

October 19, 2022

The Honorable Karen Fann, President
Arizona State Senate
1700 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

The Honorable Russell Bowers, Speaker
Arizona State House of Representatives
1700 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Report on Modified or Rejected Administrative Law Judge Conclusions of Law

Dear President Fann and Speaker Bowers:

A.R.S. 41-1092.08(B) provides that, within thirty days of receiving an administrative law judge's decision, the head of the agency may review the decision and accept, reject or modify it. If the head of the agency rejects or modifies the decision, the agency head must provide a written justification for the rejection or modification of each Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law.

Subsection (B) also requires that if the agency head rejects or modifies a Conclusion of Law, the written justification shall be sent to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Most, if not all, administrative law judge decisions and the associated decision of the agency head regarding the AHCCCS program include information that is confidential under State and Federal law. See 45 CFR Part 164 and AAC R9-22-309. As such, AHCCCS cannot provide the full text of the administrative law judge decisions or the agency decision. As a practical matter, redacted versions of the justification for a modification or rejection of an administrative law judge's Conclusion of Law are not comprehensible without the full context of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law made by the administrative law judge.

For that reason, AHCCCS is providing quarterly summary information. For the quarter ending September 30, 2022, AHCCCS has identified four matters where the agency rejected or modified an administrative law judge's Conclusions of Law. During that same quarter, AHCCCS reviewed 122 administrative law judge decisions. The following Conclusions of Law were modified or rejected:

- Conclusions of Law were modified to include more detailed information in order to better reflect the record that complainant failed to submit a clean claim by the deadline.

- Conclusions of Law were rejected as parties have filed a motion to withdraw matter from hearing based upon approval for treatment, and therefore hearing was unnecessary.
- The agency decision reversed the Administrative Law Judge's conclusion resetting matter for hearing due to parties not receiving proper notice.
- Conclusions of Law were modified to better reflect the record that claimant has not met their burden of proof through evidence or testimony regarding being able to correct their billing errors and file a clean claim.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about this report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jami Snyder". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Jami Snyder

Director

cc: Richard Stavneak, Director, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Matt Gress, Director, Governor's Office of Strategic Planning and Budgeting
Art Harding, Governor's Office, Director of Legislative Affairs