation Rates	5
I.1.A.iii.(c) Portion of Capitation Attributable to SDPs	5
I.1.A.iii.(d) Program Information	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(i) Summary of Program	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(i)(A) Type and Number of Managed Care Plans	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(i)(B) General Description of Benefits	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(i)(C) Areas of State Covered and Length of Time Program in Operation	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(ii) Rating Period Covered	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(iii) Covered Populations	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(iv) Eligibility or Enrollment Criteria	6
I.1.A.iii.(d)(v) Summary of Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment	7
I.1.A.iv. Rate Development Standards and FFP	7
I.1.A.v. Rate Cell Cross-subsidization	7
I.1.A.vi. Effective Dates of Changes	7
I.1.A.vii. Minimum Medical Loss Ratio	7
I.1.A.viii. Conditions for Certifying Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable	7
I.1.A.ix. Certifying Actuarially Sound Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable	7
I.1.A.x. Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices	7
I.1.A.x.(a) Reasonable, Appropriate, and Attainable Costs	7
I.1.A.x.(b) Rate Setting Process	8
I.1.A.x.(c) Contracted Rates	8
I.1.A.xi. Rates from Previous Rating Periods – Not Applicable	8

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.1.A.xii. Evaluation Conducted, Assumptions Included or Not Included, Related to Changes in Federal Requirements.....	8
I.1.A.xiii. Risk Mitigation Strategies.....	8
I.1.A.xiv. Rate Certification Procedures	8
I.1.A.xiv.(a) Timely Filing for Claiming FFP	8
I.1.A.xiv.(b) CMS Rate Certification Requirements for Retroactive Rate Adjustments – Not Applicable.....	8
I.1.A.xiv.(c) CMS Rate Certification Requirement for No Rate Change – Not Applicable	9
I.1.A.xiv.(d) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Special Contract Provisions – Not Applicable.....	9
I.1.A.xiv.(e) CMS Rate Certification Requirements for Retroactive SDP Adjustments	9
I.1.A.xiv.(f) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Limited Payment Changes	9
I.1.A.xiv.(g) CMS Contract Amendment Requirement.....	9
I.1.A.xiv.(h) CMS Contract and Rate Amendment Requirement for Changes in Law	10
I.1.B. Appropriate Documentation.....	10
I.1.B.i. Capitation Rates or Rate Ranges.....	10
I.1.B.ii. CMS as Intended User	10
I.1.B.iii. Elements.....	10
I.1.B.iv. Rate Development Exhibits in Excel	10
I.1.B.v. Medical Loss Ratio	10
I.1.B.vi. Capitation Rate Cell Assumptions	10
I.1.B.vii. Capitation Rate Range Assumptions – Not Applicable	11
I.1.B.viii. Rate Certification Index.....	11
I.1.B.ix. Assurance Rate Assumptions Do Not Differ by FFP	11
I.1.B.x. Differences in Federal Medical Assistance Percentage	11
I.1.B.xi. Comparison to Prior Rates	11
I.1.B.xi.(a) Comparison to Previous Rate Certification.....	11
I.1.B.xi.(b) Material Changes to Capitation Rate Development.....	11
I.1.B.xi.(c) <i>De Minimis</i> Changes to Previous Period Capitation Rates.....	12
I.1.B.xii. Future Rate Amendments	12
I.1.B.xiii. Summarizing Changes Accounted For in Rate Amendment	12
I.1.B.xiv. Addressing Impacts of Unwinding the COVID-19 PHE	12
I.1.B.xiv.(a) Accounting for Direct and Indirect Impacts	12
I.1.B.xiv.(b) Risk Mitigation Strategies	13

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.2. Data.....	14
I.2.A. Rate Development Standards.....	14
I.2.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c)	14
I.2.B. Appropriate Documentation.....	14
I.2.B.i. Data Request.....	14
I.2.B.ii. Data Used for Rate Development	14
I.2.B.ii.(a) Description of Data	14
I.2.B.ii.(a)(i) Types of Data Used.....	14
I.2.B.ii.(a)(ii) Age of Data	15
I.2.B.ii.(a)(iii) Sources of Data.....	15
I.2.B.ii.(a)(iv) Sub-capitated Arrangements.....	15
I.2.B.ii.(a)(v) Base Data Exception – Not Applicable	16
I.2.B.ii.(b) Availability and Quality of the Data.....	16
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i) Data Validation Steps	16
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(A) Completeness of the Data	16
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(B) Accuracy of the Data	16
I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(C) Consistency of the Data	17
I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii) Actuary’s Assessment of the Data.....	17
I.2.B.ii.(b)(iii) Data Concerns	18
I.2.B.ii.(c) Appropriate Data for Rate Development.....	18
I.2.B.ii.(c)(i) Not using Encounter or Fee-for-Service Data – Not Applicable	18
I.2.B.ii.(c)(ii) Not using Managed Care Encounter Data – Not Applicable	18
I.2.B.ii.(d) Use of a Data Book – Not Applicable.....	18
I.2.B.iii. Adjustments to the Data	18
I.2.B.iii.(a) Credibility of the Data – Not Applicable	18
I.2.B.iii.(b) Completion Factors	18
I.2.B.iii.(c) Errors Found in the Data	19
I.2.B.iii.(d) Changes in the Program	19
I.2.B.iii.(e) Exclusions of Payments or Services	21
I.3. Projected Benefit Costs and Trends.....	22
I.3.A Rate Development Standards.....	22

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.3.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and 42 CFR § 438.3(e)	22
I.3.A.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Trend Assumptions	22
I.3.A.iii. In Lieu Of Services or Settings (ILOS) – Not Applicable.....	22
I.3.A.iv. ILOS Cost Percentage – Not Applicable	22
I.3.A.v. Institution for Mental Disease – Not Applicable.....	22
I.3.B. Appropriate Documentation.....	22
I.3.B.i Projected Benefit Costs.....	22
I.3.B.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Developments	22
I.3.B.ii.(a) Description of the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies.....	22
I.3.B.ii.(b) Material Changes to the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies	26
I.3.B.ii.(c) Recoveries of Overpayments to Providers	26
I.3.B.ii.(d) Emerging High-Cost Drugs	26
I.3.B.iii. Projected Benefit Cost Trends	27
I.3.B.iii.(a) Requirements	27
I.3.B.iii.(a)(i) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Data	27
I.3.B.iii.(a)(ii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Methodologies	27
I.3.B.iii.(a)(iii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Comparisons	27
I.3.B.iii.(a)(iv) Supporting Documentation for Trends.....	28
I.3.B.iii.(b) Projected Benefit Cost Trends by Component	28
I.3.B.iii.(b)(i) Changes in Price and Utilization	28
I.3.B.iii.(b)(ii) Alternative Methods – Not Applicable	28
I.3.B.iii.(b)(iii) Other Components – Not Applicable	28
I.3.B.iii.(c) Variation in Trend	28
I.3.B.iii.(d) Any Other Material Adjustments	28
I.3.B.iii.(e) Any Other Adjustments	28
I.3.B.iv. Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act Compliance	28
I.3.B.v. ILOS – Not Applicable.....	29
I.3.B.vi. Retrospective Eligibility Periods	29
I.3.B.vi.(a) Managed Care Plan Responsibility	29
I.3.B.vi.(b) Claims Data Included in Base Data	29
I.3.B.vi.(c) Enrollment Data Included in Base Data	29
I.3.B.vi.(d) Adjustments, Assumptions, and Methodology	29

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.3.B.vii. Impact of All Material Changes to Covered Benefits or Services.....	29
I.3.B.vii.(a) Covered Benefits.....	29
I.3.B.vii.(b) Recoveries of Overpayments.....	29
I.3.B.vii.(c) Provider Payment Requirements.....	30
I.3.B.vii.(d) Applicable Waivers	30
I.3.B.vii.(e) Applicable Litigation	30
I.3.B.viii. Impact of All Material and Non-Material Changes	30
I.4. Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment	31
I.4.A. Incentive Arrangements – Not Applicable.....	31
I.4.B. Withhold Arrangements – Not Applicable.....	31
I.4.C. Risk-Sharing Mechanisms	31
I.4.C.i. Rate Development Standards	31
I.4.C.ii. Appropriate Documentation.....	31
I.4.C.ii.(a) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms.....	31
I.4.C.ii.(a)(i) Rationale for Risk-Sharing Mechanisms	31
I.4.C.ii.(a)(ii) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms Implementation	31
I.4.C.ii.(a)(iii) Effect of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms on Capitation Rates.....	32
I.4.C.ii.(a)(iv) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices... 32	
I.4.C.ii.(a)(v) Risk-Sharing Arrangements Consistent with Pricing Assumptions.....	32
I.4.C.ii.(a)(vi) Expected Remittance/Payment from Risk-Sharing Arrangements	32
I.4.C.ii.(b) Remittance/Payment Requirements for Specified Medical Loss Ratio – Not Applicable	32
I.4.C.ii.(c) Reinsurance Requirements.....	32
I.4.C.ii.(c)(i) Description of Reinsurance Requirements	32
I.4.C.ii.(c)(ii) Effect on Development of Capitation Rates	33
I.4.C.ii.(c)(iii) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices... 33	
I.4.C.ii.(c)(iv) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop the Reinsurance Offset.....	33
I.4.D. State Directed Payments	34
I.4.D.i. Rate Development Standards.....	34
I.4.D.ii. Appropriate Documentation	34
I.4.D.ii.(a) Description of SDPs	34
I.4.D.ii.(a)(i) Type and Description of SDP Arrangements.....	34
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii) SDPs Incorporated in Capitation Rates	35

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(A) Rate Cells Affected	35
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(B) Impact on the Rate Cells.....	35
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(C) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop SDP Adjustment	35
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement	36
I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(E) Maximum Fee Schedule – Not Applicable	36
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii) SDPs Under Separate Payment Arrangement.....	36
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(A) Aggregate Amount	36
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(B) Actuarial Certification of the Amount of the Separate Payment Term.....	36
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(C) Estimated Impact by Rate Cell.....	36
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement	37
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(E) Future Documentation Requirements.....	37
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv) Portion of Capitation Rates and Aggregate Impact by Rate Cell.....	37
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A) Incorporated in the Capitation Rates	37
I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(B) Not Incorporated in the Capitation Rates	38
I.4.D.ii. (a)(v) Confirmation of No Other SDPs	38
I.4.D.ii. (a)(vi) Confirmation Regarding Required Reimbursement Rates	38
I.4.D.ii.(a)(vii) SDP Page References.....	38
I.4.E. Pass-Through Payments – Not Applicable	38
I.5 Projected Non-Benefit Costs	39
I.5.A. Rate Development Standards.....	39
I.5.B. Appropriate Documentation.....	39
I.5.B.i. Description of the Development of Projected Non-Benefit Costs.....	39
I.5.B.i.(a) Data, Assumptions, and Methodology	39
I.5.B.i.(b) Changes from the Previous Rate Certification	40
I.5.B.i.(c) Any Other Material Changes.....	40
I.5.B.ii. Projected Non-Benefit Costs by Category.....	40
I.5.B.ii.(a) Administrative Costs	40
I.5.B.ii.(b) Taxes and Other Fees	40
I.5.B.ii.(c) Contribution to Reserves, Risk Margin, and Cost of Capital	40
I.5.B.ii.(d) Other Material Non-Benefit Costs.....	40
I.5.B.iii. Historical Non-Benefit Costs	40

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.6. Risk Adjustment – Not Applicable	41
I.7. Acuity Adjustments – Not Applicable	41
Section II Medicaid Managed Care Rates with Long-Term Services and Supports – Not Applicable .	42
Section III New Adult Group Capitation Rates – Not Applicable	42
Appendix 1: Actuarial Certification.....	43
Appendix 2: Certified Capitation Rate	45
Appendix 3: Fiscal Impact Summary and Comparison to Prior Rate	46
Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments.....	47
Appendix 5: Projected Benefit Cost Trends.....	49
Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component.....	50
Appendix 7: Capitation Rate Development	52
Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments – Descriptive Exhibits	54
Appendix 8b: State Directed Payments – Portion of Capitation Rates	58
Appendix 8c: State Directed Payments – Estimated PMPMs.....	59

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Introduction and Limitations

The purpose of this rate certification is to provide documentation for compliance with the applicable provisions of 42 CFR Part 438. This includes the data, assumptions, and methodologies used in the development of the actuarially sound capitation rate for Contract Year Ending 2027 (CYE 27) for the Arizona Comprehensive Health Plan (CHP) Program. Programs under the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) and their respective contracts have been aligned with the federal fiscal year since October 1, 2018. All contract years referenced below cover the timeframe from October 1 of one year through September 30 of the following year (e.g., CYE 27 covers the timeframe between October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027).

This rate certification was prepared for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), or its actuaries, for review and approval of the actuarially sound certified capitation rate contained herein. This rate certification may not be appropriate for any other purpose. The actuarially sound capitation rate represents projections of future events. Actual results may vary from the projections.

