## COMMENTS ON Hospital Assessment FY 2016 Rec'd as of 07/15/2015

Item	Rule Cite	Comment	Comment	Analysis/
#	Line#	From and		Recommendation
		Date		
		rec'd.		
1.	R9-22-730	Dennis	Since this is an iterative process with opportunities for	The Administration understands that the
		Dahlen	improvements, Banner would like, once again, to bring	comments submitted are the same as those
		Banner	attention to one of the exclusions that gives a market	submitted during the rule development process in
		Health	advantage to a single hospital because of its high percentage	2013, and submitted as a comment on the original
		(written	of Medicare discharges. This exclusion, provided in R9-22-	proposed rule. The Administration understands
		comment	730, Subsection I, Paragraph 7, of the proposed amendments	the objection to be that certain individual
		rec'd 07/13/15)	to the Hospital Assessment Rule, applies to acute care	hospitals in the Banner Health System are not
		07/13/15)	hospitals "located in a city with a population greater than	treated similar to other hospitals which Banner
			one million, which on average have at least 15 percent of	believes to be similarly situated. The
			inpatient days for patients who reside outside of Arizona,	Administrations' position has not changed.
			and at least 50 percent of discharges as reported on the 2011	A
			Medicare Cost Report are reimbursed by Medicare".	As part of its statutory requirements, the
			According to the FY 2016 assessment modeling, this	AHCCCS Administration was charged with
			exclusion applies only to Mayo Clinic Hospital in Phoenix.	designing an assessment that ensured that the costs of the assessment were not passed on to
			We believe the methodology continues to unjustly favor a	patients or other health care payors. As part of its
			single high-volume Medicare provider, Mayo Clinic	efforts to do so, AHCCCS adopted as a guiding
			Hospital, over other hospitals that serve significantly higher	principle that it would make its best efforts to
			volumes of elderly patients.	implement an assessment that minimized the
2.	R9-22-730	Dennis	Banner has consistently supported a broad-based, "all-in"	negative impact to hospital systems – not
۷.	K)-22-730	Dahlen	model, especially for hospitals that benefit under the	individual hospitals. Banner Health Systems,
		Banner	coverage restoration and expansion. The other proposed	viewed as a single entity rather than as individual
		Health	exclusion criteria, particularly the requirement for a specified	hospitals, is not negatively impacted by the
		(written	percentage of non-Arizona discharges, arbitrarily and	assessment.
		comment	capriciously exempts one high-volume Medicare provider,	
		rec'd	the Mayo Clinic Hospital, without any reasonable basis in	In addition, the statute requires AHCCCS to
		07/13/15)	policy or fact. If this exclusion remains as part of the	establish an assessment that meets federal
			methodology, it should treat similar hospitals equitably.	requirements for the use of an assessment on
				providers as the basis for the funding of Medicaid
3.	R9-22-730	Dennis	The proposed model for FY 16 continues to exclude one	services. AHCCCS was required to submit to the

## COMMENTS ON Hospital Assessment FY 2016 Rec'd as of 07/15/2015

	Dahlen	hospital in one city of the state that barely meets the	federal government an analysis of the sources and
	Banner	Medicare volume threshold, resulting in a significant gain on	expected benefits of increased Medicaid
	Health	the assessment model for that hospital, while other hospitals	payments. In summary, the assessment paid by
	(written	that serve many more Medicare patients are still included in	hospitals and additional payments made by
	comment	the assessment model with a significantly lower return. As	AHCCCS to hospitals must not be correlated
	rec'd	such, Banner asks that AHCCCS revisit this policy and	beyond a degree set forth in federal regulations.
	07/13/15)	design an assessment methodology that is broad-based and	
		treats all hospitals fairly.	Recently, AHCCCS received federal approval for
			the assessment described in this rule.
			Modification of the assessment at this point
			would require additional analysis by AHCCCS
			and further review and approval by the federal
			government. This would cause an unacceptable
			delay in the implementation of the assessment.