n Considerations: rrtise with service delivery to individuals with an SMI designation

Experience and expertise with administration of I Other notable considerations				
MOLINA COMPLETE CARE	MERCY CARE (MC)	BANNER UNIVERSITY FAMILY CARE (BUFC)	HEALTH CHOICE (HC)	ARIZONA COMPLETE HEALTH (AZCH) / CARE1ST (CF)
		RATIONALE AND MAJOR OBSERVA	TIONS	
Offerer discussed its national experience serving persons with MM, and provided information on years of experience among staff members.	Offeror described its Arizona-specific experience and expertise serving persons with SMI through its contract as the Central GSA RBHA for the past seven years, as well as serving the dual eligible, long term care and I/DD populations during the past 30 years through AHOCCS/ALTCS contracts.	·	Offeror described its Arizona-specific experience and expertise serving persons with 5MI through its contract as the North GSA RBHA for the past 29 years.	Offerer described its Automa-specific experience and expertise serving persons with SMI through its contract as the South GSA 88HA for the past 16 years.
Offer or discussed its experience with service integration as a non-RBHA and that it has sought certification as a Trauma informed Organization, although it did not describe clearly whether it has obtained certification.	Offeror described its activities related to improving integration of physical/behavioral health services, including co-location of physical health providers within integrated Health Homes and inclusion of FQHC providers within its network.	Offeror discussed its experience with service integration as a non 88HA, including through referrals to, and collaboration with, the RBHA system.		Offeror described its activities related to improving integration of physical/behavioral health services, including through personion of its community-based system of care and use of VBP to reward improved outcomes.
Offerer provided examples of improved outcomes achieved in other states by affiliate plans, including with respect to integrated care.	Offeror provided detailed examples of improved outcomes achieved in Artona through its integrated care initiatives, including with respect to: members served within a specialty integrated care model; PCP, ED and impatient utilization trends; HBA1c control among members with diabetes; and member satisfaction.	Offerer discussed the role of its provider network in furnishing both physical and behavioral health services to persons with SMI.	Offeror provided detailed examples of improved outcomes achieved in Attona through its integrated care initiatives, including with respect to: members served within an integrated care model; presentive care, inpatient, crisis service and chemical dependency residential treatment utilization trends; cost trends; ED utilization among members with diabetes; and member satisfaction.	
Offeror discussed the experience of staff members in administering non-Tille XIX funds and its coordination of care with providers in the Central GSA who roceive such funding.	Offeror described its experience and expertise administering approximately \$160 million in non- Title XXX funds from local, state and federal sources on behalf of over \$3,000 persons, through its contract during the past seven years as the Central GSA RBHA.	Offeror discussed its experience in referring eligible members to the RBHA for care and the role of its Research & Development team, in administering state and federal grants.	Offeror described its experience and expertise administering approximately S86 million in non- Title XXX funds from local, state and federal sources, and \$23 million in community reinvestment grants through its contract since 2018 as the North GSA RBHA.	Offeror described its experience and expertise administering over \$415 million in non Title XIX funds from local, state and federal sources, through its contract since 2015 at the South GSA RBHA.
Offeror discussed the types of funding for which ACC-RBHAs will be responsible.	Offeror described in detail its process for monitoring the use and efficacy of non-Title XIX funding.	Offeror discussed the types of funding for which ACC-RBHAs will be responsible.	Offeror described the role of its dedicated grant administration team in overseeing use of non-Title XIX funding.	Offeror described the role of its dedicated grants team in overseeing use of non-Title XIX funding.
5	1	4	2	3
NARRATIVE 62* RANKING AND CONCINUIS RATIONALE EVALUATION TEAM SIGNATURES				
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Mary Mason Financial Consultant			

INASKATIVE ID RANKING AND CONFERING PATIONALE D'AULINO TEAM SIGNATINES TO THE TO THE			
EVALUATOR TITLE:	Financial Consultant		
DATE:	Nov 3, 2021		
SIGNATURE:	Mary Mason Mary Mason (Nov 3, 2021 09:34 PDT)		
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Michelle Holmes		
EVALUATOR TITLE:	Operations Manager		
DATE:	Nov 3, 2021		
SIGNATURE:	Michelle Holmes Michelle Holmes (Nov 3, 2021 09:30 PDT)		
SIGNATURE.			
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Michelle Sturka		
EVALUATOR TITLE:	Grants Administrator		
DATE:	Nov 4, 2021		
SIGNATURE:	Michelle SKurka Michelle SKurka (Nov 4, 2021 07:23 PDT)		

FACILITATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Andrew Cohen
DATE:	Nov 3, 2021
	Andrew Cohen
SIGNATURE:	Andrew Cohen (Nov 3, 2021 09:30 PDT)