This rate certification may also be made available publicly on the AHCCCS website or distributed to other parties. If this rate certification is made available to third parties, then this rate certification should be provided in its entirety. Any third party reviewing this rate certification should be familiar with the AHCCCS Medicaid managed care program, the provisions of 42 CFR Part 438 applicable to this rate certification, the 2026-2027 Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide (2027 Guide), Actuarial Standards of Practice, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

The 2027 Guide describes the rate development standards and appropriate documentation to be included within Medicaid managed care rate certifications. This rate certification has been organized to follow the 2027 Guide to help facilitate the review of this rate certification by CMS.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Section I Medicaid Managed Care Rates

The capitation rate included with this rate certification is considered actuarially sound according to the following criteria from 42 CFR § 438.4(a) and 42 CFR § 438.4(b). The state did not opt to develop capitation rate ranges, therefore adherence to 42 CFR § 438.4(c) is not required.

- § 438.4(a) Actuarially sound capitation rates defined. Actuarially sound capitation rates are projected to provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs that are required under the terms of the contract and for the operation of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP for the time period and the population covered under the terms of the contract, and such capitation rates are developed in accordance with the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section.
- § 438.4(b) CMS review and approval of actuarially sound capitation rates. Capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs must be reviewed and approved by CMS as actuarially sound. To be approved by CMS, capitation rates must:
 - § 438.4(b)(1) Have been developed in accordance with standards specified in § 438.5 and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations must be based on valid rate development standards that represent actual cost differences in providing covered services to the covered populations. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates must not vary with the rate of Federal financial participation (FFP) associated with the covered populations in a manner that increases Federal costs. The determination that differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs increase Federal costs and vary with the rate of FFP associated with the covered populations must be evaluated for the entire managed care program and include all managed care contracts for all covered populations. CMS may require a State to provide written documentation and justification that any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations or contracts represent actual cost differences based on the characteristics and mix of the covered services or the covered populations.
 - § 438.4(b)(2) Be appropriate for the populations to be covered and the services to be furnished under the contract.
 - § 438.4(b)(3) Be adequate to meet the requirements on MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs in §§ 438.206, 438.207, and 438.208.
 - § 438.4(b)(4) Be specific to payments for each rate cell under the contract.
 - § 438.4(b)(5) Payments from any rate cell must not cross-subsidize or be cross-subsidized by payments for any other rate cell.
 - § 438.4(b)(6) Be certified by an actuary as meeting the applicable requirements of this part, including that the rates have been developed in accordance with the requirements specified in § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and (e).
 - § 438.4(b)(7) Meet any applicable special contract provisions as specified in § 438.6.
 - § 438.4(b)(8) Be provided to CMS in a format and within a timeframe that meets requirements in § 438.7.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

- § 438.4(b)(9) Be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard, as calculated under § 438.8, of at least 85 percent for the rate year. The capitation rates may be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard greater than 85 percent, as calculated under § 438.8, as long as the capitation rates are adequate for reasonable, appropriate, and attainable non-benefit costs.

The actuary has followed generally accepted actuarial practices and regulatory requirements, including published guidance from the American Academy of Actuaries (AAA), the Actuarial Standards Board (ASB), CMS, and federal regulations. In particular, the actuary referenced the below during the development of the actuarially sound capitation rate:

- Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs) applicable to Medicaid managed care rate setting which were effective before the start date of the rating period:
 - ASOP No. 1 - Introductory Actuarial Standard of Practice,
 - ASOP No. 5 - Incurred Health and Disability Claims,
 - ASOP No. 12 - Risk Classification (for All Practice Areas),
 - ASOP No. 23 - Data Quality,
 - ASOP No. 25 - Credibility Procedures,
 - ASOP No. 41 - Actuarial Communications,
 - ASOP No. 45 - The Use of Health Status Based Risk Adjustment Methodologies,
 - ASOP No. 49 - Medicaid Managed Care Capitation Rate Development and Certification, and
 - ASOP No. 56 - Modeling.
- The 2016, 2020, and 2024 Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Final Rules (CMS-2390-F, CMS-2408-F, and CMS-2439-F)
- FAQs related to payments to MCOs and PIHPs for IMD stays
- The 2026-2027 Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide (2027 Guide) published by CMS

Throughout this actuarial certification, the term “actuarially sound” will be defined as in ASOP 49 (consistent with the definition at 42 CFR § 438.4(a)):

“Medicaid capitation rates are “actuarially sound” if, for business for which the certification is being prepared and for the period covered by the certification, projected capitation rates and other revenue sources provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs. For purposes of this definition, other revenue sources include, but are not limited to, expected reinsurance and governmental stop-loss cash flows, governmental risk adjustment cash flows, and investment income. For purposes of this definition, costs include, but are not limited to, expected health benefits, health benefit settlement expenses, administrative expenses, the cost of capital, and government-mandated assessments, fees, and taxes.”

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

As stated on page 5 of the 2027 Guide, CMS will also use these three principles in applying the regulation standards:

- the capitation rates are reasonable and comply with all applicable laws (statutes and regulations) for Medicaid managed care;
- the rate development process complies with all applicable laws (statutes and regulations) for the Medicaid program, including but not limited to eligibility, benefits, financing, any applicable waiver or demonstration requirements, and program integrity; and
- the documentation is sufficient to demonstrate that the rate development process meets the requirements of 42 CFR Part 438 and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.1. General Information

This section provides documentation for the General Information section of the 2027 Guide.

I.1.A. Rate Development Standards

I.1.A.i. Standards and Documentation for Rate Ranges

This section of the 2027 Guide notes that standards and documentation expectations are not different for capitation rates and capitation rate ranges, except where otherwise stated.

I.1.A.ii. Rating Period

The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program is effective for the 12-month time period from October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027.

I.1.A.iii. Required Elements

I.1.A.iii.(a) Letter from Certifying Actuary

The actuarial certification letter for the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program, signed by Elizabeth Seaman, FSA, MAA is in Appendix 1. Ms. Seaman meets the requirements for the definition of an Actuary described at 42 CFR § 438.2 which is provided below for reference.

Actuary means an individual who meets the qualification standards established by the American Academy of Actuaries for an actuary and follows the practice standards established by the Actuarial Standards Board. In this part, Actuary refers to an individual who is acting on behalf of the State when used in reference to the development and certification of capitation rates.

Ms. Seaman certifies that the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program contained in this rate certification is actuarially sound and meets the standards within the applicable provisions of 42 CFR Part 438.

I.1.A.iii.(b) Final and Certified Capitation Rates

The final and certified capitation rate is located in Appendix 2. Additionally, the CHP Program contract includes the final and certified capitation rate in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(i). The CHP Program contract uses the term risk group instead of rate cell. This rate certification will use the term rate cell when identifying a population at the certified capitation rate level to be consistent with the applicable provisions of 42 CFR Part 438 and the 2027 Guide and will use the term risk group when identifying a population not at the certified capitation rate level, such as when discussing the development of impacts where modeling was done for multiple programs.

I.1.A.iii.(c) Portion of Capitation Attributable to SDPs

See Appendix 8b for the portion of capitation attributable to state directed payments (SDPs) that are incorporated into the capitation rate.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.1.A.iii.(d) Program Information

This section of the rate certification provides a summary of information about the CHP Program.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(i) Summary of Program

I.1.A.iii.(d)(i)(A) Type and Number of Managed Care Plans

The CHP Program is the health plan within the Arizona Department of Child Safety (DCS) that is responsible for managing the health care needs for children in foster care. Effective April 1, 2021, the CHP Program subcontracted with an external health plan, Mercy Care, to deliver integrated services covered under this contract.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(i)(B) General Description of Benefits

The CHP Program covers integrated physical and mental health services for all CHP members, with the exception of the first 24 hours of crisis intervention services which are covered under the AHCCCS Complete Care – Regional Behavioral Health Agreement (ACC-RBHA) contracts for all Arizona Medicaid members. Additional information regarding covered services can be found in the CHP contract.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(i)(C) Areas of State Covered and Length of Time Program in Operation

The health plan under DCS was formed in July 1970 by state law under Arizona Revised Statute (A.R.S.) § 8-512. The integrated CHP Program operates on a statewide basis.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(ii) Rating Period Covered

The rate certification for the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program is effective for the 12-month time period from October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(iii) Covered Populations

The populations covered under the CHP Program are children under the age of 18 who are:

- Placed in a foster home;
- In the custody of DCS and placed with a relative, in a certified adoptive home prior to the final order of adoption, or in an independent living program as provided in A.R.S. § 8-512; or
- In the custody of the Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections or the Administrative Office of the Courts/Juvenile Probation Office and placed in foster care.

Additional information regarding covered populations can be found in the Enrollment and Disenrollment section of the CHP contract.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(iv) Eligibility or Enrollment Criteria

AHCCCS operates as a mandatory managed care program and choice of enrollment or auto-assignment is used pursuant to the terms of the Arizona Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Waiver Special Terms and Conditions. AHCCCS will enroll the child with the CHP Program and notify the CHP Program of the child's AHCCCS enrollment. The CHP Program is responsible for timely notification to AHCCCS if a member no longer meets the criteria for the CHP Program coverage as set for in A.R.S. § 8-512.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Additional information regarding eligibility and enrollment criteria can be found in the Enrollment and Disenrollment section of the CHP Program contract.

I.1.A.iii.(d)(v) Summary of Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment

This rate certification includes special contract provisions related to payment as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6. The special contract provisions related to payment included in the CYE 27 capitation rate are:

- Risk Corridor Arrangement (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1))
- Reinsurance Arrangement (42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1))
- SDPs (42 CFR § 438.6(c))
 - Vaccines for Children (VFC) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A))
 - Differential Adjusted Payments (DAP) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Pediatric Services Initiative (PSI) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
 - Safety Net Services Initiative (SNSI) (42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))

Documentation on these special contract provisions related to payment can be found in Section I.4 of this rate certification.

I.1.A.iv. Rate Development Standards and FFP

The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program is based on valid rate development standards and is not based on the rate of FFP for the populations covered under the CHP Program.

I.1.A.v. Rate Cell Cross-subsidization

The capitation rate was developed as one statewide rate cell.

I.1.A.vi. Effective Dates of Changes

The effective dates of changes to the CHP Program are consistent with the assumptions used to develop the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.1.A.vii. Minimum Medical Loss Ratio

The certified capitation rate was developed so the CHP Program would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio, as calculated under 42 CFR § 438.8, of at least 85 percent for CYE 27.

I.1.A.viii. Conditions for Certifying Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The actuary is not certifying capitation rate ranges.

I.1.A.ix. Certifying Actuarially Sound Capitation Rate Range – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The actuary is not certifying capitation rate ranges.

I.1.A.x. Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices

I.1.A.x.(a) Reasonable, Appropriate, and Attainable Costs

In the actuary's judgment, all adjustments to the capitation rate, or to any portion of the capitation rate,

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

reflect reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs. To the actuary's knowledge, there are no reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs which have not been included in the rate certification.

I.1.A.x.(b) Rate Setting Process

Adjustments to the rate that are performed outside of the rate setting process described in the rate certification are not considered actuarially sound under 42 CFR § 438.4. There are no adjustments to the rate performed outside the rate setting process described in this rate certification.

I.1.A.x.(c) Contracted Rates

Consistent with 42 CFR § 438.7(c), the final contracted rates in each cell must match the capitation rates in the rate certification. This is required in total and for each and every rate cell. The CYE 27 capitation rate certified in this report represents the final contracted rate.

I.1.A.xi. Rates from Previous Rating Periods – Not Applicable

Not applicable. Capitation rates from previous rating periods are not used in the development of the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.1.A.xii. Evaluation Conducted, Assumptions Included or Not Included, Related to Changes in Federal Requirements

This section of the 2027 Guide requests description of evaluations conducted, and the rationale for any applicable assumptions included or not included in rate development related to changes in Federal requirements, such as in Public Law 119-21 (which CMS refers to as the “Working Families Tax Cut” (WFTC) legislation), within the rate certification.

There are no adjustments necessary for the CHP Program related to the WFTC legislation given that the program only covers children in foster care, and there are no adults 19 to 64 enrolled in the program.

I.1.A.xiii. Risk Mitigation Strategies

This section of the 2027 Guide includes CMS recommendations for risk mitigation strategies. See Section I.4.C. Risk-Sharing Mechanisms for AHCCCS risk mitigation strategies. AHCCCS reviews all risk mitigation strategies on an annual basis.

I.1.A.xiv. Rate Certification Procedures

I.1.A.xiv.(a) Timely Filing for Claiming FFP

This section of the 2027 Guide reminds states of the responsibility to comply with the time limit for filing claims for FFP specified in section 1132 of the Social Security Act and implementing regulations at 45 CFR Part 95. Timely filing of rate certifications to CMS will help mitigate timely filing concerns.

I.1.A.xiv.(b) CMS Rate Certification Requirements for Retroactive Rate Adjustments – Not Applicable

Not applicable. This rate certification does not cover retroactive adjustments for previous capitation

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

rates. This is a new rate certification that documents that the CHP Program capitation rate is changing effective October 1, 2026.

I.1.A.xiv.(c) CMS Rate Certification Requirement for No Rate Change – Not Applicable

Not applicable. This rate certification will change the CHP Program capitation rate effective October 1, 2026.

I.1.A.xiv.(d) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Special Contract Provisions – Not Applicable

Not applicable. This is a new rate certification that documents that the CHP Program capitation rate is changing effective October 1, 2026. In the event of any change to the capitation rate due to special payment provisions under 42 CFR § 438.6, with the exception of risk-sharing mechanisms which cannot be added or modified after the start of the contract year, the state will submit a revised rate certification to CMS as required.

I.1.A.xiv.(e) CMS Rate Certification Requirements for Retroactive SDP Adjustments

This section of the 2027 Guide reminds states of the requirements associated with retroactive adjustments to capitation rates when those adjustments result from a state directed payment under 42 CFR § 438.6, as required under 42 CFR § 438.7(c)(5). A retroactive adjustment resulting from a state directed payment must be a result of adding or amending any state directed payment consistent with the requirements in 42 CFR § 438.6(c), or a material error in the data, assumptions, or methodologies used to develop the initial capitation rate adjustment such that modifications are necessary to correct the error.

I.1.A.xiv.(f) CMS Rate Certification Circumstances for Limited Payment Changes

This section of the 2027 Guide provides information on limited payment changes where CMS would not require a new rate certification. These limited payment changes include:

- for certified rates per rate cell, increasing or decreasing the most recently certified actuarially sound capitation rates per rate cell up to 1.5% during the rating period, in accordance with 42 CFR §§ 438.7(c)(3) and 438.4(b)(4),
- for certified rate ranges for the rate cell(s), increasing or decreasing capitation rates within the certified rate range up to 1% during the rating period, in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.4(c)(2), or
- applying an approved risk adjustment methodology specified in the contract and rate certification for that rating period, in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.7(b)(5)(iii).

I.1.A.xiv.(g) CMS Contract Amendment Requirement

CMS requires a contract amendment be submitted whenever capitation rates change for any reason other than application of an approved payment term (e.g., risk adjustment methodology) which was included in the initial managed care contract. The state will submit a contract amendment to CMS as required.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.1.A.xiv.(h) CMS Contract and Rate Amendment Requirement for Changes in Law

CMS requires a contract amendment and capitation rate amendment in the event that any State Medicaid program feature is invalidated by a court of law, or a change in federal statute, regulation, or approval. The rate amendment adjusting the capitation rates must remove costs specific to any program or activity no longer authorized by law, taking into account the effective date of the loss of program authority.

I.1.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.1.B.i. Capitation Rates or Rate Ranges

The actuary is certifying a statewide capitation rate for the CYE 27 CHP Program.

I.1.B.ii. CMS as Intended User

This rate certification was prepared for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), or its actuaries, for review and approval of the actuarially sound certified capitation rate contained herein.

I.1.B.iii. Elements

This rate certification documents all the elements (data, assumptions, and methodologies) used to develop the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.1.B.iv. Rate Development Exhibits in Excel

All tables and appendices from the rate certification will be provided to CMS in Excel format.

I.1.B.v. Medical Loss Ratio

The capitation rate was developed so DCS CHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio (MLR) standard of at least 85 percent as required per 42 CFR § 438.4(b)(9). The CHP Program actuary calculates a modified MLR where the only inclusion in the numerator is the projected gross medical expense component of the capitation rates (discounts related to pharmacy rebates are included in this calculation) ensuring the result of the calculation will be less than or equal to the actual MLR calculation because the modified MLR calculation does not include any considerations for the allowed additional expenses under 42 CFR § 438.8(e)(3)-(4) in the numerator. For the CYE 27 capitation rate, the modified MLR for DCS CHP was greater than 85 percent. Per 42 CFR § 438.5(b)(5) the actuary reviewed past MLR results focusing in on the MLR results that correspond to the base period and for any Contractors performing below 85 percent the actuary would make adjustments to assumptions in capitation rate setting where appropriate, however this was not necessary for CYE 27 because DCS CHP was above 85 percent MLR for the base period.

I.1.B.vi. Capitation Rate Cell Assumptions

This section of the 2027 Guide notes that the certification must disclose and support the specific assumptions that underlie the certified rates for each rate cell. All such assumptions and adjustments are described in the rate certification.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.1.B.vii. Capitation Rate Range Assumptions – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The actuary did not develop capitation rate ranges.

I.1.B.viii. Rate Certification Index

The table of contents that follows the cover page within this rate certification serves as the index. The table of contents includes relevant section numbers from the 2027 Guide. Sections of the 2027 Guide that do not apply will be marked as “Not Applicable”; any section wherein all subsections are not applicable will be collapsed to the section heading.

I.1.B.ix. Assurance Rate Assumptions Do Not Differ by FFP

All proposed differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop the certified CYE 27 capitation rate for the covered populations under the CHP Program are based on valid rate development standards that represent actual cost differences in providing covered services to the covered populations, and these differences do not vary with the rate of FFP associated with the covered populations in a manner that increases federal costs, in compliance with 42 CFR § 438.4(b)(1). CMS may request additional documentation and justification that any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used in the development of the capitation rates represent actual cost assumptions based on the characteristics and mix of the covered services or the covered populations.

I.1.B.x. Differences in Federal Medical Assistance Percentage

Variations in the assumptions used to develop the projected benefit costs for the covered populations were based on valid rate development standards and not based on the rate of Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP). In the most recent contract year, 99.81% of the covered populations under the CHP Program received the regular FMAP and the remainder was claimed at other FMAPs.

I.1.B.xi. Comparison to Prior Rates

I.1.B.xi.(a) Comparison to Previous Rate Certification

The 2027 Guide requests a comparison to the final certified rate in the previous rate certification. The comparison between the most recently certified CYE 26 CHP Program capitation rate effective October 1, 2025, and the CYE 27 capitation rate being certified in this actuarial rate certification is included in Appendix 3.

The 2027 Guide requires descriptions of what is leading to large, or negative changes in rates from the previous rating period. As in past years, the AHCCCS Division of Business and Finance (DBF) Actuarial Team has defined any change greater than 10% as a large change, and any capitation rate that was less than the rate for the same rate cell in the prior year as a negative change in the rate. Neither of these conditions apply for the certified CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.1.B.xi.(b) Material Changes to Capitation Rate Development

Compared to the prior rating period, there have been some changes to the rate development process. The most visible of these changes is the inclusion of more detailed categories of service (COS), which

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

were previously grouped at more aggregate levels. Pharmaceutical costs were broken out to show specialty, brand, and generic groupings. In addition, Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) costs were separated from Mental Health Practitioners as their own COS. Additional changes to the rate development process are primarily limited to differences in the administrative expense methodology, which are addressed further in Section I.5, and FQHC/RHC units as addressed in Section I.2.B.iii.(e).

All other material changes are described elsewhere in the certification, and there are no material changes since the last rate certification that are not described in the certification.

I.1.B.xi.(c) *De Minimis* Changes to Previous Period Capitation Rates

The state did not adjust the actuarially sound capitation rate in the previous rating period by a *de minimis* amount using the authority in 42 CFR § 438.7(c)(3).

I.1.B.xii. Future Rate Amendments

The list of possible amendments which would impact capitation rates in the future are shown in Table 1, along with the potential submission date, and the reason why the current certification cannot account for the changes anticipated to be made to the rates.

Table 1: Future Rate Amendments

Possible Amendment	Potential Submission Date	Reason for Not Including in Current Certification
Access to Professional Services Initiative (APSI) SDP	No later than December 2026	AHCCCS is still finalizing the CYE 27 preprint for APSI for submission to CMS.
SDPs	After the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) becomes a Final Rule	AHCCCS submitted preprints with differing options for payment for some SDPs based on proposed changes to regulations in the current NPRM. Revision may be needed based on provisions finalized in the regulations.

I.1.B.xiii. Summarizing Changes Accounted For in Rate Amendment

Any future rate amendments will include a summary of the changes being accounted for in that rate amendment and the documentation will be limited to the changes accounted for in the rate amendment.

I.1.B.xiv. Addressing Impacts of Unwinding the COVID-19 PHE

I.1.B.xiv.(a) Accounting for Direct and Indirect Impacts

The CYE 27 capitation rate uses a base data experience period that reflects changes in service delivery that have continued beyond the unwinding of the public health emergency (PHE), such as increased telehealth usage. No explicit adjustments were made as part of the capitation rate development for direct or indirect impacts of COVID-19, the PHE, or the related unwinding, given the uniqueness of the populations covered by the CHP Program.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.1.B.xiv.(b) Risk Mitigation Strategies

AHCCCS has a long-standing program policy of including risk corridors within the managed care programs to protect the State against excessive Contractor profits and to protect Contractors from excessive losses. This risk-sharing arrangement also contributes to Contractor sustainability and program continuity, which is an additional intangible benefit to the stability of the Medicaid member. The CYE 27 contract will continue AHCCCS' long-standing program policy and will include risk corridors. There are no risk mitigation strategies utilized specifically for COVID-19 costs for CYE 27.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.2. Data

This section provides documentation for the Data section of the 2027 Guide.

I.2.A. Rate Development Standards

I.2.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c)

AHCCCS actuaries have followed the rate development standards related to base data in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c). The data types, sources, validation methodologies, material adjustments, and other information related to the documentation standards required by CMS are documented in the subsections of I.2.B.

I.2.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.2.B.i. Data Request

Since AHCCCS employs their own actuaries, a formal data request was not needed between the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team and the State. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team worked with the appropriate teams at AHCCCS to obtain the primary sources of data in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.5(c).

I.2.B.ii. Data Used for Rate Development

I.2.B.ii.(a) Description of Data

I.2.B.ii.(a)(i) Types of Data Used

The primary data sources used or reviewed for the development of the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program were:

- Adjudicated and approved encounter data submitted by DCS CHP and provided from the AHCCCS Prepaid Medical Management Information System (PMMIS) mainframe
 - Incurred from October 2021 through February 2026
 - Adjudicated and approved through the second February 2026 encounter cycle
- Reinsurance payments made to the CHP Program for services
 - Incurred from October 2021 through September 2025, paid through April 2026
- Enrollment data for the CHP Program from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe
 - October 2021 through May 2026
- Annual and quarterly financial statements submitted by DCS CHP and the CHP integrated subcontractor and reviewed by the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team
 - October 2021 through March 2026
- AHCCCS Fee-for-Service (FFS) fee schedules developed and maintained by AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team
- Data from AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team related to DAP. See Section I.4.D
- Data from AHCCCS DBF financial analysts related to program changes, see Sections I.2.B.iii.(d) and I.3.B.ii.(a)

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Additional sources of data used or reviewed were:

- Supplemental historical and projected data associated with benefit costs and membership provided by the CHP integrated subcontractor, including additional detail on claims runout and prior period adjustments included in financial statements
- Detailed administrative and care management expense data and projections from DCS CHP and the CHP integrated subcontractor, Mercy Care
- Projected CYE 27 enrollment data provided by AHCCCS DBF Budget Team
- Monthly operational outcomes report compiled by DCS, accessible from their website
- Detailed sub-capitation/block purchasing data and projections from the CHP integrated subcontractor

Any additional data used and not identified here will be identified in their applicable sections below.

I.2.B.ii.(a)(ii) Age of Data

The ages of the data are listed above in Section I.2.B.ii.(a)(i).

I.2.B.ii.(a)(iii) Sources of Data

The sources of the data are listed above in Section I.2.B.ii.(a)(i).

I.2.B.ii.(a)(iv) Sub-capitated Arrangements

AHCCCS Contractors, including the CHP integrated subcontractor, sometimes use sub-capitation/block purchasing arrangements for some services. The sub-capitation/block purchase arrangements between the Contractors and their providers require that the providers submit claims for services provided, which go through the same encounter edit and adjudication process as other claims which are not sub-capitated/block purchased. These claims come into the system with a CN1 code = 05, which is an indicator for sub-capitated/block purchased encounters, and health plan paid amount equaling zero. After the encounter has been adjudicated and approved, there are repricing methodologies (i.e., formulas) for sub-capitated/block purchased encounters to estimate a health plan valued amount in place of the health plan paid amount of zero. The repriced amounts were compared to the sub-capitation/block purchase arrangement expenses reported in the integrated subcontractor's quarterly financial statements and the repricing methodologies were adjusted as needed to ensure the repriced sub-capitated/block expense amounts used in rate development aligned with the integrated subcontractor's actual expenses. The units of service data from the encounters and the repriced amounts were used as the basis for calculating utilization per 1000 and unit cost values.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.2.B.ii.(a)(v) Base Data Exception – Not Applicable

Not applicable. No exception to the base data requirements was necessary for capitation rate development.

I.2.B.ii.(b) Availability and Quality of the Data

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i) Data Validation Steps

Guidelines and formats for submitting individual encounters generally follow health insurance industry standards used by commercial insurance companies and Medicare; however, some requirements are specific to the AHCCCS program. All encounter submissions are subject to translation and validation using standards and custom business rules (guidelines). Once translation has occurred and the encounters pass validation, they are passed to the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe and are subject to approximately 500 claims type edits resulting in the approval, denial, or pend of each encounter. This process occurs for both regular and sub-capitated/block purchased encounters.

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team regularly reviews monthly adjudicated and approved encounters by form type on a cost basis and a PMPM basis looking for anomalous patterns in encounter, unit, or cost totals, such as incurred months where totals are unusually low or high. If any anomalies are found, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reports the findings to the AHCCCS Information Services Division (ISD) Data Management and Oversight (DMO) Team, who then works with DCS CHP and its integrated subcontractor to identify causes. In addition, the AHCCCS ISD DMO Team performs their own checks and validations on the encounters and monitors the number of encounters that are adjudicated and approved each month.

DCS CHP, and all other AHCCCS Contractors, know encounters are used for capitation rate setting, reconciliations (risk corridors), and reinsurance payments, and thus are cognizant of the importance of timely and accurate encounter submissions. AHCCCS provides the Contractors with the “Encounter Monthly Data File” (aka the “magic” file) which contains the previous 36 months of encounter data. Data fields contained in this file include, but are not limited to, adjudication status, AHCCCS ID, Claim Reference Number (CRN), Provider ID, and various cost amounts. The adjudication status has five types: adjudicated/approved, adjudicated/plan denied, adjudicated/AHCCCS denied, pended, and adjudicated/void. Generally, the capitation rate setting process only uses the adjudicated/approved encounters but providing this file to the Contractors allows them to compare to their claim payments to identify discrepancies and evaluate the need for new or revised submissions.

All of these processes create confidence in the quality of the encounter data.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(A) Completeness of the Data

The AHCCCS ISD DMO Team performs encounter data validation studies to evaluate the completeness, accuracy, and timeliness of the collected encounter data.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(B) Accuracy of the Data

AHCCCS has an additional encounter process which ensures that each adjudicated and approved encounter contains a valid AHCCCS member ID for an individual who was enrolled on the date that the

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

service was provided. The process also checks to ensure that each adjudicated and approved encounter is for a covered service under the state plan and contains the codes necessary to map it into one of the COS used in the rate development process.

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed the encounter data provided from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe and ensured that only encounter data with valid AHCCCS member IDs was used in developing the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program. Additionally, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team ensured that only services covered under the state plan were included.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(i)(C) Consistency of the Data

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team compared the CYE 25 encounter data to the aggregated CHP quarterly financial statement data from DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor for the same timeframe. The CYE 25 encounter data was also compared to the data request which the Contractors fill out each year, providing additional information regarding claims runout, revisions to financial statements for prior period adjustments, and administrative cost details. After adjustments to the AHCCCS encounter data for completion, the financial statements, the AHCCCS encounter data, and the annual data request amounts were judged to be consistent for capitation rate setting.

I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii) Actuary's Assessment of the Data

As required by ASOP No. 23, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team discloses that the rate development process has relied upon encounter data submitted by the Contractors and provided from the AHCCCS PMMIS mainframe. Additionally, the rate development process has relied upon the audited annual and unaudited quarterly financial statement data submitted by the Contractors and reviewed by the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team did not audit the data or financial statements and the rate development is dependent upon this reliance. The actuary notes additional reliance on the following:

- data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team with regard to DAP and fee schedule impacts,
- data provided by the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts with regard to some program changes,
- information and data provided by Milliman consultants with regard to the SNSI SDP,
- data provided by DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor in the yearly supplemental data request regarding claims runout, revisions to financial statements for prior period adjustments, and historical and projected administrative cost details,
- data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Budget Team with regard to projected enrollment, and
- data provided by DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor with regard to their projected enrollment.

The actuary has found the encounter data in total, with adjustments for completion, to be appropriate for the purposes of developing the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.2.B.ii.(b)(iii) Data Concerns

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team did not identify any material concerns with the availability or quality of the data.

I.2.B.ii.(c) Appropriate Data for Rate Development

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team determined that the CYE 25 encounter data in total was appropriate to use as the base data for developing the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.2.B.ii.(c)(i) Not using Encounter or Fee-for-Service Data – Not Applicable

Not applicable. As described above in Section I.2.B.ii.(c), managed care encounters served as the primary data source for the development of the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.2.B.ii.(c)(ii) Not using Managed Care Encounter Data – Not Applicable

Not applicable. As described above in Section I.2.B.ii.(c), managed care encounters served as the primary data source for the development of the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.2.B.ii.(d) Use of a Data Book – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team did not rely on a data book to develop the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.2.B.iii. Adjustments to the Data

This section describes adjustments made to the CYE 25 encounter data that was used as the base data for developing the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.2.B.iii.(a) Credibility of the Data – Not Applicable

Not applicable. No credibility adjustments were made to the CYE 25 encounter data.

I.2.B.iii.(b) Completion Factors

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team developed completion factors to apply to the encounter data. Completion factors were calculated using the development method with monthly encounter data incurred from October 2021 through February 2026 and adjudicated and approved through the second encounter cycle for February 2026. The completion factors were developed by major COS and by month of service. The major COS are based upon the AHCCCS form type, which indicates the type of form used to submit a claim. AHCCCS has six form types: Professional and Other Services (form type A), Prescription Drug (form type C), Dental Services (form type D), Inpatient Hospital (form type I), Nursing Facility (form type L), and Outpatient Hospital (form type O). The Dental Services form type was combined with the Professional and Other Services form type. The Outpatient Hospital and the Nursing Facility form types were combined with the Inpatient Hospital form type. The monthly completion factors were applied to the encounter data on a monthly basis. Aggregated CYE 25 completion factors by rate setting COS are shown in Appendix 4.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.2.B.iii.(c) Errors Found in the Data

No errors were found in the data. Thus, no data adjustments were made for errors.

I.2.B.iii.(d) Changes in the Program

All adjustments to the base data for program and fee schedule changes which occurred during the base period (October 1, 2024 through September 30, 2025) are described below. All other program and fee schedule changes which occurred or are effective on or after October 1, 2025, are described in Section I.3.B.ii.(a).

Except for non-material adjustments, the impact of each adjustment to the base data is shown separately as part of Table 2. If a base data adjustment change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the capitation rate, that adjustment was deemed non-material and has been grouped into the Other Base Data Adjustments line in Table 2. Totals may not add up due to rounding. The impacts by COS are shown in Appendix 4.

Some of the impacts for base data adjustment changes described below (indicated by an asterisk *) were developed by AHCCCS DBF financial analysts, as noted above in Section I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii), with oversight from the AHCCCS Office of the Director (OOD) Chief Medical Officer and the OOD Medical Services Clinical Quality Management (CQM) Team. The actuary relied upon the professional judgment of the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts with regard to the reasonableness and appropriateness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies that were used to develop the estimated amounts. The actuary met with the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts to understand at a high level how the estimated amounts were derived, and the data used for the amounts. The actuary was unable to judge the reasonableness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies without performing a substantial amount of additional work.

Removal of Differential Adjusted Payments from Base Data

The CYE 25 capitation rate for the CHP Program funded DAP made from October 1, 2024, through September 30, 2025, to distinguish providers who committed to supporting designated actions that improve the patient care experience, improve member health, and reduce cost of care growth. As these payments expired September 30, 2025, AHCCCS has removed the impact of CYE 25 DAP from the base period. To remove the impact, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team requested provider IDs for the qualifying providers for the CYE 25 DAP by specific measure from the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team. Encounter costs submitted by these providers under DAP provisions for CYE 25 were then adjusted downward by the appropriate percentage bump specific to the DAP measure. The associated costs removed from the base data are displayed in Table 2. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

See Section I.4.D. for information on adjustments included in the CYE 27 capitation rate for DAP that are effective from October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027.

Removal of Ancillary Crisis Services from Base Data

While the CHP Program covers most mental health services for its members, the CHP Program is not responsible for the first 24 hours of crisis intervention services. The first 24 hours of crisis intervention services for all Arizona Medicaid members are included as part of the ACC-RBHA contract. In addition to the main crisis services (crisis phone lines, mobile crisis teams, and crisis stabilization services), the ACC-

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

RBHA Contractors also cover some ancillary crisis services, such as non-emergency transportation to a crisis stabilization unit or laboratory services provided in the first 24 hours of a crisis episode. These services are not exclusively used by AHCCCS members experiencing a crisis episode, and so, while the base data obtained by the actuary for rate development removed the main crisis services, an additional process was needed to identify those services which were ancillary crisis services based on the property of having been provided to a member during the first 24 hours of crisis services and paid for by the Contractor responsible for the first 24 hours of crisis services. These expenses are included in the development of the Crisis 24 Hour Group capitation rates within the ACC-RBHA Program. The impacts of removing the costs from the base data for these identified ancillary crisis services provided to the CHP population are displayed in Table 2. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Case Management Adjustment

Effective October 1, 2024, AHCCCS made a policy revision which impacted providers' billing for case management. As a result of the policy change, providers had to revise their electronic health records (EHR) systems in order to aggregate separate instances of case management services being provided to meet a minimum threshold for billing. The policy change had a significant impact on the case management utilization in encounters in the first part of the contract year, but once providers' EHR systems were updated, utilization rose back up although not to the same level as in prior years. Due to this change, the actuary has included a base data adjustment which increases the first 9 months (October 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025) of utilization and payment patterns to similar levels as encountered in the final 3 months (July 1, 2025, through September 30, 2025) of the base data contract year.

Table 2: Impacts of Base Data Adjustments

Base Data Adjustment	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
Removal of DAP	(\$1,396,151)	(\$17.85)
Removal of Ancillary Crisis	(\$263,381)	(\$3.37)
Case Management Adjustment	\$1,626,731	\$20.80
Other Base Data Adjustments	(\$522)	(\$0.01)
Total Base Data Adjustments	(\$33,323)	(\$0.43)

Other Base Data Adjustments

The rate development process includes every individual program change as a separate adjustment. However, as noted earlier in this section, if an individual program change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the capitation rate, that program change was deemed non-material for the purpose of the actuarial rate certification. Thus, the impacts were aggregated for the certification by summing the PMPMs for each non-material adjustment into an aggregate PMPM for display. The combined overall impact is illustrated above in Table 2. Totals may not add up due to rounding. Brief descriptions of the individual program changes requiring base data adjustment are provided below.

- ***Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee Recommendations ****

On the recommendations of the Pharmacy and Therapeutics (P&T) Committee, AHCCCS adopted policy changes that impacted utilization and unit costs of Contractors' pharmacy costs in the

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

base period. The P&T Committee evaluates scientific evidence on the relative safety, efficacy, effectiveness and clinical appropriateness of prescription drugs and reviews how the State can minimize the net cost of pharmaceuticals when considering the value of drug rebates.

- ***Pharmacy Compounding Level of Effort ****

Effective June 1, 2025, AHCCCS began reimbursing compounding pharmacies for compounded prescriptions based on the level of effort. Level of effort is an industry standard to identify the time and complexity involved in compounding a prescription, with more simple compounds having a lower level of effort and higher complexity involving the need for a sterile environment having the highest level of effort.

I.2.B.iii.(e) Exclusions of Payments or Services

The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team ensured that all non-covered services were excluded from the encounter data used for developing the CYE 27 capitation rate.

The actuary changed the methodology to calculate units for the FQHC/RHC COS to only use units associated with the Prospective Payment System (PPS) rate procedure code (T1015); this reduced the units associated with FQHC/RHC but did not change the total dollars for FQHC/RHC.

Other base data adjustments which excluded services from the data (i.e., ancillary crisis removal) are described above in Section I.2.B.iii.(d).

I.3. Projected Benefit Costs and Trends

This section provides documentation for the Projected Benefit Costs and Trends section of the 2027 Guide.

I.3.A Rate Development Standards

I.3.A.i. Compliance with 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and 42 CFR § 438.3(e)

The final capitation rate is based only upon services allowed under 42 CFR § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and 42 CFR § 438.3(e).

I.3.A.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Trend Assumptions

Projected benefit cost trend assumptions are developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. The actual experience of the covered populations was the primary data source used to develop the projected benefit cost trend assumptions.

I.3.A.iii. In Lieu Of Services or Settings (ILOS) – Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no in lieu of services or settings (ILOS) as defined at 42 CFR § 438.2 included in the projected benefit costs.

I.3.A.iv. ILOS Cost Percentage – Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no ILOS included in the projected benefit costs.

I.3.A.v. Institution for Mental Disease – Not Applicable

Not applicable. Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) payments in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.6(e) are for enrollees aged 21 to 64. The CHP Program only covers children under the age of 18. Therefore, no adjustment was made to encounter data or to the capitation rate.

I.3.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.3.B.i Projected Benefit Costs

The final projected benefit costs for the CHP Program are shown in Appendix 6.

I.3.B.ii. Projected Benefit Cost Developments

This section provides information on the projected benefit costs included in the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.3.B.ii.(a) Description of the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies

The base data described in Section I.2.B.ii. was adjusted to reflect completion and all base data changes described in Section I.2.B.iii. The adjusted base data per-member-per-month (PMPM) expenditures for each COS were trended forward 24 months, from the midpoint of the CYE 25 time period to the midpoint of the CYE 27 rating period by applying assumed annual utilization and unit cost trends for each COS,

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

using the methodology described below in Section I.3.B.iii.(a)(ii). The projected PMPMs were then adjusted for prospective programmatic and fee schedule changes, described below. Appendix 4 contains the base data and base data adjustments, and Appendix 5 contains the projected benefit cost trends. Appendix 6 contains the development of the gross medical expense from the adjusted base data, including all prospective programmatic and fee schedule changes, and impact of the DAP. Appendix 7 contains the development of the certified capitation rate from the projected gross medical expense, including the reinsurance offset, administrative expense, care management expense, underwriting (UW) margin, and premium tax.

Except for non-material changes, the impact of each program change is shown separately as part of Table 3. If a program or reimbursement change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the capitation rate, that program or reimbursement change was deemed non-material and has been grouped into the Combined Miscellaneous Program Changes line in Table 3. Totals may not add up due to rounding. The impacts by COS are shown in Appendix 6.

Some of the impacts for projected benefits costs described below (indicated by an asterisk *) were developed by AHCCCS DBF financial analysts, as noted above in Section I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii), with oversight from the AHCCCS OOD Chief Medical Officer and the OOD Medical Services CQM Team. The actuary relied upon the professional judgment of the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts with regard to the reasonableness and appropriateness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies that were used to develop the estimated amounts. The actuary met with the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts to understand at a high level how the estimated amounts were derived, and the data used for the amounts. The actuary was unable to judge the reasonableness of the data, assumptions, and methodologies without performing a substantial amount of additional work.

AHCCCS FFS Fee Schedule Updates

AHCCCS typically makes annual updates to provider fee schedules used for AHCCCS FFS programs. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team and the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team have typically determined impacts that the change in fees would have on the managed care programs and have applied these impacts to the managed care capitation rates. Although it is not mandated through the health plan contracts except where authorized under applicable law, regulation or waiver, the health plans typically update their provider fee schedules to reflect changes in the AHCCCS provider fee schedules because the health plans tend to benchmark against the AHCCCS provider fee schedules. This information is known through health plan surveys conducted by the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team regarding health plan fee schedules.

Additionally, the contract has requirements that the Contractors reimburse FQHCs/RHCs at the PPS rates. The AHCCCS FFS fee schedule updates include adjustments to bring the base FQHC/RHC encounter data up to the projected CYE 27 FQHC/RHC PPS rates.

AHCCCS' contracts also require that the Contractor shall reimburse providers at no less than the regional maximum allowable rate as set by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid, which is the fee schedule in the State Plan, for vaccines administered for the Vaccines for Children program.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Effective October 1 of each year, AHCCCS updates provider fee schedules for certain providers based on access to care needs, Medicare/ADHS fee schedule rate changes, and/or legislative or regulatory mandates. Additionally, AHCCCS implemented quarterly rate adjustments for physician administered drugs (PADs) in alignment with updates to the State Plan. The CYE 27 capitation rates have been adjusted to reflect these fee schedule changes. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team used the CYE 25 encounter data to develop the impacts of fee schedule changes between the base year and the rating period. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team applied AHCCCS provider fee schedule changes as a unit cost change to calculate the adjustment to the CYE 25 base data. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed the results and applied aggregated percentage impacts by program, GSA, risk group, and rate setting COS.

AHCCCS also increases some fee schedule rates effective January 1 of each year to recognize the annual minimum wage increase resulting from the passing of Proposition 206. The increased costs for this change have been included with the fee schedule changes already discussed.

The overall impact of the AHCCCS Fee-for-Service fee schedule updates is illustrated in Table 3. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

ABA Related Adjustments

Effective October 1, 2026, AHCCCS will adopt revisions to its Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) policy. To estimate the impact, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team developed a claim-level policy model using CYE 25 ABA encounter data that applies each revised provision sequentially to derive adjusted service units, and then converted those units to cost impacts using category-specific baseline unit costs. In addition to the revisions to the policy, there have been contract terminations between Contractors and some ABA providers with higher-than-average authorizations and utilization on a per member basis. Members affected by these provider contract terminations are expected to transition to other ABA providers with lower (i.e., average) member-level authorizations and utilization patterns. To account for this member transition, the historical utilization of affected members was adjusted on an aggregate basis to align with patterns observed across other ABA providers. This adjustment was applied following the modeling of the policy revisions.

The overall impact attributable to the ABA policy revisions and member transitions by GSA is displayed in Table 3. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee Recommendations *

On the recommendations of the Pharmacy and Therapeutics (P&T) Committee, AHCCCS adopted policy changes after the base period that are expected to impact the utilization and unit costs of Contractors' pharmacy costs in CYE 27. The P&T Committee evaluates scientific evidence on the relative safety, efficacy, effectiveness and clinical appropriateness of prescription drugs and reviews how the State can minimize the net cost of pharmaceuticals when considering the value of drug rebates.

To estimate the impact of adopted P&T Committee changes, the AHCCCS DBF financial analysts largely relied on projections of drug utilization prepared by Magellan Rx Management, the agency's provider of drug rebate administrative services. Magellan has a nationwide vantage point that was drawn from in

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

projecting how recommendations would impact drug utilization by AHCCCS members. For CYE 27 rate development, the aggregate impact of adopted changes was allocated across risk groups and GSAs using CYE 25 encounter data for the affected drug classes.

The actuary also included other drug coverage decision impacts with the P&T Committee recommendations, including any drugs added to or removed from the covered drug list for AHCCCS' biologics reinsurance case type. These are included as part of the reinsurance offset development discussed in Section I.4.C.ii.(c)(iv).

The combined impacts of the adopted P&T Committee recommendations are displayed in Table 3. Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Table 3: Impacts of Prospective Program and Reimbursement Changes

Prospective Program/Reimbursement Change	Dollar Impact	PMPM Impact
Aggregate AHCCCS FFS Fee Schedule Updates	\$1,925,932	\$24.62
ABA Related Adjustments	(\$879,634)	(\$11.25)
Pharmacy and Therapeutics	(\$675,216)	(\$8.63)
Combined Miscellaneous Program Changes	\$114,679	\$1.47
Total Prospective Program and Reimbursement Changes	\$485,761	\$6.21

Combined Miscellaneous Program Changes

The rate development model includes every individual program change as a separate adjustment. However, as noted earlier in this section, if an individual program change had an impact of 0.2% or less on the gross medical component of the capitation rate, that program change was deemed non-material for the purpose of the actuarial rate certification. Thus, the impacts were aggregated for the certification by summing the PMPMs for each non-material adjustment into an aggregate PMPM for display. The combined overall impact is illustrated above in Table 3. Totals may not add up due to rounding. Brief descriptions of the individual program changes are provided below.

- ***Fingerstick Devices for Clozapine Patients ****
Effective October 1, 2025, AHCCCS began coverage of fingerstick devices and testing strips that can be used in the monitoring of neutrophil blood levels for members taking clozapine. The devices may be used in office by the physician or by the member in their own home to provide timely results and allow the physician to adjust the medication dosing for the member.
- ***Traditional Healing ****
Effective October 1, 2025, AHCCCS began covering traditional health care practices provided through Indian Health Service facilities or facilities operated by Tribes or Tribal organizations. Traditional health care practices, or traditional healing, encompasses a wide variety of therapies that reflect the knowledge, skill, and practices based on the theories, beliefs, and experiences indigenous to different cultures.
- ***AzEIP ****
Effective January 1, 2026, administrators of the Arizona Early Intervention Program (AzEIP) revised their policies and billing practices to align with federal requirements to bill AHCCCS for the administration of developmental tests provided to AHCCCS members.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

- ***H2021 Community Based Wrap-around Services ****
Effective August 1, 2026, AHCCCS will open procedure code H2021, Community Based Wrap-around Services, to be used by providers that have participated in training through the National Wrap-around Implementation Center and are eligible for the associated CYE 26 or CYE 27 DAP.
- ***340B Pharmacy Dispensing Fee ****
Effective October 1, 2026, AHCCCS will revise the 340B pharmacy dispensing fee from \$10.11 to \$13.20 for FQHC pharmacies for medications which are identified on the 340B Drug Pricing File.
- ***Diabetic Drug Class Utilization Changes***
The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed all historical adjudicated and approved encounters for glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2), and insulins, and determined that the changing utilization patterns of these drug classes was not fully accounted for by the projected trend assumptions and have included a separate, specific adjustment to these drug classes as part of the capitation rate development.
- ***Oral Contraceptives: 12 month supply ****
Effective October 1, 2026, AHCCCS will allow members to receive a 12-month supply of oral contraceptives in a single prescription fill.
- ***SMART Asthma Therapy ****
Effective October 1, 2026, AHCCCS will implement a guideline-aligned asthma management initiative ensuring access to Single Maintenance and Reliever Therapy (SMART) for members with moderate to severe persistent asthma.
- ***Rx Rebates Adjustment***
An adjustment was made to reflect the impact of Rx Rebates reported within the integrated subcontractor, Mercy Care, financial statements for children covered under CHP, as pharmacy encounter data does not include these adjustments. The data that the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team reviewed was the CYE 22, CYE 23, CYE 24, CYE 25, and CYE 26 Q1 financial statement reports for Mercy Care as the integrated subcontractor for the CHP Program. From this review, the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team determined that it would be reasonable to apply an adjustment to the projected CYE 27 Pharmacy COS.

I.3.B.ii.(b) Material Changes to the Data, Assumptions, and Methodologies

All changes to the data, assumptions, or methodologies used to develop the projected benefit costs since the last rating period have been described within the relevant subsections of this certification.

I.3.B.ii.(c) Recoveries of Overpayments to Providers

AHCCCS Contractors are contractually required to adjust or void specific encounters, in full or in part, to reflect recoupments of overpayments to providers. The base encounter data received and used as the primary data source to set the CYE 27 capitation rate therefore includes those adjustments.

I.3.B.ii.(d) Emerging High-Cost Drugs

AHCCCS has a longstanding reinsurance program that includes coverage for high-cost drugs (i.e., the biologic reinsurance case type). See Section I.4.C.ii.(c) for additional information on the reinsurance

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

program. For CYE 27 capitation rate setting, the pharmacy category has been split into specialty, brand, and generic, with separate trend assumptions for each category to better support monitoring of high-cost drugs. Additionally, any high-cost drugs with a material impact on the capitation rates have been specifically addressed in Section I.2.B.iii.(d) if they were introduced during the base data period or in Section I.3.B.ii.(a) if they were introduced after the base data period.

I.3.B.iii. Projected Benefit Cost Trends

In accordance with 42 CFR § 438.7(b)(2), this section provides documentation on the projected benefit cost trends.

I.3.B.iii.(a) Requirements

I.3.B.iii.(a)(i) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Data

The data used for development of the projected benefit cost trends was the encounter data incurred from January 2023 through December 2025 and adjudicated and approved through the second February 2026 encounter cycle.

The trends were developed from data specific to the CHP population.

I.3.B.iii.(a)(ii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Methodologies

The encounter data was summarized by month and COS, and by utilization per 1000, unit cost, and PMPM values. The encounter data was adjusted for monthly completion factors (Section I.2.B.iii.(b)), all base data adjustments as appropriate (Section I.2.B.iii.(d)), and the FQHC/RHC unit adjustment discussed in Section I.2.B.iii.(e). The data was also normalized to adjust for all prior program changes and fee schedule changes, as appropriate. Projected benefit cost trends were developed to project the adjusted base data forward 24 months, from the midpoint of CYE 25 (April 1, 2025) to the midpoint of the rating period for CYE 27 (April 1, 2027). The projected benefit cost trends were not based upon a formula-driven approach using historical benefit cost trends. Projected benefit cost trends were based upon actuarial judgment after reviewing multiple moving averages and several linear regression lines for each of the utilization per 1000, unit cost, and resulting PMPM trend assumptions.

For the CHP Program, four of the fifteen rate setting COS were aggregated with one or more other rate setting COS for the purposes of developing projected benefit cost trends. The aggregated trend COS are as follows: Outpatient and Emergency Facilities (Outpatient Facility, Emergency Facility) and Other Professional Services (Laboratory and Radiology Services, Other Professional Services). The remaining eleven rate setting COS were analyzed without further aggregation for projected benefit cost trend development.

I.3.B.iii.(a)(iii) Projected Benefit Cost Trends Comparisons

The PMPM trend assumptions were compared to similar assumptions made in the CYE 26 capitation rate development and judged reasonable to assume for projection to CYE 27, considering the change in the base data period, rating period, and the impact of DCS policy changes described below in Section I.3.B.iii.(a)(iv).

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.3.B.iii.(a)(iv) Supporting Documentation for Trends

The 2027 Guide requires explanation of outlier or negative trends. There are no negative PMPM trend assumptions included in the CYE 27 CHP capitation rate development. The actuary notes outlier PMPM trends for the Mental Health Practitioners and Applied Behavioral Analysis COS.

High trends for the Mental Health Practitioners COS are primarily driven by a higher proportion of the population enrolled in the CHP Program being adolescents in foster care with above average mental health needs. This population shift is a result of policy changes DCS made in July and October 2021 that led to significant reductions in the number of children remaining in out-of-home placements.

High trends for the ABA COS are primarily driven by an increase in the number of members on the plan utilizing ABA services, combined with reductions in the number of members enrolled in the CHP Program. Together, these factors have resulted in higher observed utilization trends.

The actuary judged the trends assumed herein to be reasonable and appropriate after reviewing all of the data, reviewing multiple moving averages and several linear regression lines across different timeframes, and in consideration of the DCS policy changes as well as information provided by DCS CHP.

I.3.B.iii.(b) Projected Benefit Cost Trends by Component

I.3.B.iii.(b)(i) Changes in Price and Utilization

The trend assumptions were developed by unit cost and utilization. Appendix 5 contains the components of the projected benefit cost trend by COS for the capitation rate.

I.3.B.iii.(b)(ii) Alternative Methods – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The projected benefit cost trends were developed using utilization per 1000 and unit cost components.

I.3.B.iii.(b)(iii) Other Components – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The projected benefit cost trends did not include other components.

I.3.B.iii.(c) Variation in Trend

Projected benefit cost trends do not vary except by COS.

I.3.B.iii.(d) Any Other Material Adjustments

There were no other material adjustments made to the projected benefit cost trends.

I.3.B.iii.(e) Any Other Adjustments

There were no other adjustments made to the projected benefit cost trends.

I.3.B.iv. Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act Compliance

AHCCCS has completed a Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) analysis and the AHCCCS Division of Managed Care Medical Management Team reviews updated Contractor analyses to

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

determine if additional services are necessary to comply with parity standards. As of July 30, 2026, no additional services have been identified as necessary services to comply with MHPAEA.

I.3.B.v. ILOS – Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no ILOS included in the projected benefit costs.

I.3.B.vi. Retrospective Eligibility Periods

I.3.B.vi.(a) Managed Care Plan Responsibility

AHCCCS provides prior period coverage (PPC) for the period of time prior to the member's enrollment during which the member is eligible for covered services. PPC refers to the time frame from the effective date of eligibility (usually the first day of the month of application) until the date the member is enrolled with DCS CHP. DCS CHP receives notification from AHCCCS of the member's enrollment. DCS CHP is responsible for payment of all claims for medically necessary services covered by the CHP Program and provided to members during prior period coverage.

I.3.B.vi.(b) Claims Data Included in Base Data

Encounter data related to PPC is included with the base data and is included in the capitation rate development process.

I.3.B.vi.(c) Enrollment Data Included in Base Data

Enrollment data related to PPC is included with the base data and is included in the capitation rate development process.

I.3.B.vi.(d) Adjustments, Assumptions, and Methodology

No specific adjustments are made to the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program for the PPC time frame, given that the PPC related encounter and enrollment data are already included within the base data used for capitation rate development.

I.3.B.vii. Impact of All Material Changes to Covered Benefits or Services

This section provides documentation on impacts to projected benefit costs made since the last rate certification.

I.3.B.vii.(a) Covered Benefits

Material adjustments related to covered benefits are discussed in Section I.3.B.ii. of this rate certification.

I.3.B.vii.(b) Recoveries of Overpayments

As noted in Section I.3.B.ii.(c), base period data was not adjusted to reflect recoveries of overpayments made to providers because Contractors are required to adjust encounters for recovery of overpayments, per the following contract requirement:

“The Contractor shall void encounters for claims that are recouped in full. For recoupments that

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

result in a reduced claim value or adjustments that result in an increased claim value, replacement encounters shall be submitted.”

I.3.B.vii.(c) Provider Payment Requirements

Adjustments related to provider payment requirements under SDPs, as defined in 42 CFR § 438.2, are discussed in Section I.4.D of this rate certification. Additionally, provider payment requirements related to FQHCs/RHCs are described in Section I.3.B.ii.

I.3.B.vii.(d) Applicable Waivers

There were no material changes since the last certification related to waiver requirements or conditions.

I.3.B.vii.(e) Applicable Litigation

There were no material changes made related to litigation.

I.3.B.viii. Impact of All Material and Non-Material Changes

All material and non-material changes have been included in the capitation rate development process and all requirements in this section of the 2027 Guide are documented in Section I.3.B.ii.(a) above.

I.4. Special Contract Provisions Related to Payment

I.4.A. Incentive Arrangements – Not Applicable

Not applicable. No incentive arrangements exist with the CHP Program.

I.4.B. Withhold Arrangements – Not Applicable

Not applicable. No withhold arrangement exists with the CHP Program.

I.4.C. Risk-Sharing Mechanisms

I.4.C.i. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2027 Guide provides information on the requirements for risk-sharing mechanisms.

In accordance with 42 CFR § 438.6(b)(1), all risk-sharing mechanisms have been developed in accordance with 42 CFR § 438.4, the rate development standards in 42 CFR § 438.5, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Additionally, all risk-sharing mechanisms are documented in the contract and capitation rate certification for the rating period which will be submitted to CMS before the start of the rating period and will not be modified or added after the start of the rating period.

I.4.C.ii. Appropriate Documentation

I.4.C.ii.(a) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms

The CYE 27 contract for the CHP Program will include a risk corridor.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(i) Rationale for Risk-Sharing Mechanisms

AHCCCS has a long-standing program policy of including risk corridors within many of the managed care programs to protect the State against excessive Contractor profits, and to protect Contractors from excessive losses. This risk-sharing arrangement also contributes to Contractor sustainability and program continuity, which is an additional intangible benefit to the stability of the Medicaid member. The CYE 27 contract will continue AHCCCS' long-standing program policy and will include a risk corridor. This rate certification will use the term risk corridor to be consistent with the 2027 Guide. The CHP Contract refers to the risk corridor as a reconciliation.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(ii) Description of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms Implementation

The Subcontractor costs to reimbursement risk corridor will reconcile Subcontractor medical expenses to medical capitation paid to the Subcontractor in accordance with the DCS CHP contract with the Subcontractor. The risk corridor with the Subcontractor provides for payment or recoupment outside a risk corridor as agreed to in the subcontract. DCS CHP will submit the reconciliation for AHCCCS approval and AHCCCS will reconcile with DCS CHP by reimbursing excess losses to be paid to the Subcontractor. The total amount of any excess profits to be recouped from the Subcontractor will be returned to AHCCCS.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Initial reconciliations are typically performed no sooner than 6 months after the end of the contract year and final reconciliations are typically computed no sooner than 15 months after the contract year.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(iii) Effect of Risk-Sharing Mechanisms on Capitation Rates

The risk corridor did not have any effect on the development of the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(iv) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices

Risk-sharing mechanisms are developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. The threshold amounts for the risk corridor were set using actuarial judgement with consideration of conversations and input between the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team, the AHCCCS DBF Finance & Reinsurance Team, the AHCCCS OOD, and the CHP Program leadership.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(v) Risk-Sharing Arrangements Consistent with Pricing Assumptions

The inclusion of risk corridors as part of the contract is independent of the pricing assumptions used in capitation rate development. If the contract did not include risk corridors, the pricing assumptions used in capitation rate development would be unchanged.

Please see Section I.4.C.ii.(c) for documentation of reinsurance risk-sharing arrangements and the resulting impacts on capitation rate development.

I.4.C.ii.(a)(vi) Expected Remittance/Payment from Risk-Sharing Arrangements

If medical experience in the rating period aligns with pricing assumptions used in capitation rate development, there will be no remittance/payment between AHCCCS and DCS CHP associated with the risk corridor. The risk corridors protect the State against excessive Contractor profits and protect Contractors from excessive losses when experience in the rating period materially differs from the pricing assumptions.

See Section I.4.C.ii.(c) for reinsurance risk-sharing arrangements.

I.4.C.ii.(b) Remittance/Payment Requirements for Specified Medical Loss Ratio – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The CHP Program contract does not include a medical loss ratio remittance or payment requirement.

I.4.C.ii.(c) Reinsurance Requirements

I.4.C.ii.(c)(i) Description of Reinsurance Requirements

AHCCCS provides a reinsurance program to AHCCCS Contractors for the partial reimbursement of covered medical services incurred during the contract year. This reinsurance program is similar to what one would see in commercial reinsurance programs with a few differences. The deductible is lower than a standard

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

commercial reinsurance program. AHCCCS has different reinsurance case types - with the majority of the reinsurance cases falling into the Regular reinsurance case type. Regular reinsurance cases cover partial reimbursement (anything above the deductible and the coinsurance percentage amounts) of inpatient facility medical services. Most of the other reinsurance cases fall under a Catastrophic case type, including reinsurance for biologic drugs. Additionally, rather than DCS CHP paying a premium, the capitation rate is instead adjusted by subtracting the reinsurance offset from the gross medical expense. One could view the reinsurance offset as a premium.

The AHCCCS reinsurance program has been in place since 1982 and is funded with State Match and Federal Matching authority. AHCCCS is self-insured for the reinsurance program, which is characterized by an initial deductible level and a subsequent coinsurance percentage. The coinsurance percentage is the rate at which AHCCCS reimburses DCS CHP for covered services incurred above the deductible. The deductible is the responsibility of DCS CHP. The deductible for CYE 27 Regular reinsurance cases is \$150,000, unchanged from the Regular reinsurance deductible for CYE 26. The limit on High Dollar Catastrophic reinsurance is \$1,000,000. Once a reinsurance case hits this limit, the Contractor is reimbursed 100% for all medically necessary covered expenses. All reinsurance deductibles are applied at the member level.

The actual reinsurance case amounts are paid to DCS CHP whether the actual amount is above or below the reinsurance offset in the capitation rate. This can result in a loss or gain by DCS CHP based on actual reinsurance payments versus expected reinsurance payments.

For additional information on the reinsurance program, refer to the Reinsurance section of the CHP Program contract.

I.4.C.ii.(c)(ii) Effect on Development of Capitation Rates

The reinsurance offset (expected PMPM of reinsurance payments for the rate setting period) is subtracted from the gross medical expense PMPM calculated for the rate setting period. It is a separate calculation and does not affect the methodologies for development of the gross medical expense component of the capitation rate.

I.4.C.ii.(c)(iii) Development in Accordance with Generally Accepted Actuarial Principles and Practices

Projected reinsurance offsets are developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

I.4.C.ii.(c)(iv) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop the Reinsurance Offset

The methodology for setting the reinsurance offset has not changed from the CYE 26 capitation rates. The data used to develop the reinsurance offset amount are historical encounters incurred during CYE 25. The historical reinsurance payment data for catastrophic case types related to certain medical conditions and biologic/high-cost specialty drugs were also used as a validation check to ensure encounters for members with those reinsurance cases were captured within the development of the reinsurance offset. The CYE 25 encounters were adjusted for applicable historical programmatic and fee schedule changes

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

and trended to the CYE 27 rating period using the same trend factors described in Section I.3.B.iii.(a) above. These adjusted encounters were then evaluated against the applicable reinsurance rules, including deductible levels, for the CYE 27 rating period to determine calculated potential reinsurance case payments by member. The calculated reinsurance case payments were then summed and divided by the CYE 27 projected member months to develop the reinsurance offset. The reinsurance offset was then adjusted to account for changes to the covered biologics list after the base data period to get to the final reinsurance offset. This adjustment was calculated by taking the projected PMPM costs for CYE 27 for the new covered drugs for the CHP Program and applying a zero-dollar deductible and coinsurance percentage of 85%.

Appendix 7 displays the reinsurance offset PMPM included in the capitation rate.

I.4.D. State Directed Payments

I.4.D.i. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2027 Guide provides information on delivery system and provider payment initiatives (i.e., SDPs) authorized under 42 CFR §§ 438.2 and 438.6(c).

I.4.D.ii. Appropriate Documentation

I.4.D.ii.(a) Description of SDPs

The only SDPs addressed in this certification are the ones related to the CHP Program. The contract requires the adoption of a minimum fee schedule for VFC providers, using State plan approved rates, as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6(a), as allowed under 42 CFR § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A). The SDP for VFC providers does not require written approval prior to implementation per 42 CFR § 438.6(c)(2)(i). The SDPs which require preprints for prior approval are DAP, PSI, and SNSI. The 2027 Guide requires specifically formatted tables in addition to the information provided here. The CMS prescribed tables can be found in Appendices 8a and 8b.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(i) Type and Description of SDP Arrangements

Vaccines for Children

Through the VFC program, the Federal and State governments purchase, and make available at no cost, vaccines for AHCCCS children under age 19. A provider may impose a charge for the administration of a qualified pediatric vaccine as stated in 1928(c)(20)(C)(ii) of the Act. Contractors are required to adopt the payment rates in the Arizona Medicaid State plan, as described on Page 66b, as a minimum fee schedule for VFC providers.

Differential Adjusted Payments

The DAP initiative delivers a uniform percentage increase to registered providers who provide a particular service under the contract and who meet specific criteria established by AHCCCS. All providers were notified via a proposed and a final Public Notice of the criteria required to qualify for the DAP. The potential rate increases range from 0.25% to 20%, depending on the provider type.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Pediatric Services Initiative

The PSI SDP provides a uniform percentage increase for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the state's freestanding children's hospitals with more than 100 licensed beds. The PSI uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year. The increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to Qualified Children's Hospitals.

Safety Net Services Initiative

The SNSI SDP provides a uniform percentage increase for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the eligible public safety net hospital. The SNSI uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year. This increase is intended to supplement, not supplant, payments to the eligible public safety net hospital.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii) SDPs Incorporated in Capitation Rates

The VFC minimum fee schedule and the DAP initiative are the only SDPs incorporated in the capitation rate. The 2027 Guide requires specifically formatted tables in addition to the information provided here. These CMS prescribed tables can be found in Appendices 8a and 8b.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(A) Rate Cells Affected

The single rate cell for the CHP Program is affected by the VFC minimum fee schedule SDP and the DAP initiative.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(B) Impact on the Rate Cells

The VFC minimum fee schedule impacts are included as part of the aggregate fee schedule changes shown in Appendix 6. See Appendix 8c for the total impact by rate cell for the VFC minimum fee schedules. For DAP, see Appendix 6 for medical impact by rate cell and Appendix 8c for total impact by rate cell.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(C) Data, Assumptions, Methodology to Develop SDP Adjustment

Vaccines for Children

The impact of the minimum fee schedule requirement for VFC providers is addressed as part of the fee schedule updates, described above in Section I.3.B.ii.(a).

Differential Adjusted Payments

The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team provided the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team with data for the impact of DAP. The data used to develop the DAP impacts was the CYE 25 encounter data across all programs for the providers who qualify for DAP. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team applied the percentage increase earned under DAP to the AHCCCS provider payments resulting from the fee schedule changes, for all services subject to DAP, to determine what the impacts would be for the CYE 27 time period. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed the results and applied the percentage impacts by program and risk group to the applicable COS to come to the final dollar impact for CYE 27 (the data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team was at a detailed rate code and

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

COS level which the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then aggregated to the specific risk groups for each program).

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement

The actuary confirms that they have received and reviewed the DAP SDP preprint at the time of rate certification, and these payments are being made in a manner consistent with the preprint that will be submitted to CMS by September 15, 2026.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(E) Maximum Fee Schedule – Not Applicable

Not applicable. None of the SDPs for the CHP Program are based on maximum fee schedules.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii) SDPs Under Separate Payment Arrangement

The PSI and SNSI SDPs are not included in the CHP certified capitation rate and will be paid out via lump sum payments. The 2027 Guide requires specifically formatted tables in addition to the information provided here. The CMS prescribed tables can be found in Appendix 8a and 8b.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(A) Aggregate Amount

Pediatric Services Initiative

The aggregate amount of the separate payment term payment applicable to the CHP Program for PSI is approximately \$1.71 million, including premium tax.

Safety Net Services Initiative

The aggregate amount of the separate payment term payment applicable to the CHP Program for SNSI is approximately \$3.73 million, including premium tax.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(B) Actuarial Certification of the Amount of the Separate Payment Term

Pediatric Services Initiative

The actuary certifies the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

Safety Net Services Initiative

The actuary certifies the aggregate SDP estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4. These estimates are based on projections of future events. The actual payments will differ from estimates based on actual utilization, and once final amounts are known, a notification document will be sent to CMS with that information.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(C) Estimated Impact by Rate Cell

Appendix 8c contains estimated PMPMs by rate cell for informational purposes only; these payments are

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

not made on a PMPM basis.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(D) Preprint Acknowledgement

Pediatric Services Initiative

AHCCCS has submitted the PSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuary received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The SDP is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint that is under CMS review.

Safety Net Services Initiative

AHCCCS has submitted the SNSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuary received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The SDP is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint that is under CMS review.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(E) Future Documentation Requirements

Pediatric Services Initiative

After the rating period is complete and the final PSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the PSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

Safety Net Services Initiative

After the rating period is complete and the final SNSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the SNSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv) Portion of Capitation Rates and Aggregate Impact by Rate Cell

The 2027 Guide requires a new CMS prescribed table for SDPs that are incorporated in the capitation rates through rate adjustments and SDPs that are separate payments terms but are paid as part of the PMPM capitation rates. AHCCCS does not have any SDPs that are separate payments terms but are paid as part of the PMPM capitation rates. AHCCCS has two SDPs that are incorporated into the capitation rates which are: VFC program and DAP initiative.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A) Incorporated in the Capitation Rates

See Appendix 8b for the specifically formatted table that documents the certified capitation rates, the projected enrollment in member months, the portion of the certified capitation rates that are attributable to each SDP, and the aggregate impact of the SDPs for each rate cell. The table incorporates footnotes which document assumptions made to develop estimates for each SDP, and descriptions of interactions between SDPs, as applicable.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(B) Not Incorporated in the Capitation Rates

See Appendix 8a for the aggregate magnitude of each SDP that is paid as a separate payment term and is not paid as part of the PMPM capitation rates and see Appendix 8c for the rate cell SDP PMPMs.

I.4.D.ii. (a)(v) Confirmation of No Other SDPs

There are not any additional directed payments in the program that are not addressed in the rate certification, including minimum fee schedules using State plan approved rates or total published Medicare payment rates as defined in 42 CFR § 438.6(a).

I.4.D.ii. (a)(vi) Confirmation Regarding Required Reimbursement Rates

There are not any requirements regarding reimbursement rates the plans must pay to providers unless specifically specified in the certification as a SDP or authorized under applicable law, regulation, or waiver.

I.4.D.ii.(a)(vii) SDP Page References

To the extent that an SDP is referenced in other sections of the rate certification beyond I.4.D., Appendix 8a identifies each page where the SDP is referenced.

I.4.E. Pass-Through Payments – Not Applicable

Not applicable. There are no pass-through payments for the CHP Program.

I.5 Projected Non-Benefit Costs

I.5.A. Rate Development Standards

This section of the 2027 Guide provides information on the non-benefit component of the capitation rate.

I.5.B. Appropriate Documentation

I.5.B.i. Description of the Development of Projected Non-Benefit Costs

I.5.B.i.(a) Data, Assumptions, and Methodology

The DBF AHCCCS Actuarial Team reviewed historical and projected administrative expense data submitted by DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor per a supplemental data request, as noted in Section I.2.B.ii.(b)(ii). The supplemental data request included amounts for administrative expenses for CYE 25 actuals, CYE 26 Q1 (through December 31, 2025) actuals, actual/projected amounts for CYE 26, and projected amounts for CYE 27. The requested information detailed projected employee compensation (including FTE counts for DCS CHP), care management costs, data processing costs, interest charges, occupancy (rent/utilities), other administrative expenses, and DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor's member months for each of the time frames.

The CYE 27 projections for DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor include expenses associated with care management. Care management activities performed by DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor help to ensure that members receive appropriate physical health services, including well-child examinations, screenings, immunizations, and follow-up care. Care management also ensures that members have access to high quality, comprehensive mental health services delivered in a timely manner and in the most appropriate setting.

AHCCCS also reviewed the CYE 25 and CYE 26 Q1 financial statements for both DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor. Other sources of data reviewed and utilized in the development of the non-benefit cost projections included trends and forecasts for various Consumer Price Indices (CPI) and Employment Cost Indices (ECI) data from the S&P Global Market Intelligence Healthcare Cost Review.

The projected administrative and care management expenses were reviewed by AHCCCS for reasonableness by comparing the projections against previous administrative expense projections, reported administrative expenses from financial statements submitted by DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor, and applicable CPI and ECI trends and forecasts. Additional details were requested from DCS CHP and the integrated subcontractor to understand some of the administrative cost increases requested. After reviewing the requested information, and additional communications with DCS CHP as well as the integrated subcontractor, the projected administrative and care management expenses for CYE 27 for DCS CHP were deemed reasonable and appropriate for inclusion in the overall administrative expense projections. The integrated subcontractor's administrative expense PMPMs included in the capitation rates were developed primarily using the integrated subcontractor's supplemental administrative data, with necessary inflation adjustments, and the integrated subcontractor's CYE 27

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

projected member months.

The projected administrative expense PMPM was evaluated along with the projected gross medical expense, reinsurance offset, and care management expense PMPM amount to ensure compliance with the minimum 85 percent MLR requirement, as calculated under 42 CFR § 438.8.

The projected CYE 27 administrative expense components are shown in Appendix 7.

I.5.B.i.(b) Changes from the Previous Rate Certification

There were no methodology changes from the non-benefit cost development used in the CYE 26 rate, other than the adjustments described in the previous section.

I.5.B.i.(c) Any Other Material Changes

No other material adjustments were applied to the projected non-benefit expenses included in the capitation rate.

I.5.B.ii. Projected Non-Benefit Costs by Category

I.5.B.ii.(a) Administrative Costs

The administrative component of the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program is described above in Section I.5.B.i.(a). The PMPM amounts assumed can be found in Appendix 7.

I.5.B.ii.(b) Taxes and Other Fees

The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program includes a provision for premium tax of 2.0% of capitation. The premium tax is applied to the total capitation. No other taxes, fees, or assessments are applicable for this filing.

I.5.B.ii.(c) Contribution to Reserves, Risk Margin, and Cost of Capital

The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program includes a provision for contributions to reserves, risk margin, and cost of capital (i.e., underwriting (UW) margin) of 1.0%.

I.5.B.ii.(d) Other Material Non-Benefit Costs

No other material or non-material non-benefit costs not already addressed in previous sections are reflected in the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program.

I.5.B.iii. Historical Non-Benefit Costs

Historical non-benefit cost data is provided by the AHCCCS Contractors via financial statements and additional data requests. The audited financial statements can be found on the AHCCCS website at: <https://www.azahcccs.gov/Resources/OversightOfHealthPlans/contractedhealthplan.html>. Historical non-benefit cost data was considered and used in the non-benefit cost assumptions as described in Section I.5.B.i.(a) above.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

I.6. Risk Adjustment – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program does not utilize risk adjustments.

I.7. Acuity Adjustments – Not Applicable

Not applicable. The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program does not include an acuity adjustment.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Section II Medicaid Managed Care Rates with Long-Term Services and Supports – Not Applicable

Section II of the 2027 Guide is not applicable to the CHP Program. Managed long-term services and supports, as defined at 42 CFR § 438.2, are not covered services under the CHP Program. The CHP Program does cover nursing facility services, and related home and community-based services, for 90 days of short-term convalescent care.

Section III New Adult Group Capitation Rates – Not Applicable

Section III of the 2027 Guide is not applicable to the CHP Program.

Appendix 1: Actuarial Certification

I, Elizabeth Seaman, FSA, MAAA, am an employee of AHCCCS. I meet the qualification standards established by the American Academy of Actuaries and have followed generally accepted actuarial practices and regulatory requirements, including published guidance from the American Academy of Actuaries, the Actuarial Standards Board, CMS, and federal regulations.

The capitation rate included with this rate certification is considered actuarially sound according to the following criteria from 42 CFR § 438.4(a) and 42 CFR § 438.4(b). The state did not opt to develop capitation rate ranges, therefore adherence to 42 CFR § 438.4(c) is not required.

- § 438.4(a) Actuarially sound capitation rates defined. Actuarially sound capitation rates are projected to provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs that are required under the terms of the contract and for the operation of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP for the time period and the population covered under the terms of the contract, and such capitation rates are developed in accordance with the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section.
- § 438.4(b) CMS review and approval of actuarially sound capitation rates. Capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs must be reviewed and approved by CMS as actuarially sound. To be approved by CMS, capitation rates must:
 - § 438.4(b)(1) Have been developed in accordance with standards specified in § 438.5 and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations must be based on valid rate development standards that represent actual cost differences in providing covered services to the covered populations. Any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates must not vary with the rate of Federal financial participation (FFP) associated with the covered populations in a manner that increases Federal costs. The determination that differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs increase Federal costs and vary with the rate of FFP associated with the covered populations must be evaluated for the entire managed care program and include all managed care contracts for all covered populations. CMS may require a State to provide written documentation and justification that any differences in the assumptions, methodologies, or factors used to develop capitation rates for covered populations or contracts represent actual cost differences based on the characteristics and mix of the covered services or the covered populations.
 - § 438.4(b)(2) Be appropriate for the populations to be covered and the services to be furnished under the contract.
 - § 438.4(b)(3) Be adequate to meet the requirements on MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs in §§ 438.206, 438.207, and 438.208.
 - § 438.4(b)(4) Be specific to payments for each rate cell under the contract.
 - § 438.4(b)(5) Payments from any rate cell must not cross-subsidize or be cross-subsidized by payments for any other rate cell.
 - § 438.4(b)(6) Be certified by an actuary as meeting the applicable requirements of this part, including that the rates have been developed in accordance with the requirements specified in § 438.3(c)(1)(ii) and (e).
 - § 438.4(b)(7) Meet any applicable special contract provisions as specified in § 438.6.
 - § 438.4(b)(8) Be provided to CMS in a format and within a timeframe that meets requirements in § 438.7.

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

- § 438.4(b)(9) Be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard, as calculated under § 438.8, of at least 85 percent for the rate year. The capitation rates may be developed in such a way that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP would reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard greater than 85 percent, as calculated under § 438.8, as long as the capitation rates are adequate for reasonable, appropriate, and attainable non-benefit costs.

Additionally, the term “actuarially sound” is defined in Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) 49, “Medicaid Managed Care Capitation Rate Development and Certification,” as:

“Medicaid capitation rates are “actuarially sound” if, for business for which the certification is being prepared and for the period covered by the certification, projected capitation rates and other revenue sources provide for all reasonable, appropriate, and attainable costs. For purposes of this definition, other revenue sources include, but are not limited to, expected reinsurance and governmental stop-loss cash flows, governmental risk adjustment cash flows, and investment income. For purposes of this definition, costs include, but are not limited to, expected health benefits, health benefit settlement expenses, administrative expenses, the cost of capital, and government-mandated assessments, fees, and taxes.”

The data, assumptions, and methodologies used to develop the CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program have been documented according to the guidelines established by CMS in the 2027 Guide. The CYE 27 capitation rate for the CHP Program is effective for the 12-month time period from October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027.

The actuarially sound capitation rate is based on projections of future events. Actual results may vary from the projections. In developing the actuarially sound capitation rate, I have relied upon data and information provided by teams at AHCCCS, DCS CHP, and CHP’s integrated subcontractor. I have relied upon AHCCCS and the Contractors for the accuracy of the data and I have accepted the data without audit, after checking the data for reasonableness and consistency.

SIGNATURE ON FILE

July 30, 2026

Elizabeth Seaman

Date

Fellow, Society of Actuaries

Member, American Academy of Actuaries

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 2: Certified Capitation Rate

CHP Capitation Rate	
Effective October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027	\$1,968.25

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 3: Fiscal Impact Summary and Comparison to Prior Rate

Rate Cell	CYE 27 Projected MMs	CYE 26 Capitation Rate	CYE 26 Projected Expenses	CYE 27 Capitation Rate	CYE 27 Projected Expenses	Percentage Impact
CHP	78,214	\$1,884.14	\$147,365,116	\$1,968.25	\$153,943,455	4.46%

Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 4: Base Data and Base Data Adjustments

	I.2.B.ii.	I.2.B.iii.(b)	Subtotal	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.2.B.iii.(d)	I.2.B.iii.(d)	Subtotal
Category of Service	Base PMPM	Completion	Adjusted PMPM	Removal of DAP	Removal of Ancillary Crisis	Case Management Adjustment	Other Base Data Adjustments	Adjusted Base PMPM
Physical Health Inpatient and LTC	\$51.81	0.9770	\$53.03	(2.37%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$51.77
Mental Health Inpatient and LTC	\$408.47	0.9755	\$418.73	(1.34%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$413.12
Outpatient Facility	\$25.44	0.9750	\$26.09	(2.41%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$25.46
Emergency Facility	\$32.37	0.9763	\$33.16	(2.60%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$32.29
Pharmacy - Specialty	\$26.43	0.9794	\$26.98	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	(0.08%)	\$26.96
Pharmacy - Brand	\$23.17	0.9794	\$23.66	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	\$23.68
Pharmacy - Generic	\$17.16	0.9795	\$17.52	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	(0.03%)	\$17.52
Transportation	\$47.23	0.9883	\$47.79	(0.26%)	(0.07%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$47.63
Dental	\$27.86	1.0000	\$27.86	(0.97%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$27.60
FQHC/RHC	\$58.83	0.9896	\$59.45	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$59.45
Laboratory and Radiology Services	\$4.79	0.9912	\$4.83	(0.01%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$4.83
Other Professional Services	\$117.35	0.9890	\$118.65	(2.88%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$115.23
Physical Health Practitioners	\$77.40	0.9891	\$78.25	(0.03%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$78.23
Mental Health Practitioners	\$101.12	0.9878	\$102.37	(0.57%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$101.78
Applied Behavior Analysis	\$38.69	0.9861	\$39.24	(0.56%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$39.01
Case Management	\$148.75	0.9882	\$150.52	(1.66%)	(0.88%)	13.82%	0.00%	\$166.99
Rehabilitation Services	\$165.67	0.9882	\$167.65	(1.18%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$165.67
Residential Services	\$84.13	0.9890	\$85.06	(0.05%)	(2.14%)	0.00%	0.00%	\$83.20
Gross Medical	\$1,456.67	0.9837	\$1,480.84	(1.19%)	(0.22%)	1.40%	(0.00%)	\$1,480.42

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 5: Projected Benefit Cost Trends

Rate Cell	Category of Service	Utilization per 1000	Unit Cost	PMPM
CHP	Physical Health Inpatient and LTC	0.00%	1.00%	1.00%
CHP	Mental Health Inpatient and LTC	0.25%	0.50%	0.75%
CHP	Outpatient Facility	6.00%	0.00%	6.00%
CHP	Emergency Facility	6.00%	0.00%	6.00%
CHP	Pharmacy - Specialty	0.00%	1.00%	1.00%
CHP	Pharmacy - Brand	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CHP	Pharmacy - Generic	3.50%	0.00%	3.50%
CHP	Transportation	2.00%	0.00%	2.00%
CHP	Dental	0.50%	0.00%	0.50%
CHP	FQHC/RHC	2.25%	0.50%	2.76%
CHP	Laboratory and Radiology Services	3.00%	0.00%	3.00%
CHP	Other Professional Services	3.00%	0.00%	3.00%
CHP	Physical Health Practitioners	2.00%	0.00%	2.00%
CHP	Mental Health Practitioners	13.00%	0.00%	13.00%
CHP	Applied Behavior Analysis	30.00%	0.00%	30.00%
CHP	Case Management	0.50%	1.50%	2.01%
CHP	Rehabilitation Services	3.60%	3.25%	6.97%
CHP	Residential Services	4.50%	0.00%	4.50%

Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 6: Development of Gross Medical Component

	Appendix 4	I.3.B.iii.	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	I.3.B.ii.(a)	Subtotal
Category of Service	Adjusted Base PMPM	Trend	Aggregate Fee Schedule Changes	Pharmacy and Therapeutics	Applied Behavior Analysis Adjustment	Combined Misc. Changes	Gross Medical PMPM
Physical Health Inpatient and LTC	\$51.77	1.00%	0.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$52.86
Mental Health Inpatient and LTC	\$413.12	0.75%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$419.37
Outpatient Facility	\$25.46	6.00%	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$28.62
Emergency Facility	\$32.29	6.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$36.30
Pharmacy - Specialty	\$26.96	1.00%	0.00%	(0.95%)	0.00%	(0.80%)	\$27.02
Pharmacy - Brand	\$23.68	0.00%	0.00%	(34.93%)	0.00%	2.58%	\$15.80
Pharmacy - Generic	\$17.52	3.50%	0.00%	(0.55%)	0.00%	(0.28%)	\$18.61
Transportation	\$47.63	2.00%	3.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$51.31
Dental	\$27.60	0.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$27.87
FQHC/RHC	\$59.45	2.76%	24.89%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$78.41
Laboratory and Radiology Services	\$4.83	3.00%	(0.61%)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$5.09
Other Professional Services	\$115.23	3.00%	4.36%	0.00%	0.00%	0.56%	\$128.29
Physical Health Practitioners	\$78.23	2.00%	1.78%	0.00%	0.00%	0.78%	\$83.48
Mental Health Practitioners	\$101.78	13.00%	0.53%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$130.66
Applied Behavior Analysis	\$39.01	30.00%	(0.57%)	0.00%	(17.06%)	0.00%	\$54.38
Case Management	\$166.99	2.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$173.76
Rehabilitation Services	\$165.67	6.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$189.55
Residential Services	\$83.20	4.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$90.86
Gross Medical	\$1,480.42	4.16%	1.55%	(0.54%)	(0.70%)	0.09%	\$1,612.25

DAP PMPM	\$30.34
Gross Medical Plus DAP PMPM	\$1,642.59

Appendix 7: Capitation Rate Development

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 7: Capitation Rate Development

	Appendix 6	I.4.C.ii.(c)	Subtotal	I.5.B.i.(a)	I.5.B.i.(a)	I.5.B.ii.(c)	I.5.B.ii.(c)	I.5.B.ii.(b)	Total
Rate Cell	Gross Medical Plus DAP PMPM	RI Offset PMPM	Net Medical PMPM	Admin PMPM	Care Management PMPM	UW Margin Percent	UW Margin PMPM	Premium Tax PMPM	Capitation Rate PMPM
CHP	\$1,642.59	(\$21.93)	\$1,620.66	\$158.05	\$130.88	1.00%	\$19.29	\$39.36	\$1,968.25

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments – Descriptive Exhibits

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments - Descriptive Exhibits

CMS Prescribed Table for I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)

Control name of the SDP	Type of payment - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(i)(A)	Brief description - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(i)(B)	Is the payment included as a rate adjustment or separate payment term? Sections I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii) and I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)	Page number(s) of other references to the SDP Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(vii)
Vaccines for Children (VFC)	Minimum Fee Schedule	Contractors are required to adopt the payment rates in the Arizona Medicaid State plan as a minimum fee schedule for VFC providers.	Rate Adjustment	6, 22
Assumed preprint name to be assigned by CMS is AZ_Fee_IP.OP.PC.SP.NF.HCBS.BHI.BHO.D_Renewal_20261001-20270930 (DAP)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase (which varies by provider class and qualifications met) to otherwise contracted rates. All providers were notified via a proposed and a final Public Notice of the criteria required to qualify for the DAP.	Rate Adjustment	6, 13, 16, 18, 19, 22, 25
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH1_Renewal_20261001-20270930 (PSI)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the state's freestanding children's hospitals with more than 100 beds. The uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount, and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year.	Separate Payment Term	6
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH3_Renewal_20261001-20270930 (SNSI)	Uniform Percentage Increase	Uniform percentage increase to the Contractor's rates for inpatient and outpatient services provided by the public safety net hospital. The uniform percentage increase is based on a fixed total payment amount and is expected to fluctuate based on utilization in the contract year.	Separate Payment Term	6, 16

Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments - Descriptive Exhibits

CMS Prescribed Table for I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)

Control name of the SDP	Rate cells affected - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(ii)(A)	Magnitude of the Adjustment I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(B)	Description of the adjustment - Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(C)	Confirmation the rates are consistent with the preprint Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(D)	For maximum fee schedules, requested information - Section I.4.D.(ii).(a)(ii)(E)
Vaccines for Children (VFC)	The single rate cell for the CHP Program is affected.	See Appendices 8b and 8c.	The impact of the minimum fee schedule requirement for VFC providers is addressed as part of the fee schedule updates. The impact of bringing vaccines administered for the VFC program to the minimum fee schedule using CYE 25 encounter data is equivalent to zero, given that the minimum fee schedule was in place for CYE 25 and there has been no change to the fee schedule in the intervening time period.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.
Assumed preprint name to be assigned by CMS is AZ_Fee_IP.OP.PC.SP.NF.HCBS.BHI.BHO.D_Renewal_20261001-20270930 (DAP)	The single rate cell for the CHP Program is affected.	See Appendices 6, 8b, and 8c.	The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team provided the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team with data for the impact of DAP. The data used to develop the DAP impacts was the CYE 25 encounter data across all programs for the providers who qualify for DAP. The AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team applied the percentage increase earned under DAP to the AHCCCS provider payments resulting from the fee schedule changes, for all services subject to DAP, to determine what the impacts would be for the CYE 27 time period. The AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then reviewed the results and applied the percentage impacts by program and rate cell to the applicable categories of service to come to the final dollar impact for CYE 27 (the data provided by the AHCCCS DBF Rates & Reimbursement Team was at a detailed rate code and category of service level which the AHCCCS DBF Actuarial Team then aggregated to the specific rate cells for each program).	The actuary confirms that they have received and reviewed the DAP SDP preprint at the time of rate certification, and these payments are being made in a manner consistent with the preprint that will be submitted to CMS by September 15, 2026.	Not applicable.

Appendix 8a: State Directed Payments - CMS Prescribed Tables

CMS Prescribed Table for I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)

Control name of the SDP	Aggregate amount included in the certification - Sections I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(A) and I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(B)	Statement that the actuary is certifying the separate payment term - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(B)	The magnitude on a PMPM basis - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(C)	Confirmation the rate development is consistent with the preprint - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(D)	Confirmation that the state and actuary will submit required documentation at the end of the rating period (as applicable) - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iii)(E)
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH1_Renewal_20261001-20270930 (PSI)	\$ 1,711,802	The actuary certifies the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8c.	AHCCCS has submitted the PSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuary received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The SDP is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint under CMS review.	After the rating period is complete and the final PSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the PSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.
AZ_Fee_IPH.OPH3_Renewal_20261001-20270930 (SNSI)	\$ 3,731,761	The actuary certifies the aggregate directed payment estimates as actuarially sound according to 42 CFR § 438.4.	See Appendix 8c.	AHCCCS has submitted the SNSI 42 CFR § 438.6(c) preprint to CMS but has not yet received approval. The actuary received and reviewed each SDP preprint at the time the rates were certified. The SDP is accounted for in a manner consistent with the preprint under CMS review.	After the rating period is complete and the final SNSI payment is made, AHCCCS will submit documentation to CMS which incorporates the total amount of the SNSI payments into the rate certification's rate cells, consistent with the distribution methodology included in the approved SDP preprint, and as if the payment information had been fully known when the rates were initially developed.
Total	\$ 5,443,562				

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 8b: State Directed Payments – Portion of Capitation Rates

Rate Cell	Projected Enrollment (MM) - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(ii)	Certified Capitation Rate - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(i)	Portion of the rate attributable to VFC ¹ - Sections I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(iii) and I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(v)	Portion of the rate attributable to DAP ² - Sections I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(iii) and I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(v)	Aggregate impact of all SDPs ³ - Section I.4.D.ii.(a)(iv)(A)(iv)
CHP	78,214	\$1,968.25	\$0.00	\$31.27	\$31.27

CYE 27 Capitation Rate Certification – CHP Program

Appendix 8c: State Directed Payments – Estimated PMPMs

CYE 27 Estimated PMPMs				
State Directed Payment	Medical PMPM	UW Margin	Premium Tax	Total PMPM
VFC	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
DAP	\$30.34	\$0.31	\$0.63	\$31.27
PSI	\$21.45	\$0.00	\$0.44	\$21.89
SNSI	\$46.76	\$0.00	\$0.95	\$47.71